Gift of
Mrs. Florence Holmes
In Memory of

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2025 with funding from
Graduate Theological Union

https://archive.org/details/completeworkscom05unse

Himalayan Series.—No. XXII.

The Complete Works

OF

THE SWAMI VIVEKANANDA

COMPRISING ALL HIS LECTURES, ADDRESSES AND DISCOURSES DELIVERED IN EUROPE, AMERICA AND INDIA; ALL HIS WRITINGS IN PROSE AND POETRY, TOGETHER WITH TRANSLATIONS OF THOSE WRITTEN IN BENGALI AND SANSKRIT; REPORTS OF HIS INTERVIEWS AND HIS REPLIES TO THE VARIOUS ADDRESSES OF WELCOME; HIS SAYINGS AND EPISTLES,—PRIVATE AND PUBLIC—ORIGINAL AND TRANSLATED; WITH A BIOGRAPHY, GLOSSARY AND INDEX.

CAREFULLY REVISED & EDITED.

उत्तिष्ठत जाग्रत प्राप्य वरान्निबोधत।

Arise ! Awake ! and stop not **till the goal is reached.**

Mayavati Memorial Edition.

PART V.

SECOND EDITION.

HOLBROOK LIBRARY
PACIFIC SCHOOL
OF RELIGION

PRABUDDHA BHARATA OFFICE.
Mayavati P.O., Almora,
HIMALAYAS.

All rights reserved.

1919.

Price, Rs. 3/-
Cloth, Rs. 3/8/-

Foreign, $ 1·25 or 5s.

54074
B
133
V4
A25
1915
v.5

Published by
SWAMI MADHAVANANDA,
ADVAITA ASHRAMA,
Mayavati, Almora.

OMBI
V836
v.5

COPYRIGHT REGISTERED UNDER ACT XX OF 1847.

SRI GAURANGA PRESS
Printer : S. C. MAJUMDAR
71/1, Mirzapur St., College Sq., Calcutta.

CONTENTS.

EPISTLES—First Series *(Original & Translated)*

I—LXX . . . . . 1—114

INTERVIEWS

I. AN INDIAN YOGI IN LONDON 115
II. INDIA'S MISSION 118
III. INDIA AND ENGLAND 124
IV. INDIAN MISSIONARY'S MISSION TO ENGLAND 131
V. AN HOUR WITH THE SWAMI VIVEKANANDA AT MADURA 134
VI. THE ABROAD AND THE PROBLEMS AT HOME . 139
VII. THE MISSIONARY WORK OF THE FIRST HINDU SANNYASIN TO THE WEST AND HIS PLAN OF REGENERATION OF INDIA . 148
VIII. REAWAKENING OF HINDUISM ON A NATIONAL BASIS 155
IX. ON INDIAN WOMEN—THEIR PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE 158
X. ON THE BOUNDS OF HINDUISM 162

NOTES FROM LECTURES & DISCOURSES

I. ON KARMA YOGA 166
II. ON FANATICISM 169
III. WORK IS WORSHIP 172
IV. WORK WITHOUT MOTIVE 173
V. SADHANAS OR PREPARATIONS TO HIGHER LIFE 176
VI. THE COSMOS AND THE SELF 182
VII. WHO IS A REAL GURU? 184
VIII. ON ART 185
IX. ON LANGUAGE 186
X. THE SANNYASIN 187
XI. THE SANNYASIN AND THE HOUSEHOLDER . 188
XII. THE EVILS OF ADHIKARIVADA . . . 190
XIII. ON BHAKTI-YOGA 192
XIV. ISHVARA AND BRAHMAN 196
XV. ON JNANA-YOGA 197
XVI. WHAT IS THE CAUSE OF ILLUSION? . 203
XVII. EVOLUTION 204
XVIII. BUDDHISM AND VEDANTA 206

PACIFIC SCHOOL OF RELIGION

XIX. ON THE VEDANTA PHILOSOPHY . . 208
XX. THE LAW AND FREEDOM . . . 214
XXI. THE GOAL AND METHODS OF REALISATION . 219

QUESTIONS & ANSWERS

DISCUSSION (AT THE GRADUATE PHILOSOPHICAL SOCIETY OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY, U. S. A.) 221
—AT THE TWENTIETH CENTURY CLUB OF BOSTON, U. S. A. 234
—AT THE BROOKLYN ETHICAL SOCIETY, BROOKLYN, U. S. A. 236
SELECTIONS FROM THE MATH DIARY . . . 238

CONVERSATIONS & DIALOGUES (RECORDED BY DISCIPLES)—I—*(Translated)*

I. [SRI SURENDRA NATH DAS GUPTA] . 244
II.—V. [SRI SURENDRA NATH SEN,—FROM PRIVATE DIARY] 247
VI.—X. [SRI PRIYA NATH SINHA] . . 265
XI.—XV. [FROM THE DIARY OF A DISCIPLE (SRI SARAT CHANDRA CHAKRAVARTI, B.A.)] 295

SAYINGS & UTTERANCES—I. . . . 323

WRITINGS—PROSE & POEMS—II.

THE MESSAGE OF DIVINE WISDOM—
I. BONDAGE ; II. THE LAW ; III. THE ABSOLUTE AND THE ATTAINMENT OF FREEDOM . 331
THE BELUR MATH : AN APPEAL . . . 338
THE ADVAITA ASHRAMA, HIMALAYAS . . . 339
THE RAMAKRISHNA HOME OF SERVICE, BENARES : AN APPEAL 340

POEMS

WHO KNOWS HOW MOTHER PLAYS ! . . . 342
TO THE FOURTH OF JULY 342

TRANSLATION OF WRITINGS—PROSE & POEMS—II.

THE EAST & THE WEST 344

THE SWAMI VIVEKANANDA

EPISTLES

FIRST SERIES.

EXTRACTS.

I

BOMBAY,
20th September, 1892.

DEAR PANDITJI MAHARAJ,

Your letter has reached me duly. I do not know why I should be undeservingly praised. "None is good, save One, that is, God," as the Lord Jesus hath said. The rest are only tools in His hands. "Gloria in Excelsis," "Glory unto God in the highest," and unto men that deserve, but not to such an undeserving one like me. Here, "The servant is *not* worthy of the hire," and a Fakir, especially, has no right to any praise whatsoever, for would you praise your servant for simply doing his duty?

* * * My unbounded gratitude to Pandit S—, and to my Professor* for this kind remembrance of me.

Now I would tell you something else. The Hindu mind was ever deductive and never synthetic or inductive. In all our philosophies, we always find hair-splitting arguments, taking for granted some general proposition, but the proposition itself may be as childish as possible. Nobody ever asked or searched the truth of these general propositions. Therefore, independent thought we have almost none to speak of, and hence the dearth of those sciences which are the results of observation and generalisation. And why was it thus?—From two causes:—The tremendous heat of the climate forcing us to love rest and

* Swamiji used to call him Professor, for he read the Mahâ Bhâshya of Pânini with him.

contemplation better than activity, and the Brâhmanas as priests never undertaking journeys or voyages to distant lands. There were voyagers and people who travelled far ; but they were almost always traders, *i.e.*, people from whom priestcraft and their own sole love for gain had taken away all capacity for intellectual development. So their observations, instead of adding to the store of human knowledge, rather degenerated it. For, their observations were bad, and their accounts exaggerated and tortured into fantastical shapes, until they passed all recognition.

So you see, we must travel, we must go to foreign parts. We must see how the engine of society works in other countries, and keep free and open communication with what is going on in the minds of other nations, if we really want to be a nation again. And over and above all, we must cease to tyrannise. To what a ludicrous state are we brought! If a *bhângi* comes to anybody as a *bhângi,* he would be shunned as the plague ; but no sooner does he get a cupful of water poured upon his head with some mutterings of prayers by a *Pâdri,* and get a coat to his back, no matter how threadbare, and come into the room of the most orthodox Hindu,—I don't see the man who then dares refuse him a chair and a hearty shake of the hands!! Irony can go no farther. And come and see what they, the *Pâdris,* are doing here in the Dakshin (South). They are converting the lower classes by lakhs ; and in Travancore, the most priest-ridden country in India,—where every bit of land is owned by the Brâhmanas, and the females, even of the *royal family,* hold it as high honour to live in concubinage with the Brâhmanas, —nearly one-fourth has become Christian! And I cannot blame them ; what part have they in David and what in Jesse? When, when, O Lord, shall man be brother to man?

Yours,

VIVEKANANDA.

II

KHETRI,
27th April, 1893.

DEAR DOCTOR,

Your letter has just reached me. I am very much gratified by your love for my unworthy self. So, so sorry to learn, that poor B— has lost his son. "The Lord gave and the Lord hath taken away ; blessed be the name of the Lord." We only know that nothing is lost or can be lost. For us is only submission, calm and perfect. The soldier has no right to complain, nay murmur, if the general orders him into the cannon's mouth. May He comfort B— in his grief, and may it draw him closer and closer to the breast of the All-Merciful Mother.

As to my taking ship I have already made arrangements from Bombay. Tell B— that the Raja* or my Gurubhais would be the last men to put any obstacles in my way. As for the Rajaji, his love for me is simply without limit.

May the Giver of all good bless you all here and hereafter, will be the constant prayer of

VIVEKANANDA.

III

YOKOHAMA,
10th July, 1893.

DEAR A—, B—, G—, AND ALL MY MADRAS FRIENDS,

Excuse my not keeping you constantly informed of my movements. One is so busy every day, and especially myself who am quite new to the life of possessing things and taking care of them. That consumes so much of my energy. It is really an awful botheration.

From Bombay we reached Colombo. Our steamer

* The Maharaja of Khetri, Rajputana.

remained in port for nearly the whole day, and we took the opportunity of getting off to have a look at the town. We drove through the streets and the only thing I remember, was a temple in which was a very gigantic *Murti* (image) of the Lord Buddha in a reclining posture, entering *Nirvâna*. * * *

The next station was Penang, which is only a strip of land along the sea in the body of the Malay Peninsula. The Malayas are all Mahommendans, and in old days were noted pirates and quite a dread to merchantmen. But now the leviathan guns of modern turreted battleships have forced the Malays to look about for more peaceful pursuits. On our way from Penang to Singapore, we had glimpses of Sumatra with its high mountains, and the Captain pointed out to me several places as the favourite haunts of pirates in days gone by. Singapore is the capital of the Straits Settlements. It has a fine botanical garden with the most splendid collection of palms. The beautiful fan-like palm called the traveller's palm, grows here in abundance, and the bread-fruit tree everywhere. The celebrated mangosteen is as plentiful here as mangoes in Madras, but mango is *nonpareil*. The people here are not half so dark as the people of Madras, although so near the line. Singapore possesses a fine museum too.

Honkong next. You feel that you have reached China, the Chinese element predominates so much. All labour, all trade seems to be in their hands. And Honkong is real China. As soon as the steamer casts anchor, you are besieged with hundreds of Chinese boats to carry you to the land. These boats with two helms are rather peculiar. The boatman lives in the boat with his family. Almost always, the wife is at the helms, managing one with her hands and the other with one of her feet. And in ninety per cent. cases, you find a baby tied to her back, with the hands and feet of the little Chin left free. It is a quaint sight to see the little John Chinaman dangling very quietly

from his mother's back, whilst she is now setting with might and main, now pushing heavy loads, or jumping with wonderful agility from boat to boat. And there is such a rush of boats and steam-launches coming in and going out. Baby John is every moment put into the risk of having his little head pulverised, pigtail and all ; but he does not care a fig. This busy life seems to have no charm for him, and he is quite content to learn the anatomy of a bit of rice-cake given to him from time to time, by the madly busy mother. The Chinese child is quite a philosopher and calmly goes to work at an age when your Indian boy can hardly crawl on all fours. He has learned the philosophy of necessity too well. Their extreme poverty is one of the causes why the Chinese and the Indians have remained in a state of mummified civilisation. To an ordinary Hindu or Chinese, everyday necessity is too hideous to allow him to think of anything else.

Honkong is a very beautiful town. It is built on the slopes of hills and on the tops too, which are much cooler than the city. There is an almost perpendicular tramway going to the top of the hill, dragged by wire-rope and steam-power.

We remained three days at Honkong and went to see Canton, which is eighty miles up a river. What a scene of bustle and life! What an immense number of boats almost covering the waters! And not only those that are carrying on the trade, but hundreds of others which serve as houses to live in. And quite a lot of them so nice and big. In fact they are big houses two or three stories high, with verandahs running round, and streets between and all floating!

We landed on a strip of ground given by the Chinese Government to foreigners to live in. Around us on both sides of the river for miles and miles is the big city—a wilderness of human beings, pushing, struggling, surging,

roaring. But with all its population, all its activity, it is the dirtiest town I saw, not in the sense in which a town is called dirty in India, for as to that not a speck of filth is allowed by the Chinese to go waste ; but because of the Chinaman, who has, it seems, taken a vow never to bathe ! Every house is a shop, people living only on the top-floor. The streets are very very narrow, so that you almost touch the shops on both sides as you pass. At every ten paces you find meat-stalls, and there are shops which sell cat's and dog's meat. Of course, only the poorest classes of Chinamen eat dog or cat.

The Chinese lady can never be seen. They have got as strict a Zenana as the Hindus of Northern India ; only the women of the labouring classes can be seen. Even amongst these, one sees now and then a woman with feet smaller than those of your youngest child, and of course they cannot be said to walk, but hobble.

I went to see several Chinese temples. The biggest in Canton is dedicated to the memory of the first Buddhistic Emperor and the five hundred first disciples of Buddhism. The central figure is of course Buddha, and next beneath Him is seated the Emperor, and ranging on both sides are the statues of the disciples, all beautifully carved out of wood.

From Canton back to Honkong, and from thence to Japan. The first port we touched was Nagasaki. We landed for a few hours and drove through the town. What a contrast ! The Japanese are one of the cleanliest peoples on earth. Everything is neat and tidy. Their streets are nearly all broad, straight and regularly paved. Their little houses are cage-like, and their pine-covered evergreen little hills form the background of almost every town and village. The short-statured, fair-skinned, quaintly-dressed Japs, their movements, attitudes, gestures, everything is picturesque. Japan is the land of the picturesque ! Almost every house has a garden at the back, very nicely

laid out according to Japanese fashion with small shrubs, grass-plots, small artificial waters and small stone bridges.

From Nagasaki to Kobe. Here I gave up the steamer and took the land-route to Yokohama, with a view to see the interior of Japan.

I have seen three big cities in the interior,—Osaka, a great manufacturing town, Kioto, the former capital, and Tokio, the present capital. Tokio is nearly twice the size of Calcutta with nearly double the population.

No foreigner is allowed to travel in the interior without a passport.

The Japanese seem now to have fully awakened themselves to the necessity of the present times. They have now a thoroughly organised army equipped with guns, which one of their own officers has invented, and which is said to be second to none. Then, they are continually increasing their navy. I have seen a tunnel nearly a mile long, bored by a Japanese engineer.

The match factories are simply a sight to see, and they are bent upon making everything they want in their own country. There is a Japanese line of steamers plying between China and Japan, which shortly intends running between Bombay and Yokohama.

I saw quite a lot of temples. In every temple there are some Sanskrit Mantras written in old Bengali characters, Only a few of the priests know Sanskrit. But they are an intelligent sect. The modern rage for progress has penetrated even the priesthood. I cannot write what I have in my mind about the Japs in one short letter. Only I want that numbers of our young men should pay a visit to Japan every year. To the Japanese, India is still the dreamland of everything high and good. And you, what are you?.....talking twaddle all your lives, vain talkers, what are you? Come, see these people and then go and hide your faces in shame. A race of dotards, you lose your

caste if you come out! Sitting down these hundreds of years with an ever-increasing load of crystallised superstition on your heads, for hundreds of years spending all your energy upon discussing the touchableness or untouchableness of this food or that, with all humanity crushed out of you by the continuous social tyranny of ages—what are you? And what are you doing now?.....promenading the sea-shores with books in your hands—repeating undigested stray bits of European brainwork, and the whole soul bent upon getting a thirty rupees clerkship, or at best becoming a lawyer—the height of young India's ambition, —and every student with a whole brood of hungry children cackling at his heels and asking for bread! Is there not water enough in the sea to drown you, books, gowns, university diplomas, and all?

Come, be men! Kick out the priests who are always against progress,—because they would never mend, their hearts would never become big. They are the offspring of centuries of superstition and tyranny. Root out priestcraft first. Come, be men! Come out of your narrow holes and have a look abroad. See how nations are on the march! Do you love man? Do you love your country? Then come, let us struggle for higher and better things; look not back, no, not even if you see the dearest and nearest cry. Look not back, but forward!

India wants the sacrifice of at least a thousand of her young men,—men, mind, and not brutes. The English Government has been the instrument brought over here by the Lord, to break your crystallised civilisation, and Madras supplied the first men who helped in giving the English a footing. How many men, unselfish, thorough-going men, is Madras ready now to supply, to struggle unto life and death to bring about a new state of things—sympathy for the poor—and bread to their hungry mouths—enlightenment to the people at large—and struggle unto death to

make men of them who have been brought to the level of beasts, by the tyranny of your forefathers?

Yours &c.,

VIVEKANANDA.

P. S. Calm and silent and steady work, and no newspaper humbug, no name-making, you must always remember.

V.

IV

BREEZY MEADOWS,
METCALF, MASS.
20th August, 1893.

DEAR—,

Received your letter yesterday. Perhaps you have by this time got my letter from Japan. From Japan I reached Vancouver. The way was by the Northern Pacific. It was very cold and I suffered much for want of warm clothing. However, I reached Vancouver anyhow, and thence went through Canada to Chicago. I remained about twelve days in Chicago. And almost every day I used to go to the Fair. It is a tremendous affair. One must take at least ten days to go through it. The lady to whom B—— introduced me, and her husband, belong to the highest Chicago society, and they were so very kind to me. I took my departure from Chicago and came to Boston. Mr. L—— was with me up to Boston. He was very kind to me.

The expense I am bound to run into here is awful..... On an average it costs me £1 every day; a cigar costs eight annas of our money. The Americans are so rich that they spend money like water, and by forced legislation keep up the price of everything so high, that no other nation on earth can approach it. Every common coolie earns nine or ten rupees a day, and spends it. All

those rosy ideas we had before starting have melted, and I have now to fight against impossibilities. A hundred times I had a mind to go out of the country and go back to India. But I am determined, and I have a call from above ; I see no way, but His eyes see. And I must stick to my guns, life or death. * * *

Just now I am living as the guest of an old lady in a village near Boston. I accidentally made her acquaintance in the railway train, and she invited me to come over and live with her. I have an advantage in living with her, in saving for some time my expenditure of £1 per day, and she has the advantage of inviting her friends over here, and showing them a curio from India! And all this must be borne. Starvation, cold, hooting in the streets on account of my quaint dress, these are what I have to fight against. But, my dear boy, no great things were ever done without great labour.

* * Know then that this is the land of Christians, and any other influence than that is almost zero. Nor do I care a bit for the enmity of any —ists in the world. I am here amongst the children of the Son of Mary, and the Lord Jesus will help me. They like much the broad views of Hinduism and my love for the Prophet of Nazareth. I tell them that I preach nothing against the Great One of Galilee. I only ask the Christians to take in the Great Ones of Ind along with the Lord Jesus, and they appreciate it.

Winter is approaching and I shall have to get all sorts of warm clothing, and we require more warm clothing than the natives.....Look sharp, my boy, take courage. We are destined by the Lord to do great things in India. Have faith. We will do. We, the poor and the despised, who really feel, and not those.....

In Chicago, the other day, a funny thing happened. The Raja of K— was here, and he was being lionised by some portion of Chicago society. I once met the Raja in the Fair grounds, but he was too big to speak with a poor

Fakir. There was an eccentric Mahratta Brâhmana selling nail-made pictures in the Fair, dressed in a *dhôti*. This fellow told the reporters all sorts of things against the Raja——, that he was a man of low caste, that those Rajas were nothing but slaves, and that they generally lead immoral lives, etc., etc. And these truthful (?) editors for which America is famous, wanted to give to the boy's stories some weight ; and so the next day they wrote huge columns in their papers about the description of a man of wisdom from India, meaning me,—extolling me to the skies, and putting all sorts of words in my mouth, which I never even dreamt of, and ascribing to me all those remarks made by the Mahratta Brâhmana about the Raja of K——. And it was such a good brushing that Chicago society gave up the Raja in hot haste.....These newspaper editors made capital out of me to give my countryman a brushing. That shows, however, that in this country intellect carries more weight than all the pomp of money and title.

Yesterday Mrs.——, the lady superintendent of the women's prison, was here. They don't call it prison but reformatory here. It is the grandest thing I have seen in America. How the inmates are benevolently treated, how they are reformed and sent back as useful members of society ; how grand, how beautiful you must see to believe ! And, oh, how my heart ached to think of what we think of the poor, the low, in India. They have no chance, no escape, no way to climb up. The poor, the low, the sinner in India have no friends, no help,—they cannot rise, try however they may. They sink lower and lower every day, they feel the blows showering upon them by a cruel society, and they do not know whence the blow comes. They have forgotten that they too are men. And the result is slavery. Thoughtful people within the last few years have seen it, but unfortunately laid it at the door of the Hindu religion, and to them, the only way of bettering is by crushing this grandest religion of the world. Hear

me, my friend, I have discovered the secret through the grace of the Lord. Religion is not at fault. On the other hand, your religion teaches you that every being is only your own self multiplied. But it was the want of practical application, the want of sympathy—the want of heart. The Lord once more came to you as Buddha and taught you how to feel, how to sympathise with the poor, the miserable, the sinner, but you heard Him not. Your priests invented the horrible story that the Lord was here for deluding demons with false doctrines! True indeed, but we are the demons, not those that believed. And just as the Jews denied the Lord Jesus and are since that day wandering over the world as homeless beggars, tyrannised over by everybody, so you are bond-slaves to any nation that thinks it worth while to rule over you. Ah, tyrants! you do not know that the obverse is tyranny, and the reverse, slavery. The slave and the tyrant are synonymous.

B—— and G—— may remember one evening at Pondichery, we were discussing the matter of sea-voyage with a Pandit, and I shall always remember his brutal gestures and his *Kadâpi na* (never)! They do not know that India is a very small part of the world, and the whole world looks down with contempt upon the three hundred millions of earth-worms crawling upon the fair soil of India and trying to oppress each other. This state of things must be removed, not by destroying religion but by following the great teachings of the Hindu faith, and joining with it the wonderful sympathy of that logical development of Hinduism,—Buddhism.

A hundred thousand men and women, fired with the zeal of holiness, fortified with eternal faith in the Lord, and nerved to lion's courage by their sympathy for the poor and the fallen and the down-trodden, will go over the length and breadth of the land, preaching the gospel of salvation, the gospel of help, the gospel of social raising-up—the gospel of Equality.

No religion on earth preaches the dignity of humanity in such a lofty strain as Hinduism, and no religion on earth treads upon the necks of the poor and the low in such a fashion as Hinduism. The Lord has shown me that religion is not at fault, but it is the Pharisees and Sadducees in Hinduism, hypocrites, who invent all sorts of engines of tyranny in the shape of doctrines of Pâramârthika and Vyâvahârika.

Despair not, remember the Lord says in the Gita, "To work you have the right, but not to the result." Gird up your loins, my boy. I am called by the Lord for this. I have been dragged through a whole life full of crosses and tortures, I have seen the nearest and dearest die, almost of starvation,—I have been ridiculed, distrusted, and have suffered for my sympathy for the very men who scoff and scorn. Well, my boy, this is the school of misery, which is also the school for great souls and prophets for the cultivation of sympathy, of patience, and above all, of an indomitable iron will which quakes not even if the universe be pulverised at our feet. I pity them. It is not their fault. They are children, yea, veritable children, though they be great and high in society. Their eyes see nothing beyond their little horizon of a few yards,—the routine-work, eating, drinking, earning and begetting, following each other in mathematical precision. They know nothing beyond, happy little souls! Their sleep is never disturbed. Their nice little brown-studies of lives never rudely shocked by the wail of woe, of misery, of degradation and poverty, that has filled the Indian atmosphere,—the result of centuries of oppression. They little dream of the ages of tyranny, mental, moral and physical, that has reduced the image of God to a mere beast of burden ; the emblem of the Divine Mother, to a slave to bear children ; and life itself, a curse. But there are others who see, feel, and shed tears of blood in their hearts, who think that there is a remedy for it, and who are ready to apply this remedy at

any cost, even to the giving up of life. And "Of such is the kingdom of Heaven." Is it not then natural, my friends, that they have no time to look down from their heights to the vagaries of these contemptible little insects, ready every moment to spit their little venoms?

Trust not to the so-called rich, they are more dead than alive. The hope lies in you—in the meek, the lowly, but the faithful. Have faith in the Lord; no policy, it is nothing. Feel for the miserable and look up for help—it *shall come*. I have travelled twelve years with this load in my heart and this idea in my head. I have gone from door to door of the so-called rich and great. With a bleeding heart I have crossed half the world to this strange land, seeking for help. The Lord is great. I know He will help me. I may perish of cold or hunger in this land, but I bequeath to you, young men, this sympathy, this struggle for the poor, the ignorant, the oppressed. Go now this minute to the temple of Pârthasârathi, and before Him who was friend to the poor and lowly cowherds of Gokula, who never shrank to embrace the pariah Guhaka, who accepted the invitation of a prostitute in preference to that of the nobles and saved her in His incarnation as Buddha—yea, down on your faces before Him, and make a great sacrifice, the sacrifice of a whole life for them, for whom He comes from time to time, whom He loves above all, the poor, the lowly, the oppressed. Vow then to devote your whole lives to the cause of the redemption of these three hundred millions, going down and down every day.

It is not the work of a day, and the path is full of the most deadly thorns. But Pârthasârathi is ready to be our Sârathi, we know that, and in His name and with eternal faith in Him, set fire to the mountain of misery that has been heaped upon India for ages—and it shall be burned down. Come then, look it in the face, brethren, it is a grand task and we are so low. But we are the sons of Light and children of God. Glory unto the Lord, we will succeed.

Hundreds will fall in the struggle—hundreds will be ready to take it up. I may die here unsuccessful, another will take up the task. You know the disease, you know the remedy, only have faith. Do not look up to the so-called rich and great ; do not care for the heartless intellectual writers, and their cold-blooded newspaper articles. Faith —sympathy, fiery faith and fiery sympathy! Life is nothing, death is nothing,—hunger nothing, cold nothing. Glory unto the Lord—march on, the Lord is our General. Do not look back to see who falls—forward—onward! Thus and thus we shall go on, brethren. One falls, and another takes up the work.

From this village I am going to Boston to-morrow. I am going to speak at a big Ladies' Club here, which is helping Ramabai. I must first go and buy some clothing in Boston. If I am to live longer here, my quaint dress will not do. People gather by hundreds in the streets to see me. So what I want is to dress myself in a long black coat, and keep a red robe and turban to wear when I lecture. This is what they advise me to do.....It is necessary to remain here for some time to gain any influence. * * *

In America, there are no classes in the railway except in Canada. So I have to travel first-class, as that is the only class ; but I do not venture in the Pullmans. They are very comfortable,—you sleep, eat, drink, even bathe in them, just as if you were in a hotel,—but they are too expensive.

It is very hard work getting into society and making yourself heard. Now nobody is in the towns, they are all away in summer places. They will all come back in winter. Therefore I must wait. After such a struggle I am not going to give up easily. Rome was not built in a day. If you can keep me here for six months at least, I hope everything will come right. In the meantime I am trying my best to find any plank I can float upon.

Even now it is so cold in New England that every day

we have fires night and morning. Canada is still colder. I never saw snow on such low hills as there.

Gradually I can make my way ; but that means a longer residence in this horribly expensive country. Just now the raising of the Rupee in India has created a panic in this country, and lots of mills have been stopped.....I must wait.

I must try to the end, and even if I die of cold or disease or hunger here, you take up the task. Holiness, sincerity and faith. First I will try in America, and if I fail, I will try in England ; if I fail there too, I can go back to India and wait for further commands from On High.

Yours,
VIVEKANANDA.

V

CHICAGO,
The 2nd November, 1893.

DEAR——,

I am so sorry that a moment's weakness on my part should cause you so much trouble ; I was out of pocket at that time. Since then the Lord sent me friends. At a village near Boston I made the acquaintance of Dr. Wright, Professor of Greek in the Harvard University. He sympathised with me very much and urged upon me the necessity of going to the Parliament of Religions, which he thought would give me an introduction to the nation. As I was not acquainted with anybody, the Professor undertook to arrange everything for me, and eventually I came back to Chicago. Here I, together with the oriental and occidental delegates to the Parliament of Religions, were all lodged in the house of a gentleman.

On the morning of the opening of the Parliament, we

all assembled in a building called the Art Palace, where one huge, and other smaller temporary halls were erected for the sittings of the Parliament. Men from all nations were there. From India were Mazoomdar of the Brahmo Samaj, and Nagarkar of Bombay, Mr. Gandhi representing the Jains, and Mr. Chakravarti representing Theosophy with Mrs. Annie Besant. Of these, Mazoomdar and I were, of course, old friends, and Chakravarti knew me by name. There was a grand procession, and we were all marshalled on to the platform. Imagine a hall below and a huge gallery above, packed with six or seven thousand men and women representing the best culture of the country, and on the platform learned men of all the nations of the earth. And I, who never spoke in public in my life, to address this august assemblage ! ! It was opened in great form with music and ceremony and speeches ; then the delegates were introduced one by one, and they stepped up and spoke. Of course my heart was fluttering and my tongue nearly dried up ; I was so nervous, and could not venture to speak in the morning. Mazoomdar made a nice speech, —Chakravarti a nicer one, and they were much applauded. They were all prepared and came with ready-made speeches. I was a fool and had none, but bowed down to Devi Saraswati and stepped up, and Dr. Barrows introduced me. I made a short speech. I addressed the assembly as, "Sisters and Brothers of America,"—a deafening applause of two minutes followed, and then I proceeded, and when it was finished I sat down, almost exhausted with emotion. The next day all the papers announced that my speech was the hit of the day, and I became known to the whole of America. Truly has it been said by the great commentator Sridhara—मूकं करोति वाचालं—"Who maketh the dumb a fluent speaker." His name be praised ! From that day I became a celebrity, and the day I read my paper on Hinduism, the hall was packed as it had never been before. I quote to you from one of the papers: "Ladies, ladies, ladies

packing every place—filling every corner, they patiently waited and waited while the papers that separated them from Vivekananda were read," &c. You would be astonished if I sent over to you the newspaper cuttings, but you already know that I am a hater of celebrity. Suffice it to say, that whenever I went on the platform a deafening applause would be raised for me. Nearly all the papers paid high tributes to me, and even the most bigoted had to admit that "This man with his handsome face and magnetic presence and wonderful oratory is the most prominent figure in the Parliament," &c., &c. * * *

And how to speak of their kindness? I have no more wants now, I am well-off, and all the money that I require to visit Europe I shall get from here.....A boy called N— Acharya has cropped up in our midst. He has been loafing about the city for the last three years. Loafing or no loafing, I like him, but please write to me all about him, if you know anything. He knows you. He came in the year of the Paris Exhibition to Europe. * * *

I am now out of want. Many of the handsomest houses in this city are open to me. All the time I am living as a guest of somebody or other. There is a curiosity in this nation, such as you meet with nowhere else. They want to know everything, and their women—they are the most advanced in the world. The average American woman is far more cultivated than the average American man. The men slave all their life for money, and the women snatch every opportunity to improve themselves. And they are a very kind-hearted, frank people. Everybody who has a fad to preach comes here, and I am sorry to say that most of these are not sound. The Americans have their faults too, and what nation has not? But this is my summing up. Asia laid the germs of civilisation, Europe developed man, and America is developing woman and the masses. It is the paradise of the woman and the labourer. Now contrast the American masses and women

with ours, and you get the idea at once. The Americans are fast becoming liberal. Judge them not by the specimens of *hard-shelled Christians* (it is their own phrase) that you see in India. There are those here too, but their number is decreasing rapidly, and this great nation is progressing fast towards that spirituality which is the standard boast of the Hindu.

The Hindu must not give up his religion, but must keep religion within its proper limits and give freedom to society to grow. All the reformers in India made the serious mistake of holding religion accountable for all the horrors of priestcraft and degeneration, and went forthwith to pull down the indestructible structure, and what was the result? Failure!! Beginning from Buddha down to Ram Mohan Roy, everyone made the mistake of holding caste to be a religious institution and tried to pull down religion and caste all together, and failed. But in spite of all the ravings of the priests, caste is simply a crystallised social institution, which after doing its service is now filling the atmosphere of India with its stench, and it can only be removed by giving back to the people their lost social individuality. Every man born here knows that he is a *man*. Every man born in India knows that he is a slave of society. Now, freedom is the only condition of growth, take that off, the result is degeneration. With the introduction of modern competition, see how caste is disappearing fast! No religion is now necessary to kill it. The Brâhmana shopkeeper, shoemaker and wine-distiller are common in Northern India. And why? Because of competition. No man is prohibited from doing anything he pleases for his livelihood under the present Government, and the result is neck and neck competition, and thus thousands are seeking and finding the highest level they were born for, instead of vegetating at the bottom.

I must remain in this country at least through the winter, and then go to Europe. The Lord will provide everything

for me. You need not disturb yourself about it. I cannot express my gratitude for your love.

Day by day I am feeling that the Lord is with me, and I am trying to follow His direction. His will be done..... We will do great things for the world, and that for the sake of doing good and not for name and fame.

"Ours not to reason why, ours but to do and die." Be of good cheer and believe that we are selected by the Lord to do great things, and we will do them. Hold yourself in readiness, *i.e.*, be pure and holy, and love for love's sake. Love the poor, the miserable, the down-trodden, and the Lord will bless you.

See R— and others from time to time, and urge them to sympathise with the masses of India. Tell them how they are standing on the neck of the poor, and that they are not fit to be called men if they do not try to raise them up. Be fearless, the Lord is with you, and He will yet raise the starving and ignorant millions of India. A railway porter here is better educated than many of your young men and most of your princes. Every American woman has far better education than can be conceived of by the majority of Hindu women. Why cannot we have the same education? We must.

Think not that you are poor ; money is not power, but goodness, holiness. Come and see how it is so all over the world.

Yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

P. S. By the bye, —'s paper was the most curious phenomenon I ever saw. It was like a tradesman's catalogue, and it was not thought fit to be read in the Parliament. So N— read a few extracts from it in a side-hall and nobody understood a word of it. Do not tell him of it. It is a great art to press the largest amount of thought into the smallest number of words. Even —'s

paper had to be cut very short. More than a thousand papers were read, and there was no time to give to such wild perorations. I had a good long time given to me over the ordinary half hour,.....because the most popular speakers were always put down last, to hold the audience. And Lord bless them, what sympathy they have, and what patience! They would sit from ten o'clock in the morning to ten o'clock at night—only a recess of half an hour for a meal, and paper after paper read, most of them very trivial, but they would wait and wait to hear their favourites.

Dharmapala of Ceylon was one of the favourites..... He is a very sweet man, and we became very intimate during the Parliament.

A Christian lady from Poona, Miss Sorabji, and the Jain representative, Mr. Gandhi, are going to remain longer in the country and make lecture tours. I hope they will succeed. Lecturing is a very profitable occupation in this country and sometimes pays well.

Mr. Ingersoll gets five to six hundred dollars a lecture. He is the most celebrated lecturer in this country.

V.

VI

(Translated from Bengali.)

C/o George W. Hale Esqr.
541, DEARBORN AVENUE, CHICAGO.
28th December, 1893.

DEAR H—,

It is very strange that news of my Chicago lectures has appeared in the Indian papers ; for whatever I do, I try my best to avoid publicity. Many things strike me here. It may be fairly said that there is no poverty in this country. I have never seen women elsewhere as cultured and

educated as they are here. Well-educated men there are in our country, but you will scarcely find anywhere women like those here. It is indeed true, that "the Goddess Herself lives in the houses of virtuous men as Lakshmi." I have seen thousands of women here whose hearts are as pure and stainless as snow. Oh, how free they are! It is they who control social and civic duties. Schools and colleges are full of women, and in our country women cannot be safely allowed to walk in the streets! Their kindness to me is immeasurable. Since I came here I have been welcomed by them to their houses. They are providing me with food, arranging for my lectures, taking me to market, and doing everything for my comfort and convenience. I shall never be able to repay in the least the deep debt of gratitude I owe to them.

Do you know who is the real "Sakti-worshipper"? It is he who knows that God is the Omnipresent Force in the Universe, and sees in women the manifestation of that Force. Many men here look upon their women in this light. Manu, again, has said that gods bless those families where women are happy and well-treated. Here men treat their women as well as can be desired, and hence they are so prosperous, so learned, so free and so energetic. But why is it that we are slavish, miserable and dead? The answer is obvious.

And how pure and chaste are they here! Few women are married before twenty or twenty-five, and they are as free as the birds in the air. They go to market, school and college, earn money and do all kinds of work. Those who are well-to-do devote themselves to doing good to the poor. And what are we doing? We are very regular in marrying our girls at eleven years of age lest they should become corrupt and immoral. What does our Manu enjoin? "Daughters should be supported and educated with as much care and attention as the sons." As sons should be married after observing Brahmacharya up to the thirtieth

year, so daughters also must observe Brahmacharya and be educated by their parents. But what are we actually doing? Can you better the condition of your women? Then there will be hope for your well-being. Otherwise you will remain as backward as you are now.

If anybody is born of a low caste in our country he is gone forever, there is no hope for him. Why, what a tyranny it is! There are possibilities, opportunities and hope for every individual in this country. To-day he is poor, to-morrow he may become rich and learned and respected. Here everyone is anxious to help the poor. In India there is a howling cry that we are very poor, but how many charitable associations are there for the well-being of the poor? How many people really weep for the sorrows and sufferings of the millions of poor in India? Are we *men*? What are we doing for their livelihood, for their improvement? We do not touch them, we avoid their company! Are we men? Those thousands of Brâhmanas—what are they doing for the low, down-trodden masses of India? "Don't-touch," "Don't-touch," is the only phrase that plays upon their lips! How mean and degraded has our eternal religion become at their hands! Wherein does our religion lie now? In "Don't-touchism" alone, and nowhere else!

I came to this country not to satisfy my curiosity, nor for name or fame, but to see if I could find any means for the support of the poor in India. If God helps me, you will know by and by what those means are.

As regards spirituality, the Americans are far inferior to us, but their society is far superior to ours. We will teach them our spirituality, and assimilate what is best in their society.

With love and best wishes,

Yours,

VIVEKANANDA.

VII

C/o George W. Hale Esqr.
541, DEARBORN AVENUE, CHICAGO.
24th January, 1894.

DEAR FRIENDS,

Your letters have reached me. I am surprised that so much about me has reached you. The criticism of the paper you mention is not to be taken as the attitude of the American people. That paper is almost unknown here, and belongs to what they call a "blue-nose Presbyterian paper," very bigoted. Still all the "blue-noses" are not ungentlemanly. The American people, and many of the clergy are very hospitable to me. That paper wanted a little notoriety by attacking a man who was being lionised by society. That trick is well known here, and they do not think anything of it. Of course our Indian missionaries may try to make capital out of it. If they do, tell them, "Mark, Jew, a judgment has come upon you!" Their old building is tottering to its foundation and must come down in spite of their hysterical shrieks. I pity them—if their means of living fine lives in India is cut down by the influx of oriental religions here. But not one of their leading clergy is ever against me. Well, when I am in the pond I must bathe thoroughly.

I send you a newspaper cutting of the short sketch of our religion which I read before them. Most of my speeches are extempore.....I do not require any help from India, I have plenty here. Employ the money you have in printing and publishing this short speech, and translating it into the vernaculars, throw it broadcast ; that will keep before us the national mind. In the meantime do not forget our plan of a central college, and the starting from it to all directions in India. Work hard. * * *

About the women of America, I cannot express my

gratitude for their kindness. Lord bless them. In this country, women are the life of every movement, and represent all the culture of the nation, for men are too busy to educate themselves.

I have received K—'s letters. With the question whether caste shall go or come I have nothing to do. My idea is to bring to the door of the meanest, the poorest, the noble ideas that the human race has developed both in and out of India, and let them think for themselves. Whether there should be caste or not, whether women should be perfectly free or not, does not concern me. "Liberty of thought and action is the only condition of life, of growth and well-being." Where it does not exist, the man, the race, the nation must go down.

Caste or no caste, creed or no creed, any man, or class, or caste, or nation, or institution which bars the power of free thought and action of an individual—even so long as that power does not injure others—is devilish and must go down.

My whole ambition in life is to set in motion a machinery which will bring noble ideas to the door of everybody, and then let men and women settle their own fate. Let them know what our forefathers as well as other nations have thought on the most momentous questions of life. Let them see specially what others are doing now, and then decide. We are to put the chemicals together, the crystallisation will be done by nature according to her laws. Work hard, be steady and have faith in the Lord. Set to work, I am coming sooner or later. Keep the motto before you,—"Elevation of the masses without injuring the religion."

Remember that the nation lives in the cottage. But, alas! nobody ever did anything for them. Our modern reformers are very busy about widow remarriage. Of course I am a sympathiser in every reform, but the fate of a nation does not depend upon the number of husbands

their widows get, but upon the *condition of the masses*. Can you raise them? Can you give them back their lost individuality without making them lose their innate spiritual nature? Can you become an occidental of occidentals in your spirit of equality, freedom, work and energy, and at the same time a Hindu to the very backbone in religious culture and instincts? This is to be done and *we will do it*. You are all *born to do it*. Have faith in yourselves, great convictions are the mothers of great deeds. Onward for ever! Sympathy for the poor, the down-trodden, even unto death,—this is our motto.

Onward, brave lads!

Yours affectionately,

VIVEKANANDA.

P. S. Preach the idea of elevating the masses by means of a central college, and bringing education as well as religion to the door of the poor by means of missionaries trained in this college. Try to interest everybody.

V.

VIII

NEW YORK,
The 9th April, 1894.

DEAR A—,

* * * *

Secretary Saheb writes me that I must come back to India because that is my field. No doubt of that. But my brother, we are to light a torch which will shed a lustre over all India. So let us not be in a hurry ; everything will come by the grace of the Lord. I have lectured in many of the big towns of America.....I have made a good many friends here, some of them very influential. Of course the

orthodox clergymen are against me, and seeing that it is not easy to grapple with me they try to hinder, abuse and vilify me in every way.....Lord bless them! My brother, no good thing can be done without obstruction. It is only those who persevere to the end that succeed..... I believe that the *Satya Yuga* will come when there will be one caste, one Veda, and peace and harmony. This idea of *Satya Yuga* is what would revivify India. Believe it. * *

Up boys, and put yourselves to the task! * * *

Old Hinduism for ever!.....Up, up, my boys, we are sure to win!

* * * When once we begin to work we shall have a tremendous "boom," but I do not want to talk without working. * * *

Yours,
With all blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

IX

CHICAGO,
28th May, 1894.

DEAR A—,

I could not write you earlier because I was whirling to and fro from New York to Boston. I do not know when I am going back to India. It is better to leave everything in the hands of Him who is at my back directing me. Try to work without me, as if I never existed. Do not wait for anybody or anything. Do whatever you can. Build your hope on none. * * *

I have done a good deal of lecturing here.....The expenses here are terrible; money has to fly, although I have been almost always taken care of everywhere by the nicest and the highest families.

I do not know whether I shall go away this summer or not. Most probably not. In the meantime try to organise and push on our plans. Believe you can do everything. Know that the Lord is with us, and so, onward, brave souls!

I have had enough appreciation in my own country. Appreciation or no appreciation, sleep not, slacken not. You must remember that not a bit even of our plans has been as yet carried out.

Act on the educated young men, bring them together and organise them. Great things can be done by great sacrifices only. No selfishness, no name, no fame, yours or mine, nor my Master's even! Work, work the idea, the plan, my boys, my brave, noble, good souls,—to the wheel, to the wheel put your shoulders! Stop not to look back for name, or fame, or any such nonsense. Throw self overboard and work. Remember, "The grass when made into a rope by being joined together can even chain a mad elephant." The Lord's blessings on you all! His power be in you all—as I believe it is *already*. "Wake up, stop not until the goal is reached," say the Vedas. Up, up, the long night is passing, the day is approaching, the wave has risen, nothing will be able to resist its tidal fury. The spirit, my boys, the spirit ; the love, my children, the love ; the faith, the belief ; and fear not! The greatest sin is fear.

My blessings on all. Tell all the noble souls there who have helped our cause, that I send them my eternal love and gratitude, but I beg of them not to slacken. Throw the idea broadcast. Do not be proud ; do not insist upon anything dogmatic ; do not go against anything ;—ours is to put chemicals together, the Lord knows how and when the crystal will form. Above all, be not inflated with my success or yours. Great works are to be done ; what is this small success in comparison to what is to come? Believe, believe, the decree has gone forth, the fiat of the Lord has gone forth—India must rise, the masses and the

poor are to be made happy. Rejoice!.....The flood of spirituality has risen. I see it is rolling over the land resistless, boundless, all-absorbing. Every man to the fore, every good will be added to its forces, every hand will smooth its way, and glory be unto the Lord! * * *

I do not require any help. Try to get up a fund, buy some magic-lanterns, maps, globes &c., and some chemicals. Get every evening a crowd of the poor and low, even the pariahs, and lecture to them about religion first, and then teach them through the magic-lantern and other things, astronomy, geography &c., in the dialect of the people. Train up a band of fiery young men. Put your fire in them and gradually increase the organisation letting it widen and widen its circle. Do the best you can, do not wait to cross the river when the water has all run down. Printing magazines, papers etc. are good, no doubt, but actual work, my boys, even if infinitesimal, is better than eternal scribbling and talking. Call a meeting at B—'s, get a little money and buy those things I have just now stated, hire a hut and go to work. Magazines are *secondary,* but this is primary. You must have a hold on the masses. Do not be afraid of a small beginning, great things come afterwards. Be courageous. Do not try to lead your brethren, but serve them. The brutal mania for leading has sunk many a great ship in the waters of life. Take care especially of that, *i.e.,* be unselfish even unto death, and work. I could not write all I was going to say, but the Lord will give you all understanding, my brave boys. At it, my boys! Glory unto the Lord!

Yours affectionately,
VIVEKANANDA.

X

U. S. A.
11th July, 1894.

DEAR A—,

* * * Learn business, my boy. We will do great things yet! Last year I only sowed the seeds ; this year I mean to reap. In the meanwhile, keep up as much enthusiasm as possible in India, Let K—— go his own way. He will come out all right in time. I have taken his responsibility. He has a perfect right to his own opinion. Make him write for the paper ; that will keep him in good temper! My blessings on him.

* * * You must send a paper and a letter to Professor J. H. Wright of Harvard University, Boston, thanking him as having been the first man who stood as my friend.

* * * In the Detroit lecture I got $ 900, *i.e.*, Rs. 2,700. In other lectures, I earned in one, $ 2,500, *i.e.*, Rs. 7,500, in one hour, but got only 200 dollars! I was cheated by a roguish lecture Bureau. I have given them up. * * *

I shall have to print much matter next year. I am going regularly to work.....The sheer power of the *will* will do everything.....You must organise a society which should regularly meet, and write to me about it as often as you can. In fact, get up as much enthusiasm as you can. Only, *beware* of falsehood. Go to work, my boys, the fire will come to you! The faculty of organisation is entirely absent in our nature, but this has to be infused. The great secret is,—absence of jealousy. Be always ready to concede to the opinions of your brethren, and try always to conciliate. That is the whole secret. Fight on bravely! Life is short! Give it up to a great cause.....We must not join any sect, but we must sympathise and work with each.....Work, work—conquer all by your love! * *

Try to expand. Remember the only *sign of life* is motion and growth.....Keep on steadily. So far we have done wonderful things. Onward, brave souls, we will gain! Organise and found societies and go to work, that is the only way.

At this time of the year there is not much lecturing to be done here, so I will devote myself to my pen, and write. I shall be hard at work all the time, and then when the cold weather comes and people return to their homes, I shall begin lecturing again, and at the same time organise societies.

My love and blessings to you all. I never forget anybody, though I do not write often. Then again, I am now continuously travelling, and letters have to be redirected from one place to another.

Work hard. Be holy and pure and the fire will come.

Yours affectionately,
VIVEKANANDA.

XI

U. S. A.
31st August, 1894.

DEAR A——

* * * *

I have received a letter from *Cat,* but it requires a book to answer all his queries. So I send him my blessings through you and ask you to remind him that we agree to differ,—and see the harmony of contrary points. So it does not matter what he believes in ; he must act.

Give my love to B——, G. G., K——, Doctor, and to all our friends and all the great and patriotic souls, who

were brave and noble enough to sink their differences for their country's cause.

* * * Now organise a little society.....You will have to take charge of the whole movement, not as a *leader,* but as a *servant.* Do you know, the least show of leading destroys everything by rousing jealousy!..... Work slowly by disseminating the ideas broadcast..... Mysore will in time be a great stronghold of our Mission .....Try to collect funds from Mysore and elsewhere to build a temple in Madras, which should have a library and some rooms for the office and the preachers who should be Sannyasins, and for Vairagis who may chance to come. Thus we shall progress inch by inch.....So far you have done well indeed, my brave boy. All strength shall be given to you. * * *

This is a great field for my work, and everything done here prepares the way for my coming work in England. * *

You know the greatest difficulty with me is to keep or even to touch money. It is disgusting and debasing. So you must organise a society to take charge of the practical and pecuniary part of it. I have friends here who take care of all my monetary concerns. Do you see? It will be a wonderful relief to me to get rid of horrid money affairs. So the sooner you organise yourselves and you be ready as secretary and treasurer to enter into direct communication with my friends and sympathisers here, the better for you and me. Do that quickly, and write to me. Give the society a non-sectarian name. * * *

* * * Great things are in store for you.....By and by I hope to make you independent of your college work, so that you may, without starving yourself and family, devote your whole soul to the work. So work, my boys, work! The rough part of the work has been smoothened and rounded ; now it will roll on better and better every year. And if you can simply keep it going well until I come to India, the work will progress by leaps

and bounds. Rejoice that you have done so much. When you feel gloomy, think what has been done within the last year. How, rising from nothing, we have the eyes of the world fixed upon us now. Not only India, but the world outside is expecting great things of us.

* * * Nothing will be able to resist truth and love and sincerity. Are you sincere? unselfish even unto death? and loving? Then fear not, not even death. Onward, my lads! The whole world requires Light. It is expectant! India alone has that Light, not in magic mummeries and charlatanism, but in the teaching of the glories of the spirit of real religion,—of the highest spiritual truth. That is why the Lord has preserved the race through all its vicissitudes unto the present day. Now the time has come. Have faith that you are all, my brave lads, born to do great things! Let not the barks of puppies frighten you, no, not even the thunderbolts of heaven, but stand up and work!

Ever yours affectionately,
VIVEKANANDA.

XII

U. S. A.
21st September, 1894.

DEAR A——

* * * I have been continuously travelling from place to place and working incessantly, giving lectures and holding classes &c.

I have not been able to write a line yet for my proposed book. Perhaps I may be able to take it in hand later on. I have made some nice friends here amongst the liberal people, and a few amongst the orthodox. I hope soon to return to India. I have had enough of this country, and

especially as too much work is making me nervous. The giving of too many public lectures and constant hurry have brought on this nervousness.....So you see, I will soon return. Of course, there is a growing section with whom I am very popular, and who will like to have me here all the time. But I think I have had enough of newspaper blazoning, and humbugging of a public life. I do not care the least for it.

* * * No large number of men in any country do good out of mere sympathy. A few who give money in Christian lands often do so through policy or fear of hell. So it is as in our Bengali proverb, "Kill a cow and make a pair of shoes out of the leather and give them in charity to a Brâhmana." So it is here, and so everywhere ; and then, the Westerners are miserly in comparison to our race. I sincerely believe that the Asiatics are the most charitable race in the world, only they are very poor.

I am going to live for a few months in New York. That city is the head, hand and purse of the country. Of course, Boston is called the Brâhmanical city, and here in America there are hundreds of thousands that sympathise with me .....The New York people are very open. I will see what can be done there, as I have some very influential friends. After all, I am getting disgusted with this lecturing business. It will take a long time for the Westerners to understand the higher spirituality. Everything is £. s. d. to them. If a religion brings them money or health or beauty, or long life, they will all flock to it, otherwise not. * * *

Give to B——, G. G., and all of our friends my best love.

Yours with everlasting love,

VIVEKANANDA.

XIII

U. S. A.
21st September, 1894.

DEAR K——

I am very sorry to hear your determination of giving up the world so soon. The fruit falls from the tree when it gets ripe. So wait for the time to come. Do not hurry. Moreover, no one has the right to make others miserable by his foolish acts. Wait, have patience, everything will come right in time. * * *

Yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XIV

U. S. A.
27th September, 1894.

DEAR A——

* * * One thing I find in the books of my speeches and sayings published in Calcutta. Some of them are printed in such a way as to savour of political views ; whereas I am no politician, or political agitator. I care only for the spirit,—when that is right everything will be righted by itself.....So you must warn the Calcutta people that no political significance be ever attached falsely to any of my writings or sayings. What nonsense !..... I heard that Rev. Kali Charan Banerji in a lecture to Christian missionaries said that I was a political delegate. If it was said publicly, then publicly ask the Babu from me, to write to any of the Calcutta papers and prove it, or else take back his foolish assertion. This is their trick ! I have said a few harsh words in honest criticism of Christian

Governments in general, but that does not mean that I care for, or have any connection with politics or that sort of thing. Those who think it very grand to print extracts from those lectures, and want to prove that I am a political preacher, to them I say, "Save me from my friends." * * *

* * * Tell my friends that a uniform silence is all my answer to my detractors. If I give them tit for tat, it would bring us down to a level with them. Tell them that truth will take care of itself, and that they are not to fight anybody for me. They have much to learn yet, and they are only children. They are still full of foolish golden dreams—mere boys!

* * * This nonsense of public life and newspaper blazoning has disgusted me thoroughly. I long to go back to the Himalayan quiet.

Ever yours affectionately,
VIVEKANANDA.

XV

U. S. A.
29th September, 1894.

DEAR——

You all have done well, my brave unselfish children. I am so proud of you.....Hope and do not despair. After such a start, if you despair you are a fool. * * *

Our field is India, and the value of foreign appreciation is in rousing India up. That is all.....We must have a strong base from which to spread.....Do not for a moment quail. Everything will come all right. It is will that moves the world.

You need not be sorry, my son, on account of the young men becoming Christians. What else can they be under the existing social bondages, especially in Madras? Liberty is the first condition of growth. Your ancestors

gave every liberty to the soul, and religion grew. They put the body under every bondage and society did not grow. The opposite is the case in the West—every liberty to society, none to religion. Now are falling off the shackles from the feet of Eastern society as from those of Western religion.

Each again will have its type ; the religious or introspective in India, the scientific or out-seeing in the West. The West wants every bit of spirituality through social improvement. The East wants every bit of social power through spirituality. Thus it was that the modern reformers saw no way to reform but by first crushing out the religion of India. They tried and they failed. Why? Because few of them ever studied their own religion, and not *one* ever *underwent* the training necessary to understand the *Mother of all religions*. I claim that no destruction of religion is necessary to improve the Hindu society, and that this state of society exists not on account of religion, but because that religion has not been applied to society as it should have been. This I am ready to prove from our old books, every word of it. This is what I teach, and this is what we must struggle all our lives to carry out. But it will take time, a long time to study. Have patience and work. उद्धरेदात्मनात्मानं—Save yourself by yourself.

Yours &c.,

VIVEKANANDA.

P. S. The present Hindu society is organised only for spiritual men, and hopelessly crushes out everybody else. Why? Where shall they go who want to enjoy the world a little with its frivolities? Just as our religion takes in all, so should our society. This is to be worked out by first understanding the true principles of our religion, and then applying them to society. This is the slow but sure work to be done.

V.

XVI

WASHINGTON.
23rd October, 1894.

DEAR V——

* * * By this time I have become one of their own teachers. They all like me and my teachings..... I travel all over the country from one place to another, as was my habit in India, preaching and teaching. Thousands and thousands have listened to me and taken my ideas in a very kindly spirit. It is the most expensive country, but the Lord provides for me everywhere I go.

With my love to you and all my friends there (Limbdi, Rajputana).

Yours,
VIVEKANANDA.

XVII

WASHINGTON,
27th October, 1894.

DEAR A——

* * * I am doing exactly here what I used to do in India. Always depending on the Lord and making no plans ahead.....Moreover you must remember that I have to work incessantly in this country, and that I have no time to put together my thoughts in the form of a book, so much so, that this constant rush has worn my nerves, and I am feeling it. I cannot express my obligation to you, A—, and all my friends in Madras, for the most unselfish and heroic work you did for me. I am not an organiser, my nature tends towards scholarship and meditation. I think I have worked enough, now I want rest and to teach a little to those that have come to me from

my Gurudeva. You have known now what you can do, for it is really you, young men of——, that have done all ; I am only the figurehead. I am a *tyâgi* monk, I only want one thing. I do not believe in a God or religion which cannot wipe the widow's tears or bring a piece of bread to the orphan's mouth. However sublime be the theories, however well-spun may be the philosophy—I do not call it religion so long as it is confined to books and dogmas. The eye is in the forehead and not in the back. Move onward and carry into practice that which you are very proud to call your religion, and God bless you !

Look not at me, look to yourselves. I am happy to have been the occasion of rousing an enthusiasm. Take advantage of it, float along with it and everything will come right. Love never fails, my son ; to-day or to-morrow or ages after, truth will conquer. Love shall win the victory. Do you love your fellow-men? Where should you go to seek for God,—are not all the poor, the miserable, the weak, Gods? Why not worship them first? Why go to dig a well on the shores of the Ganges? Believe in the omnipotent power of love. Who cares for these tinsel puffs of name? I never keep watch of what the newspapers are saying. Have you love?—You are omnipotent. Are you perfectly unselfish? If so, you are irresistible. It is character that pays everywhere. It is the Lord who protects His children in the depths of the sea. Your country requires heroes ; be heroes !

Everybody wants me to come over to India. They think we shall be able to do more if I come over. They are mistaken, my friend. The present enthusiasm is only a little patriotism, it means nothing. If it is true and genuine you will find in a short time hundreds of heroes coming forward and carrying on the work. Therefore know that you have really done all, and go on. Look not for me. Here is a grand field. What have I to do with this "ism" or that "ism"? I am the servant of the Lord,

and where on earth is there a better field than here for propagating all high ideas? Here, where if one man is against me, a hundred hands are ready to help me ; here, where man feels for man, and women are goddesses! Even idiots may stand up to hear themselves praised, and cowards assume the attitude of the brave, when everything is sure to turn out well, but the true hero works in silence. How many Buddhas die before one finds expression! My son, I believe in God and I believe in man. I believe in helping the miserable, I believe in going even to hell to save others. Talk of the Westerners, they have given me food, shelter, friendship, protection,—even the most orthodox Christians! What do our people do when any of their priests go to India? You do not touch them even, they are MLECHCHHAS! No man, no nation, my son, can hate others and live. India's doom was sealed the very day they invented the word MLECHCHHA and stopped from communion with others. Take care how you foster that idea. It is good to talk glibly about the Vedanta, but how hard to carry out even its least precepts!

Ever yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

P. S. Take care of these two things,—love of power and jealousy. Cultivate always 'faith in yourself.'

XVIII

U. S. A.
30th November, 1894.

DEAR A——

We must organise our forces in the business part of our religious body, but on religious matters must strive not to make a sect. * * *

If anyone can write a real life of Sri Ramakrishna with the idea of showing what he came to do and teach, let him do it, otherwise let him not distort his life and sayings..... Now let K—— translate his love, his knowledge, his teachings, his eclecticism, etc. This is the theme. The life of Sri Ramakrishna was an extraordinary searchlight under whose illumination one is able to really understand the whole scope of Hindu religion. He was the object-lesson of all the theoretical knowledge given in the Shâstras. He showed by his life what the Rishis and Avatâras really wanted to teach. The books were theories, he was the realisation. This man had in fifty-one years lived the five thousand years of national spiritual life, and so raised himself to be an object-lesson for future generations. The Vedas can only be explained and the Shâstras reconciled by his theory of *Avasthâ* or stages,—that we must not only tolerate others, but positively embrace them, and that truth is the basis of all religions. Now on these lines a most impressive and beautiful life can be written. Well, everything in good time.....Push on with your work independently. "Many come to sit at dinner when it is cooked." Take care and work on.

Yours ever with blessings,

VIVEKANANDA.

XIX

U. S. A.

30th November, 1894.

DEAR K——

* * * As to the wonderful stories published about Sri Ramakrishna, I advise you to keep clear of them and the fools who write them. They are true, but the fools will make a mess of the whole thing, I am sure. He had

a whole world of knowledge to teach, why insist upon unnecessary things as miracles really are! They do not prove anything. Matter does not prove spirit. What connection is there between the existence of God, Soul, or immortality, and the working of miracles?..... Preach Sri Ramakrishna. Pass the Cup that has satisfied your thirst.....Preach Bhakti. Do not disturb your head with metaphysical nonsense, and do not disturb others by your bigotry. * * *

Yours ever with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XX

U. S. A.
26th December, 1894.

BLESSED AND BELOVED,

* * * * *

In reference to me every now and then attacks are made in missionary papers (so I hear), but I never care to see them. If you send any of those made in India, I should throw them into the waste-paper basket. A little agitation was necessary for our work. We have had enough. Pay no more attention to what people say about me, whether good or bad. You go on with your work and remember that "Never one meets with evil who tries to do good." (Gitâ).

Every day the people here are appreciating me..... Everything must proceed slowly.....I have written to you before and I write again, that I shall not pay heed to any criticisms or praises in the newspapers. They are consigned to the fire. Do you do the same. Pay no attention whatsoever to newspaper nonsense or criticism.

Be sincere and do your duty. Everything will come all right. Truth must triumph. * * *

Missionary misrepresentations should be beneath your notice.....Perfect silence is the best refutation to them, and I wish you to maintain the same.....Make Mr. S—— the President of your society. He is one of the sincerest and noblest men I know, and in him, intellect and emotion are beautifully blended. Push on in your work, without counting much on me ; work on your own account.....As for me, I do not know when I shall go back ; I am working here and in India as well. * * *

With my love to you all,
Yours ever with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXI

541, DEARBORN AVENUE,
CHICAGO, 1894.

DEAR A——

Your letter just to hand.....Money can be raised in this country by lecturing for two or three years. But I have tried a little, and although there is much public appreciation of my work, it is thoroughly uncongenial and demoralising to me. * * *

I have read what you say about the Indian papers, and their criticisms, which are natural. Jealousy is the central vice of every enslaved race. And it is jealousy and the want of combination which cause and perpetuate slavery. You cannot feel the truth of this remark until you come out of India. The secret of Westerners' success is this power of combination, the basis of which is mutual trust and appreciation. The weaker and more cowardly a nation is, so much the more is this sin visible.....

But, my son, you ought not to expect anything from a slavish race. The case is almost desperate no doubt, but let me put the case before you all. Can you put life into this dead mass—dead to almost all moral aspiration, dead to all future possibilities—and always ready to spring upon those that would try to do good to them? Can you take the position of a physician who tries to pour medicine down the throat of a kicking and refractory child?.....An American or a European always supports his countrymen in a foreign country.....Let me remind you again, "Thou hast the right to work but not to the fruits thereof." Stand firm like a rock. Truth always triumphs. Let the children of Sri Ramakrishna be true to themselves and everything will be all right. We may not live to see the outcome, but as sure as we live, it will come sooner or later. What India wants is a new electric fire to stir up a fresh vigour in the national veins. This was ever, and always will be slow work. Be content to work, and above all be true to yourself. Be pure, staunch and sincere to the very backbone, and everything will be all right. If you have marked anything in the disciples of Sri Ramakrishna, it is this,—they are sincere to the backbone. My task will be done and I shall be quite content to die, if I can bring up and launch one hundred such men over India. He, the Lord, knows best. Let ignorant men talk nonsense. We neither seek aid nor avoid it—we are the servants of the Most High. The petty attempts of small men should be beneath our notice. Onward! Upon ages of struggle a character is built. Be not discouraged. One word of truth can never be lost ; for ages it may be hidden under rubbish, but it will show itself sooner or later. Truth is indestructible, virtue is indestructible, purity is indestructible. Give me a genuine man ;—I do not want masses of converts. My son, hold fast! Do not care for anybody to help you. Is not the Lord infinitely greater than all human help? Be holy—trust in the Lord, depend

on Him always, and you are on the right track ; nothing can prevail against you. * * *

Let us pray, "Lead, Kindly Light,"—a beam will come through the dark, and a hand will be stretched forth to lead us. I always pray for you : you must pray for me. Let each one of us pray day and night for the down-trodden millions in India who are held fast by poverty, priestcraft and tyranny,—pray day and night for them, I care more to preach religion to them than to the high and the rich. I am no metaphysician, no philosopher, nay, no saint. But I am poor, I love the poor. I see what they call the poor of this country, and how many there are who feel for them! What an immense difference in India! Who feels there for the two hundred millions of men and women sunken for ever in poverty and ignorance? Where is the way out? Who feels for them? They cannot find light or education. Who will bring the light to them—who will travel from door to door bringing education to them? Let these people be your God—think of them, work for them, pray for them incessantly—the Lord will show you the way. Him I call a Mahâtman (great soul) whose heart bleeds for the poor, otherwise he is a Durâtman (wicked soul). Let us unite our wills in continued prayer for their good. We may die unknown, unpitied, unbewailed, without accomplishing anything,—but not one thought will be lost. It will take effect, sooner or later. My heart is too full to express my feeling ; you know it, you can imagine it. So long as the millions live in hunger and ignorance, I hold every man a traitor, who having been educated at their expense, pays not the least heed to them! I call those men who strut about in their finery, having got all their money by grinding the poor, wretches, so long as they do not do anything for those two hundred millions who are now no better than hungry savages! We are poor, my brothers, we are no-bodies,

but such have been always the instruments of the Most High. The Lord bless you all.

With all love,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXII

U. S. A.
1894.

DEAR D——

* * * Last winter I travelled a good deal in this country although the weather was very severe. I thought it would be dreadful, but I did not find it so after all..... Hope, your noble work will succeed. You are a worthy servant of Him who came, *Bahujana-Hitâya Bahujana-Sukhâya* (for the good of the many, for the happiness of the many).

* * * The Christianity that is preached in India is quite different from what one sees here ; you will be astonished to hear, D——, that I have friends in this country amongst the clergy of the Episcopal and even Presbyterian churches, who are as broad, as liberal and as sincere, as you are in your own religion. The real spiritual man is broad everywhere. His love forces him to be so. Those to whom religion is a trade, are forced to become narrow and mischievous by their introduction into religion of the competitive, fighting and selfish methods of the world.

Yours ever in brotherly love,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXIII

U. S. A.
1894.

DEAR A——

Listen to an old story. A lazy tramp sauntering along the road saw an old man sitting at the door of his house and stopped to enquire of him the whereabouts of a certain place. "How far is such and such a village," he asked. The old man remained silent. The man repeated his query several times. Still there was no answer. Disgusted at this, the traveller turned to go away. The old man then stood up and said, "The village of— is only a mile from here." "What!" said the tramp, "Why did you not speak when I asked you before?" "Because then," said the old man, "you seemed so halting and careless about proceeding, but now you are starting off in good earnest and you have a right to an answer."

Will you remember this story, my son? Go to work, the rest will come. "Whosoever not trusting in anything else but Me, rests on Me, I supply him with everything he needs."—The Gitâ. This is no dream.

* * * The work should be in the line of preaching and serving, at the present time. Choose a place of meeting where you can assemble every week holding a service and reading the Upanishads with the commentaries, and so slowly go on learning and working. Everything will come to you if you put your shoulders to the wheel. * * *

Now, go to work! G——'s nature is of the emotional type, you have a level head ; so work together ; plunge in ; this is only the beginning. Every nation must save itself ; we must not depend upon funds from America for the revival of Hinduism, for that is a delusion. To have a centre is a great thing ; try to secure such a place in a large town like Madras, and go on radiating a living force in all

directions. Begin slowly. Start with a few lay missionaries ; by and by others will come who will devote their whole lives to the work. Do not try to be a ruler. He is the best ruler who can serve well. Be true unto death. The work we want. We do not seek wealth, name or fame.....Be brave.....Endeavour to interest the people of Madras in collecting funds for the purpose, and then make a beginning.....Be perfectly unselfish and you will be sure to succeed.....Without losing the independence in work, show all regards to your superiors. Work in harmony.....My children must be ready to jump into fire, if needed, to accomplish their work. Now work, work, work! We will stop and compare notes later on. Have patience, perseverance and purity.

I am writing no book on Hinduism just now. I am simply jotting down my thoughts. I do not know if I shall publish them. What is in books? The world is too full of foolish things already. If you could start a magazine on Vedantic lines it would further our object. Be positive ; do not criticise others. Give your message, teach what you have to teach, and there stop. The Lord knows the rest. * * *

Do not send me any more newspapers, as I do not notice the missionary criticisms on myself, and here the public estimation of me is better for that reason.

* * * If you are really my children, you will fear nothing, stop at nothing. You will be like lions. We must rouse India and the whole world. No cowardice. I will take no nay. Do you understand? Be true unto death! .....The secret of this is *Guru-Bhakti*. Faith in the Guru unto death! Have you that? I believe with all my heart that you have, and you know that I have confidence in you, —so go to work. You must succeed. My prayers and benedictions follow every step you take. Work in harmony. Be patient with everybody. Every one has my love. I am watching you. Onward! Onward! This is

just the beginning. My little work here makes a big echo in India, do you know? So I shall not return there in a hurry. My intention is to do something permanent here, and with that object I am working day by day. I am every day gaining the confidence of the American people..... Expand your hearts and hopes, as wide as the world. Study Sanskrit, especially the three Ehâshyas (Commentaries) on the Vedanta. Be ready, for I have many plans for the future. Try to be a magnetic speaker. Electrify the people. Everything will come to you if you have faith. So tell K——, in fact, tell all my children there. In time they will do great things at which the world will wonder. Take heart and work. Let me see what you can do.....Be true to your mission. Thus far you promise well, so go on and do better and better still.

* * * Do not fight with people ; do not antagonise anyone. Why should we mind if Jack and John become Christians? Let them follow whatever religion suits them. Why should you mix in controversies? Bear with the various opinions of everybody. Patience, purity, and perseverance will prevail.

Yours &c.,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXIV

CHICAGO,
11th January, 1895.

DEAR G——

Your letter just to hand.....The Parliament of Religions was organised with the intention of proving the superiority of the Christian religion over other forms of faith, but the philosophic religion of Hinduism was able to maintain its position notwithstanding. Dr. B—— and the

men of that ilk are very orthodox, and I do not look to them for help.....The Lord has sent me many friends in this country, and they are always on the increase. The Lord bless those who have tried to injure me.....I have been running all the time between Boston and New York, two great centres of this country, of which Boston may be called the brain, and New York, the purse. In both my success is more than ordinary. I am indifferent to the newspaper reports, and you must not expect me to send any of them to you. A little boom was necessary to begin work. We have had more than enough of that.

I have written to M——, and I have given you my directions already. Now *show me what you can do*. No foolish talk now, but actual work ; the Hindus must back their talk with real work ; if they cannot, they do not deserve anything ; that is all.....As for me, I want to teach the truth ; I do not care whether here or elsewhere !

In future do not pay any heed to what people say either for or against you or me. Work on, be lions and the Lord will bless you. I shall work incessantly until I die, and even after death I shall work for the good of the world. Truth is infinitely more weighty than untruth ; so is goodness. If you possess these, they will make their way by sheer gravity.

* * * Thousands of the best men *do* care for me ; you know this, and have faith in the Lord. I am slowly exercising an influence in this land greater than all the newspaper blazoning can do of me. * * *

It is the force of character, of purity and of truth—of personality. So long as I have these things you can feel easy ; no one will be able to injure a hair of my head. If they try they will fail, sayeth the Lord.....Enough of books and theories. It is the *life* that is the highest and the only way to stir the hearts of people ;—it carries the personal magnetism.....The Lord is giving me a deeper and deeper insight every day. Work, work, work.....

Truce to foolish talk ; talk of the Lord. Life is too short to be spent in talking about frauds and cranks.

You must always remember that every nation must save itself ; so must every man ; do not look to others for help. Through hard work here, I shall be able now and then to send you a little money for your work ; but that is all. If you have to look forward to that, better stop work. Know also that this is a grand field for my ideas, and that I do not care whether they are Hindus or Mahommedans or Christians, but those that love the Lord will always command my service.

* * * I like to work on calmly and silently, and the Lord is always with me. Follow me, if you will, by being intensely sincere, perfectly unselfish, and above all, by being perfectly pure. My blessings go with you. In this short life there is no time for the exchange of compliments. We can compare notes and compliment each other to our hearts' content after the battle is finished. Now, do not talk ; work, work, work ! I do not see anything permanent you have done in India—I do not see any centre you have made—I do not see any temple or hall you have erected—I do not see anybody joining hands with you. There is too much talk, talk, talk ! We are great, we are great ! Nonsense ! We are imbeciles; that is what we are ! This hankering after name and fame and all other humbugs—what are they to me ? What do I care about them ? I should like to see hundreds coming to the Lord ! Where are they ? I want them, I want to see them. You must seek them out. You only give me name and fame. Have done with name and fame ; to work, my brave men, to work ! You have not caught my fire yet—you do not understand me ! You run in the old ruts of sloth and enjoyments. Down with all sloth, down with all enjoyments here or hereafter. Plunge into the fire and bring the people towards the Lord.

That you may catch my fire, that you may be intensely

sincere, that you may die the heroes' death on the field of battle,—is the constant prayer of—

VIVEKANANDA.

P.S. Tell A——, K——, Dr. B——, and all the others not to pin their faith on what Tom, Dick and Harry say for or against us, but to concentrate all their energy on work.

XXV

U. S. A.
12th January, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * Now know once and for all that I do not care for name or fame, or any humbug of that type. I want to preach my ideas for the good of the world. You have done a great work, but so far as it goes, it has only given *me* name and fame. My life is more precious than spending it in getting the admiration of the world. I have no time for such foolery. What work have you done in the way of advancing the ideas and organising in India? None, none, *none!*

An organisation that will teach the Hindus mutual help and appreciation is absolutely necessary. Five thousand people attended that meeting that was held in Calcutta, and hundreds did the same in other places, to express an appreciation of my work here,—well and good! But if you asked them each to give an anna, would they do it? The whole national character is one of childish dependence. They are all ready to enjoy food if it is brought to their mouth, and even some want it pushed down.....You do not deserve to live if you cannot help yourselves. * * *

I have given up at present my plan for the education of the masses. It will come by and by. What I now want

is a band of fiery missionaries. We must have a *College* in Madras to teach comparative religions, Sanskrit, the different schools of Vedanta and some European languages; we must have a press, and papers printed in English and in the Vernaculars. When this is done, then I shall know that you have accomplished something. Let the nation show that they are ready to *do*. If you cannot do anything of the kind in India, then let me alone. I have a message to give, let me give it to the people who appreciate it and who will work it out. What care I who takes it? "He who doeth the will of my Father," is my own. * * *

My name should not be made prominent ; it is my ideas that I want to see realised. The disciples of all the prophets have always inextricably mixed up the ideas of the Master with the *person*, and at last killed the ideas for the *person*. The disciples of Sri Ramakrishna must guard against doing the same thing. Work for the *idea*, not the person. The Lord bless you.

Yours ever with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

P.S. I am sorry you still continue to send me pamphlets and newspapers, which I have written you several times not to do. I have no time to peruse them and take notice of them. Please send them *no more*. I do not care a fig for what the missionaries, or the ——s say about me. Let them do as they please. The very taking notice of them will be to give them importance. Besides, you know, the missionaries only abuse and never argue. * * *

XXVI

U. S. A.
23rd January, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * * *

I only want men to follow me who will be true and faithful unto death. I do not care for success or non-success.....I must keep my movement *pure*, or I will have none of it. * * *

Yours with love,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXVII

BROOKLYN,
January, 1895.

(Written to the American lady whom Swamiji called "Dhirâmâtâ," "the Steady Mother," on the occasion of the loss of her father.)

* * * *

I had a premonition of your father's giving up the old body, and it is not my custom to write to anyone when a wave of would-be inharmonious *Maya* strikes him. But these are the great turning-points in life, and I know that you are unmoved. The surface of the sea rises and sinks alternately, but to the observant soul—the child of light—each sinking reveals more and more of the depth, and of the beds of pearls and coral at the bottom. Coming and going is all pure delusion. The soul never comes nor goes. Where is the place to which it shall go, when all space is *in the soul?* When shall be the time for entering and departing, when all time is *in the soul?*

The earth moves, causing the illusion of the movement of the sun ; but the sun does not move. So Prakriti, or Maya, or Nature is moving, changing, unfolding veil after veil, turning over leaf after leaf of this grand book,—while the witnessing soul drinks in knowledge, unmoved, unchanged. All souls that ever have been, are, or shall be, are all in the present tense, and—to use a material simile—are all standing at one geometrical point. Because the idea of space does not occur in the soul, therefore all that were ours, are ours, and will be ours ; *are* always with us, *were* always with us, and *will be always* with us. We are in them. They are in us. Take these cells. Though each separate, they are all nevertheless inseparably joined at A B. There they are one. Each is an individual, yet all are one at the axis A B. None can escape from that axis, and however broken or torn the circumference, yet by standing at the axis, we may enter any one of the chambers. This axis is the Lord. There we are one with Him, all in all, and all in God.



The cloud moves across the face of the moon, creating the illusion that the moon is moving. So nature, body, matter moves on, creating the illusion that the soul is moving. Thus we find at last that that instinct (or inspiration?) which men of every race, whether high or low, have had to feel the presence of the departed about them, is true intellectually also.

Each soul is a star, and all stars are set in that infinite azure, that eternal sky, the Lord. There is the root, the reality, the real individuality of each and all. Religion began with the search after some of these stars that had passed beyond our horizon, and ended in finding them all in God, and ourselves in the same place. The whole secret is, then, that your father has given up the old

garment he was wearing, and is standing where he was through all eternity. Will he manifest another such garment in this or any other world? I sincerely pray that he may not, until he does so in full consciousness. I pray that none may be dragged anywhither by the unseen power of his own past actions. I pray that all may be free, that is to say, may know that they are free. And if they are to dream again, let us pray that their dreams be all of peace and bliss.

* * * * *

XXVIII

54, W. 33RD STREET, N. Y.
The 1st Feb., 1895.

DEAR SISTER,

I just received your beautiful note.....Well, sometimes it is a good discipline to be forced to work for work's sake, even to the length of not being allowed to enjoy the fruits of one's labour.....I am very glad of your criticisms and am not sorry at all. The other day at Miss T—'s I had an excited argument with a Presbyterian gentleman, who as usual got very hot, angry and abusive. However, I was afterwards severly reprimanded by Mrs. B— for this, as such things hinder my work. So, it seems, is your opinion.

I am glad you write about it just now, because I have been giving a good deal of thought to it. In the first place, I am not at all sorry for these things—perhaps that may disgust you—it may: I know full well how good it is for one's worldly prospects to be *sweet*. I do everything to be *sweet*, but when it comes to a horrible compromise with the truth within, then I stop. I do not believe in *humility*. I believe in *Samadarsitvam*—same state of mind with regard to all. The duty of the ordinary man is to obey the commands of his "God," society, but the children of light

never do so. This is an eternal law. One accommodates himself to surroundings and social opinion and gets all good things from society, the giver of all good to such. The other stands alone and draws society up towards him. The accommodating man finds a path of roses—the non-accommodating, one of thorns. But the worshippers of "Vox populi" go to annihilation in a moment—the children of truth *live for ever.*

I will compare truth to a corrosive substance of infinite power. It burns its way in wherever it falls—in soft substance at once, hard granite slowly, but it must. What is writ is writ. I am so, so sorry, Sister, that I cannot make myself *sweet* and accommodating to every black falsehood. But I cannot. I have suffered for it all my life, but I cannot. I have essayed and essayed, but I cannot. At last I have given it up. The Lord is great. He will not allow me to become a hypocrite. Now let what is in come out. I have not found a way that will please all, and I cannot but be what I am, true to my own self. "Youth and beauty vanish, life and wealth vanish, name and fame vanish, even the mountains crumble into dust. Friendship and love vanish. Truth alone abides." God of Truth, be Thou alone my guide! I am too old to change now into milk and honey. Allow me to remain as I am. "Without fear,—without shop-keeping, caring neither for friend nor foe, do thou hold on to truth, Sannyasin, and from this moment give up this world and the next and all that are to come—their enjoyments and their vanities. Truth, be thou alone my guide." I have no desire for wealth or name or fame or enjoyments, Sister,—they are dust unto me. I wanted to help my brethren. I have not the *tact to earn money,* bless the Lord. What reason is there for me to conform to the vagaries of the world around me and not obey the voice of Truth within? The mind is still weak, Sister, it sometimes mechanically clutches at earthly help. But I am not afraid. Fear is the greatest sin my religion teaches.

The last fight with the Presbyterian priest and the long fight afterwards with Mrs. B— showed me in a clear light what Manu says to the *Sannyasin*,—"Live alone, walk alone." All friendship, all love, is only limitation. There never was a friendship, especially of women, which was not exacting. O great sages! You were right. One cannot serve the god of truth who leans upon somebody. Be still, my soul! Be alone! and the Lord is with you. Life is nothing! Death is a delusion! All this is not, God alone is! Fear not, my soul! Be alone. Sister, the way is long, the time is short, evening is approaching. I have to go home soon. I have no time to give my manners a finish. I cannot find time to deliver my message. You are good, you are so kind, I will do anything for you ; but do not be angry, I see you all as mere children.

Dream no more! Oh, dream no more, my soul! In one word, I have a message to give, I have no time to be sweet to the world, and every attempt at sweetness makes me a hypocrite. I will die a thousand deaths rather than lead a jelly-fish existence and yield to every requirement of this foolish world—no matter whether it be my own country or a foreign country. You are mistaken, utterly mistaken if you think I have a *work*, as Mrs. B— thinks,—I have no *work* under or beyond the sun. I have a message and I will give it after my own fashion, I will neither Hinduise my message, nor Christianise it, nor make it any 'ise' in the world. I will only my-ise it and that is all. *Liberty*—Mukti—is all my religion, and everything that tries to curb it, I will avoid by fight or flight. Pooh! I try to pacify the priests!! Sister, do not take this amiss. But you are babies and babies must submit to be taught. You have not yet drunk of that fountain which makes "reason unreason—mortal immortal—this world a zero, and of man a God." Come out if you can of this network of foolishness they call this *world*. Then I will call you indeed brave and free. If you cannot, cheer those that dare dash

this false God, society, to the ground and trample on its unmitigated hypocricy ; if you cannot cheer them, pray, be silent, but do not try to drag them down again into the mire with such false nonsense as *compromise* and becoming nice and sweet.

I hate this world—this dream—this horrible nightmare —with its churches and chicaneries, its books and blackguardisms—its fair faces and false hearts—its howling righteousness on the surface and utter hollowness beneath, and, above all, its sanctified shop-keeping. What! measure my soul according to what the bond-slaves of the world say !—Pooh ! Sister, you do not know the Sannyasin. "He stands on the head of the Vedas!" say the Vedas, because he is free from churches and sects and religions and prophets and books and all of that ilk ! Missionary or no missionary, let them howl and attack me with all they can, I take them as Bhartrihari says, "Go thou thy ways, Sannyasin ! Some will say, who is this mad man ? Others, who is this Chandála ? Others will know thee to be a sage. Be glad at the prattle of the worldlings." But when they attack, know that "the elephant passing through the marketplace is always beset by curs, but he cares not. He goes straight on *his own way*. So it is always, when a great soul appears there will be numbers to bark after him."*

I am living with L— at 54 W. 33rd Street. He is a brave and noble soul, Lord bless him. Sometimes I go to the G—'s to sleep.

Lord bless you all ever and ever—and may He lead you quickly out of this big humbug, the world ! May you never be enchanted by this old witch, the world ! May Sankara help you ! May Uma open the door of truth for you and take away all your delusions !

Yours with love and blessings,

VIVEKANANDA.

* Tulsidas.

XXIX

U. S. A.
17th February, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * The work is terribly hard and the more it is growing the harder it is becoming. I need a long rest very badly. Yet a great work is before me in England..... Have patience, my son—it will grow beyond all your expectations.....Every work has got to pass through hundreds of difficulties before succeeding. Those that persevere will see the light, sooner or later. * * *

I have succeeded now in rousing the very heart of the American civilisation, New York, but it has been a terrific struggle..... I have spent nearly all I had on this New York work and in England. Now things are in such a shape that they will go on.

To put the Hindu ideas into English and then make out of dry Philosophy and intricate Mythology and queer startling Psychology, a religion which shall be easy, simple, popular and at the same time meet the requirements of the highest minds—is a task only those can understand who have attempted it. The abstract Advaita must become living—poetic—in everyday life ; out of hopelessly intricate Mythology must come concrete moral forms ; and out of bewildering Yogi-ism must come the most scientific and practical Psychology—and all this must be put in a form so that a child may grasp it. That is my life's work. The Lord only knows how far I shall succeed. "To work we have the right, not to the fruits thereof." It is hard work, my boy, hard work! To keep one's self steady in the midst of this whirl of *Kâma-Kânchana* (lust and gold) and hold on to one's own ideals, until disciples are moulded to conceive of the ideas of realisation and perfect renunciation, is indeed difficult work, my boy. Thank God, already there is great success, I cannot blame the missionaries and

others for not understanding me,—they hardly ever saw a man who did not care in the least about women and money. At first they could not believe it to be possible ; how could they? You must not think that the Western nations have the same ideas of chastity and purity as the Indians. Their equivalence are virtue and *courage*.....People are now flocking to me. Hundreds have now become convinced that there are men who can really control their bodily desires ; and reverence and respect for these principles are growing. All things come to him who waits. May you be blessed for ever and ever.

Yours with love,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXX

19 W. 38 St.,
New York, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * Meddle not with so-called social reform, for there cannot be any reform without spiritual reform first .....Preach the Lord— say neither good nor bad about the superstitions and evils.....Do not lose heart, do not lose faith in your Guru, do not lose faith in God. So long as you possess these three, nothing can harm you, my child. I am growing stronger every day. Work on, my brave boys.

Ever yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXXI

U. S. A.
6th March, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * Do not for a moment think the "Yankees" are practical in religion. In that the Hindu alone is practical, the Yankee in money-making.....Therefore I want to have a solid ground under my feet before I depart. Eve.y work should be made thorough.....You need not insist upon preaching Sri Ramakrishna. Propagate his ideas first, though I know the world always wants the Man first, then the idea.....Do not figure out big plans at first, but begin slowly, feel your ground, and proceed, up and up.

* * * Work on, my brave boys. We shall see the light some day.

Harmony and peace!.....Let things slowly grow. Rome was not built in a day. The Maharaja of Mysore is dead—one of our greatest hopes. Well! the Lord is great. He will send others to help the cause.

Send some Kushâsanas (small sitting mats) if you can.

Yours ever with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXXII

U. S. A.
4th April, 1895.

DEAR A——,

Your letter just to hand. You need not be afraid of anybody's attempting to hurt me. So long as the Lord protects me I shall be impregnable. Your ideas of America are very hazy.....This is a huge country, the majority do not care much about religion.....Christianity holds its

ground as a mere patriotism, and nothing more.....Now my son, do not lose courage.....Send me the Vedanta Sutras and the Bhâshyas (commentaries) of all the sects. * *

* * * I am in His hands. What is the use of going back to India? India cannot further my ideas. This country takes kindly to my ideas. I will go back when I get the Command. In the meanwhile, do you all gently and patiently work. If anybody attacks me, simply ignore his existence.....My idea is for you to start a society where people could be taught the Vedas and the Vedanta, with the Commentaries. Work on this line at present .....Know that every time you feel weak, you not only hurt yourself but also the Cause. Infinite faith and strength are the only conditions of success.

Be cheerful.....Hold on to your own ideal..... Above all, never attempt to guide or rule others, or, as the Yankees say, "boss" others. Be the servant of all.

Ever yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXXIII

U. S. A.
2nd May, 1895.

DEAR S——,

So you have made up your mind to renounce the world. I have sympathy with your desire. There is nothing so high as renunciation of self. But you must not forget that to forego your own favourite desire for the welfare of those that depend upon you is no small sacrifice. Follow the spotless life and teachings of Sri Ramakrishna and look after the comforts of your family. You do your own duty, and leave the rest to Him.

Love makes no distinction between man and man,

between an Aryan or a Mlechchha, between a Brâhmana or a Pariah, nor even between a man or a woman. Love makes the whole universe as one's own home. True progress is slow but sure. Work among those young men who can devote heart and soul to this one duty—the duty of raising the masses of India. Awake them, unite them, and inspire them with this spirit of renunciation ; it depends wholly on the young people of India.

Cultivate the virtue of obedience, but you must not sacrifice your own faith. No centralisation is possible unless there is obedience to superiors. No great work can be done without this centralisation of individual forces. The Calcutta Math is the main centre ; the members of all other branches must act in unity and conformity with the rules of that centre.

Give up jealousy and conceit. Learn to work unitedly for others. This is the great need of our country.

Yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXXIV

U. S. A.
6th May, 1895.

DEAR A——,

This morning I received your last letter and the first volume of the Bhâshya of Ramanujacharya.....You mention about the lectures of a Mr. L——.....If you had heard some of the wonderful stories the orthodox men and women here invent against me, you would be astonished. Now, do you mean to say that a Sannyasin should go about defending himself against the brutal and cowardly attacks of these self-seeking men and women? I have some very influential friends here, who now and then give them their

quietus. Again, why should I waste my energies defending Hinduism if the Hindus all go to sleep? What are you three hundred millions of people doing there, especially those that are so proud of their learning, etc.? Why do you not take up the fighting and leave me to teach and preach? Here am I struggling day and night in the midst of strangers.....What help does India send? Did the world ever see a nation with less patriotism than the Indian? If you could send and maintain for a few years a dozen well-educated strong men, to preach in Europe and America, you would do immense service to India, both morally and politically. Every man who morally sympathises with India becomes a political friend. Many of the Western people think of you as a nation of half-naked savages, and therefore only fit to be whipped into civilisation. Why don't you show them to the contrary? .....What can one man do in a far distant land? Even what I have done, you do not deserve, you cowards. * * *

Hindus that have hitherto come to Western lands have too often criticised their own faith and country in order to get praise or money. You know that I did not come to seek name and fame ; it was forced upon me. Why shall I go back to India? Who will help me?.....You are children, you prattle you do not know what. Where are the men in Madras who will give up the world to preach religion? Worldliness and realisation of God cannot go together. I am the one man who dared defend his country and I have given them such ideas as they never expected from a Hindu. There are many who are against me, but I will never be a coward like you. There are also thousands in the country who are my friends, and hundreds who would follow me unto death ; every year they will increase, and if I live and work with them, my ideals of life and religion will be fulfilled. Do you see?

I do not hear much now about the Temple Universal that was to be built in America ; yet I have a firm footing

in New York, the very centre of American life, and so my work will go on. I am taking several of my disciples to a summer retreat to finish their training in Yoga and Bhakti and Jnana, and then they will be able to help carry the work on. Now my boys, go to work.

Within a month I shall be in a position to send some money for the paper. Do not go about begging from the Hindu beggars. I will do it all *myself* with my own brain and strong right hand. I do not want the help of any man here or in India.....Do not press too much the Ramakrishna Avatâra.

Now I will tell you my discovery. All of religion is contained in the Vedanta, that is, in the three stages of the Vedanta philosophy, the *Dvaita, Visishtádvaita,* and *Advaita ;* one comes after the other. These are the three stages of spiritual growth in man. Each one is necessary. This is the essential of religion : The Vedanta applied to the various ethnic customs and creeds of India, is Hinduism. The first stage, *i.e.* Dvaita, applied to the ideas of the ethnic groups of Europe, is Christianity ; as applied to the Semitic groups, Mahommedanism. The Advaita as applied in its Yoga-perception form, is Buddhism, etc. Now by religion is meant the Vedanta ; the applications must vary according to the different needs, surroundings and other circumstances of different nations. You will find that although the philosophy is the same, the Shâktas, Shaivas, etc., apply it, each, to their own special cult and *forms.* Now, in your journal write article after article on these three systems, showing their harmony as one following after the other, and at the same time keeping off the ceremonial forms altogether. That is, preach the philosophy, the spiritual part, and let people suit it to their own forms. I wish to write a book on this subject, therefore I wanted the three Bhâshyas, but only one volume of the Ramanuja has reached me as yet.

* * * You know I am not much of a writer. I am

not in the habit of going from door to door begging. I sit quiet and let things come to me.....Now my children, I could have made a grand success in the way of organising here, if I were a worldly hypocrite. Alas! That is all of religion here ; money and name=priest, money and lust=layman. I am to create a new order of humanity here, who are sincere believers in God and care nothing for the world. This must be slow, very slow. In the meantime you go on with your work, and I shall steer my boat straight ahead. The journal must not be flippant but steady, calm and high-toned.....Get hold of a band of fine steady writers.....Be perfectly unselfish, be steady and work on. We will do great things ; do not fear.....One thing more. Be the servant of all, and do not try in the least to govern others. That will excite jealousy and destroy everything.....Go on. You have worked wonderfully well. We do not wait for help, we will work it out, my boy, be self-reliant, faithful and patient. Do not antagonise my other friends, live in harmony with all. My eternal love to all.

Ever yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

P.S. Nobody will come to help you, if you put yourself forward as a leader.....Kill self first if you want to succeed.

XXXV

NEW YORK,
14th May, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * *

Now I have got a hold on New York, and I hope to get a permanent body of workers who will carry on the work

when I leave the country. Do you see, my boy, all this newspaper blazoning is nothing? I ought to be able to leave a permanent effect behind me when I go ; and with the blessings of the Lord it is going to be very soon..... *Men* are more valuable than all the wealth of the world.

You need not worry about me. The Lord is always protecting me. My coming to this country and all my labours must not be in vain.

The Lord is merciful, and although there are many who try to injure me any way they can, there are many also who will befriend me to the last. Infinite patience, infinite purity, and infinite perseverance are the secret of success in a good cause.

Ever yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXXVI

U. S. A.
20th May, 1895.

MY DEAR S——,

* * * Now I tell you a curious fact. Whenever anyone of you is sick, let him himself or anyone of you visualise him in your mind, and mentally say and strongly imagine that he is all right. That will cure him quickly. You can do it even without his knowledge, and even with thousands of miles between you. Remember it and do not be ill any more. * * *

I cannot understand why S—— is so miserable on account of his daughters' marriage. After all, he is going to drag his daughters through the dirty *Samsâra* which he himself wants to escape! I can have but one opinion of that—condemnation! I hate the very name of marriage, in regard to a boy or a girl. Do you mean to say that I have to help in putting someone into bondage, you fool! If my

brother M—— marries, I will throw him off. I am very decided about that. * * *

Yours in love,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXXVII

19, W. 38th St., NEW YORK,
22nd June, 1895.

DEAR K——,

I will write you a whole letter instead of a line. I am glad you are progressing. You are mistaken in thinking that I am not going to return to India ; I am coming soon. I am not given to failures, and here I have planted a seed and it is going to become a tree, and it must. Only I am afraid it will hurt its growth if I give it up too soon. * * *

Work on, my boy. Rome was not built in a day. I am guided by the Lord, so everything will come all right in the end.

With my love ever and ever to you.

Yours sincerely,
VIVEKANANDA.

XXXVIII

U. S. A.
1st July, 1895.

* * * * *

Let me tell you, A——, that you have to defend yourselves. Why do you behave like babies? If anybody attacks your religion why cannot you defend it? As for me you need not be afraid, I have more friends than enemies here, and in this country one-third are Christians, and only a small number of the educated care about the

missionaries. Again, the very fact of the missionaries being against anything makes the educated like it. They are less of a power here now, and are becoming less every day. If their attacks pain you, why do you behave like a petulant child and refer to me?.....Cowardice is no virtue.

Here I have already got a respectable following. Next year I will organise it on a working basis and then the work will be carried on. And when I am off to India, I have friends who will back me here and help me in India too, so you need not fear. So long as you shriek at the missionary attempts, and jump without being able to do anything, I laugh at you,—you are little dollies, that is what you are.....What can Swami do for old babies!!

I know, my son, I shall have to come and manufacture men out of you. I know that India is only inhabited by women and eunuchs. So do not fret. I will have to get means to work there. I do not put myself in the hands of imbeciles. You need not worry, do what little you can. I have to work alone from the top to the bottom....."This Atman is not to be reached by cowards." You need not be afraid for me. The Lord is with me, you defend yourselves only and show me you can do that and I will be satisfied ; don't bother me any more with what any one says about me. I am not waiting to hear any fool's judgment of me. You babies, great results are attained only by great patience, great courage and great attempts..... K——'s mind is taking periodic somersaults, I am afraid. * *

The brave alone do great things, not the cowards. Know once for all, you faithless ones, that I am in the hands of the Lord. So long as I am pure and His servant, not a hair of my head will be touched.....Do something for the nation, then they will help you, then the nation will be with you. Be brave, be brave, man dies but once. My disciples must not be cowards.

Ever yours with love,

VIVEKANANDA.

XXXIX

U. S. A.
9th July, 1895.

(Written to the Maharajah of Khetri.)

* * * About my coming to India, the matter stands thus. I am as your Highness well knows, a man of dogged perseverance. I have planted a seed in this country ; it is already a plant, and I expect it to be a tree very soon. I have got a few hundred followers. I shall make several Sannyâsins and then I go to India, leaving the work to them. The more the Christian priests oppose me, the more I am determined to leave a permanent mark on their country. .....I have already some friends in London. I am going there by the end of August.....This winter anyway has to be spent partly in London, and partly in New York, and then I shall be free to go to India. There will be enough men to carry on the work here after this winter, if the Lord is kind. Each work has to pass through these stages,—ridicule, opposition, and then acceptance. Each man who thinks ahead of his time is sure to be misunderstood. So opposition and persecution are welcome, only I have to be steady and pure and must have immense faith in God, and all these will vanish. * * *

XL

U. S. A.
August, 1895.

By the time this reaches you, dear A——, I shall be in Paris.....I have done a good deal of work this year and hope to do a good deal more in the next. Don't bother about the missionaries. It is quite natural that they should cry. Who does not, when his bread is dwindling away?

The missionary funds have got a big gap the last two years, and it is on the increase. However, I wish the missionaries all success. So long as you have love for God and Guru, and faith in truth, nothing can hurt you, my son. But the loss of any of these is dangerous. You have remarked well ; my ideas are going to work in the West better than in India.....I have done more for India than India ever did for me.....I believe in truth, the Lord sends me workers by the scores wherever I go—and they are not like the.....disciples either,—they are ready to give up their lives for their Guru. Truth is my God, the Universe my country. I do not believe in duty. Duty is the curse of the Samsâri (householder), not for the Sannyâsin. Duty is a humbug. I am free, my bonds are cut, what care I where this body goes or does not go? You have helped me well right along. The Lord will reward you. I sought praise neither from India nor from America, nor do I seek such bubbles. I have a truth to teach, I, the child of God. And He that gave me the truth will send me fellow-workers from the Earth's bravest and best. You Hindus will see in a few years what the Lord does in the West. You are like the Jews of old—dogs in the manger, neither eat nor give others to eat. You have no religion, your God is the kitchen, your Bible the cooking-pots.....You are a few brave lads .....Hold on, boys, no cowards among my children..... Are great things ever done smoothly? Time, patience and indomitable will must show. I could have told you many things that could have made your heart leap, but I will not. I want iron wills and hearts that do not know how to quake. Hold on. The Lord bless you.

Ever yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XLI

(Written to an English friend.)

THOUSAND ISLANDS PARK,
August, 1895.

* * * Now here is another letter from Mr. Sturdy. I send it over to you. See how things are being prepared ahead. Don't you think this coupled with Mr. L——'s invitation as a Divine call? I think so and am following it. I am going by the end of August with Mr. L—— to Paris and then I go to London.

What little can be done for my brethren and my work is all the help I want from you now. I have done my duty by my people fairly well. Now for the world that gave me this body—the country that gave me the ideas, the humanity which allows me to be one of them!

The older I grow the more I see behind the idea of the Hindus that *man* is the greatest of all beings. So say the Mahommedans too. The angels were asked by Allah to bow down to Adam. Iblis did not and therefore he became Satan. This earth is higher than all heavens ; this is the greatest school in the universe ; and the Mars or Jupiter people cannot be higher than us, because they cannot communicate with us. The only so-called higher beings are the departed, and these are nothing but men who have taken another body. This is finer, it is true, but still a *man-body,* with hands and feet, and so on. And they live on this earth in another *ákásha,* without being absolutely invisible. They also think, and have consciousness, and everything else like us. So they also are men, so are the Devas, the angels. But man alone bcomes *God,* and they all have to become men again in order to become God. * *

XLII

PARIS,
9th September, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * I am surprised you take so seriously the missionaries' nonsense.....If the people in India want me to keep strictly to my Hindu diet, please tell them to send me a cook and money enough to keep him. This silly *bossism* without a mite of real help makes me laugh. On the other hand, if the missionaries tell you that I have ever broken the two great vows of the Sannyâsin,—chastity and poverty,—tell them that they are *big liars*. Please write to the missionary H—— asking him categorically to write you what misdemeanour he saw in me, or give you the names of his informants, and whether the information was *first-hand or not ;* that will settle the question and expose the whole thing. * * *

As for me, mind you, I stand at nobody's dictation. I know my mission in life, and no charivarism about me ; I belong as much to India as to the world, no humbug about that. I have helped you all I could. You must now help yourselves. What country has any special claim on me? Am I any nation's slave? Don't talk any more silly nonsense, you faithless atheists.

I have worked hard and sent all the money I got to Calcutta and Madras, and then after doing all this stand their silly dictation! Are you not ashamed? What do I owe to them? Do I care a fig for their praise or fear their blame? I am a singular man, my son, not even you can understand me yet. Do your work ; if you cannot, stop ; but do not try to "boss" me with your nonsense. I *see* a greater Power than man, or God, or devil, at my back. I require nobody's help. I have been all my life helping others.....They cannot raise a few rupees to help the work of the greatest man their country ever produced—

Ramakrishna Paramahamsa ; and they talk nonsense and want to dictate to the man for whom they did nothing, and who did everything he could for them ! Such is the ungrateful world !

Do you mean to say I am born to live and die one of those caste-ridden, superstitious, merciless, hypocritical, atheistic *cowards* that you find only amongst the educated Hindus ? I hate cowardice, I will have nothing to do with cowards or political nonsense. I do not believe in any politics. God and truth are the only politics in the world, everything else is trash.

I am going to London to-morrow, * * *

Yours with blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

XLIII

LONDON,
24th October, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * I have already delivered my first address, and you may see how well it has been received by the notice in the *Standard*. The *Standard* is one of the most influential conservative papers. I am going to be in London for a month, then I go off to America and shall come back again next summer. So far you see the seed is well sown in England. * * *

Take courage and work on. Patience and steady work —this is the only way. Go on, remember patience and purity and courage and steady work.....So long as you are pure, and true to your principles, you will never fail,— Mother will never leave you, and all blessings will be yours.

Yours with love,
VIVEKANANDA.

XLIV

LONDON,
18th November, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * In England my work is really splendid. I am astonished myself at it. The English people do not talk much in the newspapers, but they work silently. I am sure of more work in England than in America. Bands and bands come and I have no room for so many ; so they squat on the floor, ladies and all. I tell them to imagine that they are under the sky of India, under a spreading banyan, and they like the idea. I shall have to go away next week, and they are so sorry. Some think my work here will be hurt a little if I go away so soon. I do not think so. I do not depend on men or things. The Lord alone I depend upon—and He works through me.

* * * Please everybody without becoming a hypocrite and without being a coward. Hold on to your own ideas with strength and purity, and whatever obstructions may now be in your way, the world is bound to listen to you in the long run. * * *

I have no time even to die, as the Bengalees say. I work, work, work, and earn my own bread and help my country, and this all alone, and then get only criticism from friends and foes for all that ! Well, you are but children, I shall have to bear everything. I have sent for a Sannyâsin from Calcutta, and shall leave him to work in London. I want one more for America,—I want my *own man*. Guru-Bhakti is the foundation of all spiritual development.

* * * I am really tired from incessant work. Any other Hindu would have died if he had to work as hard as I have to.....I want to go to India for a long rest. * * *

Ever yours with love and blessings,

VIVEKANANDA.

XLV

228 W. 39th St., NEW YORK,
20th December, 1895.

DEAR A——,

* * * Have patience and be faithful unto death. Do not fight among yourselves. Be perfectly pure in money dealings.....We will do great things yet.....So long as you have faith and honesty and devotion everything will prosper.

* * * In translating the *Suktas*, pay particular attention to the *Bhâshyakâras* and pay no attention whatever to the orientalists. They do not understand a single thing about our Shâstras. It is not given to dry philologists to understand philosophy or religion.....For instance, the word "आनीदवातं" in the *Rig-Veda* was translated—"He lived without breathing." Now, here the reference is really to the chief *Prána*, and "अवातं" has the root-meaning for unmoved, that is, without vibration. It describes the state in which the universal cosmic energy or *Prána* remains before the *Kalpa* begins ; *vide*—the *Bháshyakáras*. Explain according to *our* sages and not according to the so-called European scholars. What do they know?

* * * Be bold and fearless and the road will be clear.....Mind, you have nothing whatsoever to do with the T——s. If you all stand by me and do not lose patience, I assure you we shall do great work yet. The great work will be in England, my boy, by and by. I feel you sometimes get disheartened, and I am afraid you get temptations to play in the hands of the T——s. Mind you, that the Guru-Bhakta will conquer the world—this is the one evidence of history.....It is faith that makes a lion of a man. You must always remember how much work I have to do. Sometimes I have to deliver two or three lectures a day—and thus I make my way against all odds—hard work ; any weaker man would die.

* * * Hold on with faith and strength ; be true, be honest, be pure, and don't quarrel among yourselves. Jealousy is the bane of our race.

With love to you and all our friends there,—

Yours,
VIVEKANANDA.

XLVI*

* * * Our friend was charmed to hear about the Vedantic *Prâna* and *Ākâsha* and the *Kalpas,* which according to him are the only theories modern science can entertain. Now both *Ākâsha* and *Prâna* again are produced from the cosmic *Mahat,* the Universal Mind, the Brahmâ, or Ishvara. Mr. Tesla thinks he can demonstrate mathematically that force and matter are reducible to potential energy. I am to go and see him next week, to get this new mathematical demonstration.

In that case, the Vedantic cosmology will be placed on the surest of foundations. I am working a good deal now upon the cosmology and eschatology† of the Vedanta. I clearly see their perfect unison with modern science, and the elucidation of the one will be followed by that of the other. I intend to write a book later on in the form of questions and answers.‡ The first chapter will be on Cosmology, showing the harmony between Vedantic theories and modern science.

* Written to an English friend in the end of 1895 or thereabouts, from America, after Swamiji had a conversation with Mr. Tesla, a distinguished electrician, on the problem of the relation between Force and Matter.

† That is, doctrine of the last things,—death, judgment, &c.

‡ This plan was never carried out. But in studying the lectures he delivered in London in the year 1896, it is easy to see that his mind was still working on the ideas here announced.



The eschatology will be explained from the Advaitic standpoint only. That is to say, the dualist claims that the soul after death passes on to the Solar sphere, thence to the Lunar sphere, thence to the Electric sphere. Thence he is accompanied by a *Purusha* to Brahmaloka. (Thence, says the Advaitist, he goes to Nirvâna.)

Now on the Advaitic side, it is held that the soul neither comes nor goes, and that all these spheres or layers of the universe are only so many varying products of *âkâsha* and *prána*. That is to say, the lowest or most condensed is the *Solar sphere*, consisting of the visible universe, in which *Prána* appears as physical force, and *Akâsha* as sensible matter. The next is called the *Lunar sphere*, which surrounds the Solar sphere. This is not the moon at all, but the habitation of the gods, that is to say, *Prána* appears in it as psychic forces, and *Akâsha* as *Tanmátras*, or fine particles. Beyond this is the *Electric sphere*, that is to say, a condition in which the *Prána* is almost inseparable from *Akásha*, and you can hardly tell whether Electricity is force or matter. Next is the *Brahmaloka*, where there is neither *Prâna* nor *Akásha*, but both are merged in the *mind-stuff*, the primal energy. And here—there being neither *Prána* nor *Akásha*—the *Jiva* contemplates the whole universe as *Samashti*, or the sum-total of *Mahat* or mind. This appears as a *Purusha*, an abstract universal *soul*, yet not the Absolute, for still there is multiplicity. From this the *Jiva* finds at last that Unity which is the end. Advaitism says that these are the visions which rise in succession before the *Jiva*, who himself neither goes nor comes, and that in the same way this present vision has been projected. The projection (*Srishti*) and dissolution must take place in the

same order, only one means going backward, and the other coming out.

Now as each individual can only see *his own* universe, that universe is created with his bondage and goes away with his liberation, although it remains for others who are in bondage. Now name and form constitute the universe. A wave in the ocean is a wave, only in so far as it is bound by name and form. If the wave subsides, it is the ocean, but that name and form have immediately vanished for ever. So that the name and form of a wave could never be without the *water* that was fashioned into the wave by them, yet the name and form themselves were not the wave. They die as soon as ever it returns to water. But other names and forms live in relation to other waves. This name-and-form is called *Maya*, and the water is *Brahman*. The wave was nothing but water all the time, yet as a *wave* it had the name and form. Again this name and form cannot remain for one moment separated from the wave, although the wave as water can remain eternally separate from name and form. But because the name and form can never be *separated*, they can never be said to *exist*. Yet they are not *zero*. This is called *Maya*.

I want to work all this out carefully, but you will see at a glance that I am on the right track. It will take more study in physiology, on the relations between the higher and lower centres, to fill out the psychology of mind, *Chitta* and *Buddhi*, and so on. But I have clear light now, free of all *hocus-pocus*. * * *

XLVII

BOSTON,
23rd March, 1896.

DEAR A——,

* * * One of my new Sannyâsins is indeed a woman.....The others are men. I am going to make

some more in England and take them over to India with me. These "white" faces will have more influence in India than the Hindus,—moreover they are vigorous, the Hindus are *dead*. The only hope of India is from the masses. The upper classes are physically and morally dead. * * *

My success is due to my popular style—the greatness of a teacher consists in the simplicity of his language.

* * * I am going to England next month. I am afraid I have worked too much ; my nerves are almost shattered by this long-continued work. I don't want you to sympathise, but only I write this so that you may not expect much from me now. Work on, the best way you can. I have very little hope of being able to do great things now. I am glad however that a good deal of literature has been created by taking down stenographic notes of my lectures. Four books are ready.....Well, I am satisfied that I have tried my best to do good, and shall have a clear conscience when I retire from work and sit down in a cave.

With love and blessings to all,
VIVEKANANDA.

XLVIII

U. S. A.
March, 1896.

DEAR A——,

* * * Push on with the work. I will do all I can .....If it pleases the Lord, yellow-garbed Sannyâsins will be common here and in England. Work on, my children.

Mind, so long you have faith in your Guru, nothing will be able to obstruct your way. That translation of the three Bhâshyas will be a great thing in the eyes of the Westerners.

* * * Wait, my child, wait and work on. Patience,

patience.....I will burst on the public again in good time. * * *

Yours with love,
VIVEKANANDA.

XLIX

NEW YORK,
14th April, 1896.

DEAR DR. N——,

I received your note this morning. As I am sailing for England to-morrow, I can only write a few hearty lines. I have every sympathy with your proposed magazine for boys, and will do my best to help it on. You ought to make it independent, following the same lines as the Brahmavadin, only making the style and matter much more popular. As for example, there is a great chance, much more than you ever dream of, for those wonderful stories scattered all over the Sanskrit literature, to be re-written and made popular. That should be the one great feature of your journal. I will write stories, as many as I can, when time permits. Avoid all attempts to make the journal scholarly,—the Brahmavadin stands for that,—and it will slowly make its way all over the world, I am sure. Use the simplest language possible and you will succeed. The main feature should be the teaching of *principles* through stories. Don't make it metaphysical at all.....In India the one thing we lack, is the power of combination, organisation, the first secret of which is obedience.

* * * Go on bravely. Do not expect success in a day or a year. Always hold on to the highest. Be steady. Avoid jealousy and selfishness. Be obedient and eternally faithful to the cause of truth, humanity and your country, and you will move the world. Remember, it is the *person*,

the life, which is the secret of power—nothing else. Keep this letter and read the last lines whenever you feel worried or jealous. Jealousy is the bane of all slaves. It is the bane of our nation. Avoid that always. All blessings attend you and all success.

Yours affectionately,
VIVEKANANDA.

L

ENGLAND,
14th July, 1896.

DEAR DR. N——,

* * * After all, no foreigner will ever write the English language as well as the native Englishman, and the ideas when put in good English, will spread farther than in Hindu English. Then again it is much more difficult to write a story in a foreign language than an essay.....

You must not depend on any *foreign help*. Nations, like individuals, must help themselves. This is real patriotism. If a nation cannot do that, its time has not yet come. It must wait.....The new light *must* spread all over India. With this end you must work. * * *

* * * We are awfully behindhand in art, especially in that of painting. Make the design of——symbolical and simple. It must express the idea of a re-awakening..... The lotus is a symbol of regeneration. For instance, make a small scene of spring re-awakening in a forest, showing how the leaves and buds are coming again. Slowly go on, there are hundreds of ideas to be put forward. * * *

I am going to Switzerland next Sunday, and shall return to London in the autumn and take up the work again..... I want rest very badly, you know.

Yours with all blessings &c.,
VIVEKANANDA.

LI

SWITZERLAND,
6th August, 1896.

DEAR A——,

* * * Do not be afraid. Great things are going to be done, my child. Take heart. * * *

Prof. Max Müller writes me very nice letters, and wants material for a big book on Sri Ramakrishna's life. * * *

Enough of this newspaper blazoning, I am tired of it, anyhow. Let us go our own way and let fools talk. Nothing can resist truth.

I am, as you see, now in Switzerland, and am always on the move. I cannot and must not do anything in the way of writing, nor much reading either. There is a big London work waiting for me from next month. In winter I am going back to India and will try to set things on their feet there.

My love to all. Work on, brave hearts, fail not,—no saying nay ; work on,—the Lord is behind the work. *Mahâshakti* is with you.

Yours with love and blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

LII

SWITZERLAND,
8th August, 1896.

DEAR A——,

* * * * *

Several things are necessary. First, that there should be strict integrity. Not that I even hint that any of you would digress from it, but the Hindus have a peculiar

slovenliness in business matters, not being sufficiently methodical and strict in keeping accounts, &c.

Secondly, entire devotion to the cause, knowing that your SALVATION depends upon making the Brahmavadin a success. Let this paper be your *Ishtadevatâ* and then you will see how success comes. I have already sent for Abhedananda from India.....Remember that perfect purity and disinterested obedience to the Guru are the secret of all success. * * *

A big foreign circulation of a religious paper is impossible. It must be supported by the Hindus, if they have any sense of virtue or gratitude left to them.

By the bye, Mrs. Annie Besant invited me to speak at her Lodge, on Bhakti. I lectured there one night. Col. Olcott was also there. I did it to show my sympathy for all sects.....Our countrymen must remember that in things of the Spirit we are the teachers, and no foreigners—but in things of the world we ought to learn from them.

I have read Max Müller's article, which is a good one, considering that when he wrote it, six months ago, he had no materials except Mazumdar's leaflet. Now he writes me a long and nice letter offering to write a book on Sri Ramakrishna. I have already supplied him with much material, but a good deal more is needed from India.

Work on! Hold on! Be brave! Dare anything and everything!

* * * It is all misery, this Samsâra, don't you see!

Yours with blessing and love,

VIVEKANANDA.

LIII

SWITZERLAND,
26th August, 1896.

DEAR N——,

I have just now got your letter. I am on the move. I have been doing a great deal of mountain-climbing and glacier-crossing in the Alps. Now I am going to Germany. I have an invitation from Prof. Deussen to visit him at Kiel. From thence I go back to England. Possibly I will return to India this winter.

What I objected to in the design for —was not only its tawdriness, but the crowding in of a number of figures without any purpose. A design should be simple, symbolical and condensed. I will try to make a design for—— in London, and send it over to you. * * *

The work is going on beautifully, I am very glad to say. * * * I will give you one advice however. All combined efforts in India sink under the weight of one iniquity,—we have not yet developed strict business principles. Business is business, in the highest sense, and no friendship—or as the Hindu proverb says "eye-shame" —should be there. One should keep the clearest account of everything in his charge—and never, never apply the funds intended for one thing to any other use whatsoever—even if one starves the next moment. This is business integrity. Next, energy unfailing. Whatever you do let that be your worship for the time. Let this paper be your God for the time, and you will succeed.

When you have succeeded in this paper, start vernacular ones on the same lines in Tamil, Telugu, Canarese, etc. We must reach the masses. The Madrasis are good, energetic, and all that, but the land of Sankaracharya has lost the spirit of renunciation, it seems.

My children must plunge into the breach, must renounce the world,—then the firm foundation will be laid.

Go on bravely—never mind about designs and other details at present—"With the horse will come the reins." Work unto death—I am with you, and when I am gone, my spirit will work with you. This life comes and goes—wealth, fame, enjoyments are only of a few days. It is better, far better to die on the field of duty, preaching the truth, than to die like a worldly worm. Advance!

Yours with all love and blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

LIV

14, GREY COAT GARDENS,
Westminster, London, 1896.

DEAR A——,

I have returned about three weeks from Switzerland .....The work in London is growing apace, the classes are becoming bigger as they go on.....In America there is room for twenty preachers on the Vedanta and Yoga .....Half the United States can be conquered in ten years, given a number of strong and genuine men. Where are they? You are all boobies over there! Selfish cowards, with your nonsense of lip-patriotism, orthodoxy and boasted religious feeling!!! The Madrasis have more of go and steadiness, but every fool is married. Marriage! Marriage! Marriage!.....Then the way our boys are married now-a-days!.....It is very good to aspire to be a non-attached householder, but what we want in Madras is not that just now—but non-marriage. * * *

My child, what I want is muscles of iron and nerves of steel, inside which dwells a mind of the same material as that of which the thunderbolt is made. Strength, manhood, *Kshatra-Virya + Brahma-Teja*. Our beautiful, hopeful boys, —they have everything, only if they are not slaughtered by

the millions at the altar of this brutality they call marriage. O Lord, hear my wails! Madras will then awake when at least one hundred of its very heart's blood, in the form of its educated young men, will stand aside from the world, gird their loins, and be ready to fight the battle of truth, marching on from country to country. One blow struck outside of India is equal to a hundred thousand struck within. Well, all will come if the Lord wills it.

* * * Max Müller is getting very friendly. I am soon going to deliver two lectures at Oxford.

I am busy writing something big on the Vedanta philosophy. I am busy collecting passages from the various Vedas bearing on the Vedanta in its threefold aspects. You can help me by getting someone to collect passages bearing on, first, the Advaitist idea, then, the Visishtadvaitic, and the Dvaitist from the Samhitas, the Brâhmanas, the Upanishads and the Puranas. They should be classified and very legibly written with the name and chapter of the book, in each case. It would be a pity to leave the West, without leaving something of the philosophy in book-form.

There was a book published in Mysore in Tamil characters, comprising all the one hundred and eight Upanishads; I saw it in Professor Deussen's library. Is there a reprint of the same in Devanagri? If so, send me a copy. If not, send me the Tamil edition, and also write on a sheet the Tamil letters and compounds, and all juxtaposed with its Nagri equivalents, so that I may learn the Tamil letters. * * *

Mr. Satyanadhan, whom I met in London the other day, said that there has been a friendly review of my Raja-Yoga book in the *Madras Mail,* the chief Anglo-Indian paper in Madras. The leading physiologist in America, I hear, has been charmed with my speculations. At the same time, there have been some in England, who ridiculed my ideas. Good! My speculations of course are awfully

bold, a good deal of them will ever remain meaningless, but there are hints in it which the physiologists had better taken up earlier. Nevertheless, I am quite satisfied with the result. "Let them talk badly of me if they please, but let them talk," is my motto.

* * * Persevere on, my brave lads. We have only just begun. Never despond! Never say enough!..... As soon as a man comes over to the West and sees different nations, his eyes open. This way I get strong workers, not by talking, but by practically showing what we have in India and what we have not. I wish at least that a million Hindus had travelled all over the world!

Yours ever with love,
VIVEKANANDA.

LV

C/o. MISS H. MULLER,
AIRLIE LODGE, RIDGEWAY GARDENS,
WIMBLEDON, ENGLAND,
22nd. September, 1896.

DEAR A——,

* * * I had a beautiful time with Prof. Deussen in Germany. Later, he and I came together to London, and we have already become great friends.

* * * There is yet a vast untrodden field, namely—the writing of the lives and works of Tulsi Das, Kabir, Nanak, and of the saints of Southern India. They should be written in a thorough-going, scholarly style, and not in a slipshod, slovenly way. In fact, the ideal of the paper, apart from the preaching of Vedanta, should be to make it a magazine of Indian research and scholarship, of course, bearing on religion. You must approach the best writers

and get carefully written articles from their pen.....Work on with all energy.

Yours with love,
VIVEKANANDA.

LVI

C/o. E. T. STURDY ESQR.,
39 VICTORIA STREET, LONDON,
28th October, 1896.

DEAR A——,

* * * I am not yet sure what month I shall reach India. I will write later about it. The new Swami* delivered his maiden speech yesterday at a friendly society's meeting. It was good and I liked it ; he has the making of a good speaker in him, I am sure.

* * * You have not yet printed the——.....Again, books must be cheap for India to have a large sale ; the types must be bigger to satisfy the public.....You can very well get out a cheap edition of——if you like. I have not reserved any copyright on it purposely. You have missed a good opportunity by not getting out the——book earlier, but we Hindus are so slow that when we have done a work the opportunity has already passed away, and thus we are the losers. Your——book came out after a year's talk ! Did you think the Western people would wait for it till Doomsday? You have lost three-fourths of the sale by his delay.....That H—— is a fool, slower than you, and his printing is diabolical. There is no use in publishing books that way ; it is cheating the public, and should not be done. I shall most probably return to India accompanied by Mr. and Mrs. Sevier, Miss Müller and Mr. Goodwin.

* The Swami Abhedananda.

Mr. and Mrs. Sevier are probably going to settle in Almora at least for sometime, and Goodwin is going to become a Sannyâsin. He of course will travel with me. It is he to whom we owe all our books. He took shorthand notes of my lectures, which enabled the books to be published..... All these lectures were delivered on the spur of the moment, without the least preparation, and as such, they should be carefully revised and edited.....Goodwin will have to live with me.....He is a strict vegetarian.

Yours with love,
VIVEKANANDA.

LVII

14 GREY COAT GARDENS,
WESTMINSTER, S. W.,
11th November, 1896.

DEAR A——,

I shall most probably start on the 16th of December, or may be a day or two later. I go from here to Italy, and after seeing a few places there, join the steamer at Naples. * * *

The first edition of Raja-Yoga is sold out, and a second is in the press. India and America are the biggest buyers. * * *

Yours with love and blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

LVIII

39 VICTORIA STREET,
LONDON, S. W.,
20th November, 1896.

DEAR A——,

I am leaving England on the 16th of December for Italy, and shall catch the North German Lloyd S. S. Prinz Regent Luitpold at Naples. The steamer is due at Colombo on the 14th of January next. I intend to see a little of Ceylon, and shall then go to Madras.

* * * Mr. Sevier and his wife are going to start a place near Almora in the Himalayas which I intend to make my Himalayan Centre, as well as a place for Western disciples to live as Brahmacharins and Sannyâsins. Goodwin is an unmarried young man who is going to travel and live with me ; he is like a Sannyâsin.

* * * Miss M. Noble of Wimbledon is a great worker. * * *

I am very desirous to reach Calcutta before the birth-day festival of Sri Ramakrishna.....My present plan of work is to start two centres, one in Calcutta, and the other in Madras, in which to train up young preachers. I have funds enough to start the one in Calcutta, which being the scene of Sri Ramakrishna's life-work, demands my first attention. As for the Madras one, I expect to get funds in India.

We will begin work with these three centres ; and later on, we will get to Bombay and Allahabad. And from these points, if the Lord is pleased, we will invade not only India, but send over bands of preachers to every country in the world. That should be our first duty. Work on with a heart.

* * * Now we have got one Indian magazine in English fixed. We can start some in the vernaculars also .....Papers of this kind are supported by a little circle of

followers.....The Indian papers are to be supported by the Indians. To make a paper equally acceptable to all nationalities, means a staff of writers from all nations, and that means at least a hundred thousand rupees a year * *

You must not forget that my interests are *international* and not Indian alone. * * *

Yours with all love and blessings,

VIVEKANANDA.

LIX

London, 1896.

(On the eve of the lecture-tour of Dr. Barrows in India at the end of 1896, Swamiji in a letter to the *Indian Mirror*, Calcutta, introduced the distinguished visitor to his countrymen and advised them to give him a fitting reception. He wrote among other things as follows :)

Dr. Barrows was the ablest lieutenant Mr. C. Boney could have selected to carry out successfully his great plan of the Congresses at the World's Fair, and it is now a matter of history how one of these Congresses scored a unique distinction, under the leadership of Dr. Barrows.

It was the great courage, untiring industry, unruffled patience and never-failing courtesy of Dr. Barrows that made the Parliament a grand success.

India, its people and their thoughts, have been brought more prominently before the world than ever before, by that wonderful gathering at Chicago, and that national benefit we certainly owe to Dr. Barrows more than to any other man at that meeting.

Moreover, he comes to us in the sacred name of religion, in the name of one of the great teachers of mankind, and I am sure, his exposition of the system of the Prophet of Nazareth would be extremely liberal and

elevating. The Christ-power this man intends to bring to India, is not that of the intolerant, dominant Superior, with heart full of contempt for everything else but its own self, but that of a brother who craves for a brother's place as a co-worker of the various powers, already working in India. Above all, we must remember that gratitude and hospitality are the peculiar characteristics of Indian humanity, and as such, I would beg my countrymen to behave in such a manner, that this stranger from the other side of the globe may find that in the midst of all our misery, our poverty and degradation, the heart beats as warm as of yore, when the 'wealth of Ind' was the proverb of nations, and India was the land of the 'Aryas.'

LX

(Written to an American lady.)

LONDON,
13th December, 1896.

DEAR MADAM,

We have only to grasp the idea of graduation of morality and everything becomes clear.

Renunciation—non-resistance—non-destructiveness—are the ideals to be attained through less and less worldliness, less and less resistance, less and less destructiveness. Keep the ideal in view and work towards it. None can live in the world without resistance, without destruction, without desire. The world has not come to that state yet when the ideal can be realised in society.

The progress of the world through all its evils is making it fit for the ideals, slowly but surely. The majority will have to go on with this slow growth,—the exceptional ones will have to get out to realise the ideal in the present state of things.

Doing the duty of the time is the best way, and if it is done only as a duty it does not make us attached.

Music is the highest art, and to those who understand, is the highest worship.

We must try our best to destroy ignorance and evil. Only we have to learn that evil is destroyed by the growth of good.

Yours affectionately,
VIVEKANANDA.

LXI

ओं तत् सत् ।

(Translated from Bengali.)

ROSE BANK,
THE MAHARAJA OF BURDWAN'S HOUSE,
Darjeeling, 6th April, 1897.

(To the Editor, *Bhârati*.)

HONOURED MADAM,

I feel much obliged for the "Bhârati" sent by you, and consider myself fortunate that the cause to which my humble life has been dedicated, has been able to win the approbation of highly talented ladies like you.

In this battle of life, men are rare who encourage the initiator of new thought, not to speak of women who would offer him encouragement, particularly in our unfortunate land. It is therefore that the approbation of an educated Bengali lady is more valuable than the loud applause of all the men of India.

May the Lord grant that many women like you be born in this country, and devote their lives to the betterment of their motherland!

I have something to say in regard to the article you have

written about me in the Bhârati. It is this. It has been for the good of India that religious preaching in the West has been and will be done. It has ever been my conviction that we shall not be able to rise unless the Western people come to our help. In this country no appreciation of merit can yet be found, no financial strength, and what is the most lamentable of all, there is not a bit of practicality.

There are many things to be done, but means are wanting in this country. We have brains, but no hands. We have the doctrine of Vedanta, but we have not the power to reduce it into practice. In our books there is the doctrine of universal equality, but in work we make great distinctions. It was in India that unselfish and disinterested work of the most exalted type was preached, but in practice *we* are awfully cruel, awfully heartless—unable to think of anything besides our own mass-of-flesh bodies.

Yet it is only through the present state of things that it is possible to proceed to work. There is no other way. Every one has the power to judge of good and evil, but he is the hero who undaunted by the waves of *Samsára*—which is full of errors, delusions and miseries—with one hand wipes the tears, and with the other, unshaken, shows the path of deliverance. On the one hand there is the conservative society, like a mass of inert matter ; on the other, the restless, impatient, fire-darting reformer ; the way to good lies between the two. I heard in Japan that it was the belief of the girls of that country that their dolls would be animated if they were loved with the heart. The Japanese girl never breaks her doll. O you of great fortune, I too believe that India will awake again if any one could love with the whole heart the people of the country—bereft of the grace of affluence, of blasted fortune, their discretion totally lost, down-trodden, ever-starved, quarrelsome and envious. Then only will India awake, when hundreds of large-hearted men and women giving up all desires of enjoying the luxuries of life, will long and exert themselves

to their utmost, for the well-being of the millions of their countrymen who are gradually sinking lower and lower in the vortex of destitution and ignorance. I have experienced even in my insignificant life, that good motives, sincerity and infinite love can conquer the world. One single soul possessed of these virtues can destroy the dark designs of millions of hypocrites and brutes.

My going over to the West again is yet uncertain ; if I go, know that too will be for India. Where is the strength of men in this country? Where is the strength of money? Many men and women of the West are ready to do good to India by serving even the lowest *chandálas,* in the Indian way, and through the Indian religion. How many such are there in this country? And financial strength! To meet the expenses of my reception, the people of Calcutta made me deliver a lecture, and sold tickets!.....I do not blame or censure anybody for this, I only want to show that our well-being is impossible without men and money coming from the West.

Ever grateful and ever praying to the Lord for your welfare,——

VIVEKANANDA.

LXII

ALMORA,
29th May, 1897.

MY DEAR DOCTOR S——,

Your letter and the two bottles containing the medicines were duly received. I have begun from last evening a trial of your medicines. Hope the combination will have a better effect than the one alone.

* * * I began to take a lot of exercise on horse-back, both morning and evening. Since that I am very

much better indeed. I was so much better the first week of my gymnastics, that I have scarcely felt so well since I was a boy and used to have *"Kusti"* exercises. I really began to feel that it was a pleasure to have a body. Every movement made me conscious of strength,—every movement of the muscles was pleasurable. That exhilarating feeling has subsided somewhat, yet I feel very strong. In a trial of strength I could make both G. G. and N—— go down before me in a minute. In Darjeeling I always felt that I was not the same man. Here I feel that I have no disease whatsoever, but there is one marked change. I never in my life could sleep as soon as I got into bed. I must toss for at least two hours. Only from Madras to Darjeeling (during the first month) I would sleep as soon as my head touched the pillow. That ready disposition to sleep is gone now entirely, and my old tossing habit and feeling hot after the evening meal have come back. I do not feel any heat after the day meal. There being an orchard here, I began to take more fruit than usual as soon as I came. But the only fruit to be got here now is the apricot. I am trying to get more varieties from Naini Tâl. There has not been any thirst even though the days are fearfully hot.....On the whole my own feeling is one of revival of great strength and cheerfulness, and a feeling of exuberant health, only I am afraid I am getting fat on a too much milk diet. Don't you listen to what J—— writes. He is a hypochondriac himself and wants to make everybody so. I ate one-sixteenth of a *Barphi* (sweetmeat) in Lucknow, and that according to J—— was what put me out of sorts in Almora! J—— is expected here in a few days. I am going to take him in hand. By the bye, I am very susceptible of malarious influences. The first week's indisposition at Almora might have been caused to a certain extent by my passage through the *"terrai."* Anyhow I feel very, very strong now. You ought to see me, Doctor, when I sit meditating in front of the beautiful snow-peaks

and repeat from the Upanishads,—न तस्य रोगो न जरा न मृत्युः प्राप्तस्य योगाग्निमयं शरीरम् ।—"He has neither disease, nor decay, nor death, for, verily, he has obtained a body full of the fire of Yoga."

I am very glad to learn of the success of the meetings of the Ramakrishna Mission at Calcutta. All blessings attend those that help in the great work. * * *

With all love,
Yours in the Lord,
VIVEKANANDA.

LXIII

ALMORA,
1st June, 1897.

DEAR MR.——,

The objections you show about the Vedas would be valid if the word Vedas meant Samhitâs. The word Vedas includes the three parts, the Samhitâs, the Brâhmanas, and the Upanishads, according to the universally received opinion in India. Of these, the first two portions, as being the ceremonial parts, have been nearly put out of sight; the Upanishads have alone been taken up by all our philosophers and founders of sects.

The idea that the Samhitâs are the only Vedas is very recent and has been started by the late Swami Dayananda. This opinion has not got any hold on the orthodox population.

The reason of this opinion was that Swami Dayananda thought he could find a consistent theory of the whole, based on a new interpretation of the Samhitâs, but the difficulties remained the same, only they fell back on the Brâhmanas. And in spite of the theories of interpretation and interpolation a good deal still remains.

Now if it is possible to build a consistent religion on the Samhitâs, it is a thousand times more sure that a very consistent and harmonious faith can be based upon the Upanishads, and moreover, here one has not to go against the already received national opinion. Here all the Achâryas of the past would side with you, and you have a vast scope for new progress.

Gita no doubt has already become the Bible of Hinduism and it fully deserves to be so, but the personality of Krishna has become so covered with haze, that it is impossible to-day to draw any life-giving inspiration from that *life*. Moreover the present age requires new modes of thought and new life.

Hoping this will help you in thinking along these lines,

I am yours with blessings,

VIVEKANANDA.

LXIV

अल्मोरा ।

ॐ नमो भगवते रामकृष्णाय ।

यस्य वीर्य्येण कृतिनो वयं च भुवनानि च ।
रामकृष्णं सदा वन्दे शर्वं सतत्त्वमीश्वरम् ॥

"प्रभवति भगवान् विधि" रित्यागमिन: अप्रयोगनिपुणा: प्रयोगनिपुणाश्च पौरुषं बहुमन्यमाना: । तयो: पौरुषापौरुषेयप्रतीकारबलयो: विवेकाग्रहनिबन्धन: कलह इति मत्वा यतस्वायुष्मन् शरच्चन्द्र आक्रमितुम् ज्ञानगिरिगुरोर्गरिष्ठं शिखरम् ।

यदुक्तं "तत्त्वनिकषग्रावा विपदिति" उच्चैत तदपि शतश: "तत्त्वमसि" तत्त्वाधिकारे । इदमेव तन्निदानं वैराग्यरुज: । धन्यं कस्यापि जीवनं तल्लक्षणाक्रान्तस्य । अरीचिषु अपि निर्दिशामि पदं प्राचीनं—"काल: कश्चित् प्रतीक्ष्यतां" इति । समारूढश्चपणीश्चपणश्रम: विश्राम्यतां तन्निर्भर: । पूर्वाहितो वेग: पारं नेष्यति नावम् । तदेवोक्तं—"तत् स्वयं योगसंसिद्ध: कालेनात्मनि विन्दति" । "न धनेन न प्रजया त्यागेनैके अमृतत्वमानशु:" इत्यत्र त्यागेन वैराग्यमेव लक्ष्यते । तच्च वैराग्यं वस्तुशून्यं वस्तुभूतं वा । प्रथमं यदि, न तच्च

यतेत कोऽपि कौटभचित्तमस्थिकेन विना ; यद्यपरं, तदेदं आपतति,—त्यागः मनसः संकोचनं, अन्यस्मात् वस्तुनः, पिण्डीकरणं च ईश्वरे वा आत्मनि। सर्वेश्वरस्तु व्यक्तिविशेषो भवितुं नार्हति, समष्टिरित्येव ग्रहणीयम्। आत्मेति वैराग्यवतो जीवात्मा इति नापद्यते, परन्तु सर्वगः सर्वान्तर्यामी सर्वस्वात्मरूपेणावस्थितः सर्वेश्वर एव लक्ष्यीकृतः। स तु समष्टिरूपेण सर्वेषां प्रत्यक्षः। एवं सति जीवेश्वरयोः स्वरूपतः अभेदभावात् तयोः सेवाप्रेमरूपकर्मणोरभेदः। अयमेव विशेषः,—जीवे जीवबुद्धया या सेवा समर्पिता सा दया, न प्रेम, यदात्मबुद्धया जीवः सेव्यते, तत् प्रेम। आत्मनी हि प्रेमास्पदत्वं श्रुतिस्मृतिप्रत्यक्षप्रसिद्धत्वात्। तत् युक्तमेव यदवादीत् भगवान् चैतन्यः,—प्रेम ईश्वरे, दया जीवे इति। द्वैतवादित्वात् तव भगवतः सिद्धान्तः जीवेश्वरयोर्भेदविज्ञापकः समीचीनः। अस्माकं तु अद्वैतपराणां जीवबुद्धिर्बन्धनाय इति। तदस्माकं प्रेम एव शरणं, न दया। जीवे प्रयुक्तः दयाशब्दोऽपि साहसिकजल्पित इति मन्यामहे। वयं न दयामहे, अपि तु सेवामहे ; नानुकम्पानुभूतिरस्माकं, अपि तु प्रेमानुभवः स्वानुभवः सर्वस्मिन्।

सैव सर्ववैषम्यसाम्यकरी भवव्याधिनीरुजकरी प्रपञ्चावश्यभाव्यवितापहरणकरी सर्ववस्तुस्वरूपप्रकाशकरी मायाध्वान्तविध्वंसकरी आब्रह्मस्तम्बपर्यन्तस्वात्मरूपप्रकटनकरी प्रेमानुभूतिर्वैराग्यरूपा भवतु ते शर्मणे शर्मन्।

इत्यनुदिवसं प्रार्थयति त्वयि धृतचिरप्रेमबन्धः

विवेकानन्दः।

TRANSLATION.

(Written to a disciple.)

ALMORA,
3rd July, 1897.

Constant salutation be to Sri Ramakrishna, the Free, the Ishvara, the Shiva-form, by whose power we and the whole world are blessed.

Mayest thou live long, O Saratchandra,—

Those writers of *Shâstra* who do not tend towards work say, that all-powerful destiny prevails ; but others who are workers consider the will of man as superior. Knowing that the quarrel between those who believe in the human will as the remover of misery, and others who rely on destiny, is due to indiscrimination,—try to ascend the highest peak of Knowledge.

It has been said that adversity is the touchstone of true knowledge, and this may be said a hundred times with regard to the truth: "Thou art That." This truly diagnoses the Vairâgya (dispassion) disease. Blessed is the life of one who has developed this symptom. In spite of your dislike I repeat the old saying, "Wait for a short time." You are tired with rowing ; rest on your oars. The momentum will take the boat to the other side. This has been said in the Gita passage (IV, 38), "In good time, having reached perfection in Yoga, one realises that oneself in one's own heart" ; and in the Upanishad, "Immortality can be attained neither by riches nor progeny, but by renunciation alone" (Kaivalya, 2). Here, by the word renunciation Vairâgya is referred to. It may be of two kinds, with or without purpose. If the latter, none but worm-eaten brains will try for it. But if the other is referred to, then renunciation would mean the withdrawal of the mind from other things and concentrating it on God or Atman. The Lord of all cannot be any particular individual. He must be the sum-total. One possessing Vairâgya does not understand by Atman the individual ego, but the All-pervading Lord, residing as the Self and Internal Ruler in all. He is perceivable by all as the sum-total. This being so, as Jiva and Ishvara are in essence the same, serving the Jivas and loving God must mean one and the same thing. Here is a peculiarity : when you serve a Jiva with the idea that he is a Jiva, it is *Dayâ* (compassion) and not *Prema* (love) ; but when you serve him with the idea that he is the Self, that is *Prema* (love). That the Atman is the one objective of love is known from Sruti, Smriti and direct perception. Bhagavân Chaitanya was right, therefore, when He said : "Love to God and compassion to the Jivas." This conclusion of the Bhagavân, intimating differentiation between Jiva and Ishvara was right, as He was a dualist. But for us, Advaitists, this notion of Jiva as distinct from God is the

cause of bondage. Our principle should be, therefore, love, and not compassion. The application of the word compassion even to Jiva seems to me to be rash and vain. For us, it is not to pity but to serve. Ours is not the feeling of compassion, but of love, and the feeling of Self in all.

O Sharman, may for thy good thine be that Vairâgyam, —the feel of which is love, which unifies all inequalities, cures the disease of Samsâra, removes the threefold misery inevitable in this phenomenal world, reveals the true nature of all things, destroys the darkness of Maya, and which brings out the Self-hood of everything from Brahmâ to the blade of grass !

—This is the constant prayer of
VIVEKANANDA,
Ever bound to thee in love.

LXV

(Written to a Western lady friend).

ALMORA,
9th July, 1897.

DEAR——,

I am very sorry to read between the lines the desponding tone of your letter, and I understand the cause ; thank you for your warning, I understand your motive perfectly. I had arranged to go with A—— to England but the doctors not allowing, it fell through. I will be so happy to learn that H—— has met him. He will be only too glad to meet any of you.

I had also a lot of cuttings from different American papers fearfully criticising my utterances about American women and furnishing me with the strange news that I had been outcasted ! As if I had any caste to lose, being a Sannyasin ! !

Not only no caste has been lost, but it has considerably shattered the opposition to sea-voyage—my going to the West. If I should have to be outcasted, I will have to be done so with half the ruling princes of India and almost all of educated India. On the other hand, a leading Raja of the caste to which I belonged before my entering the order, got up a banquet in my honour, at which were most of the *big-bugs* of that caste. The Sannyasins, on the other hand, may not dine with any one in India as beneath the dignity of gods to dine with mere mortals. They are regarded as *Narayanas,* while the others are mere men. And dear M——, these feet have been washed and wiped and worshipped by the descendants of kings and there has been a progress through the country which none ever commanded in India.

It will suffice to say that the police were necessary to keep order if I ventured out into the street! That is outcasting indeed!! Of course that took the starch out of the missionaries, and who are they here?—Nobodies. We are in blissful ignorance of their existence all the time. I had in a lecture said something about the missionaries and the origin of that species except the English church gentlemen, and in that connection had to refer to the very churchy women of America and their power of inventing scandals. This the missionaries are parading as an attack on American women *en masse* to undo my work there, as they will know that anything said against themselves will rather please the U. S. people. My dear M——, supposing I had said all sorts of fearful things against the "yanks"—would that be paying off a millionth part of what they say of our *mothers* and *sisters*? "Neptune's waters" would be perfectly useless to wash off the hatred the Christian "yanks" of both sexes bear to us, "heathens of India,"—and what harm have we done them? Let the "yanks" learn to be patient under criticism and then criticise others. It is a well-known psychological fact

that those who are ever ready to abuse others cannot bear the slightest touch of criticism from others. Then again, what do I owe them? Except your family, Mrs. B——, the Leggets and a few other kind persons who else has been kind to me? Who came forward to help me work out my ideas? I had to work till I am at death's door and had to spend nearly the whole of that in America, so that they may learn to be broader and more spiritual. In England I worked only six months. There was not a breath of scandal save one and that was the working of an American woman which greatly relieved my English friends—not only no attacks, but many of the best English church clergymen became my firm friends, and without asking I got much help for my work and I am sure to get much more. There is a society watching my work and getting help for it and four respectable persons followed me to India to help my work, and dozens were ready, and the next time I go, hundreds will be.

Dear, dear M——, do not be afraid for me. * * * The world is big, very big and there must be some place for me even if the "yankees" rage. Anyhow, I am quite satisfied with my work. I never planned anything. I have taken things as they came. Only one idea was burning in my brain—to start the machine for elevating the Indian masses and that I have succeeded in doing to a certain extent. It would have made your heart glad to see how my boys are working in the midst of famine and disease and misery—nursing by the mat-bed of the cholera-stricken Pariah and feeding the starving *Chandála* and the Lord sends help to me and to them all. "What are men?" He is with me, the Beloved, He was when I was in America, in England, when I was roaming about unknown from place to place in India. What do I care about what they talk—the babies, they do not know any better. What! I, who have realised the Spirit and the vanity of all earthly

nonsense, to be swerved from my path by babies' prattle! Do I look like that?

I had to talk a lot about myself because I owed that to you. I feel my task is done—at most three or four years more of life is left. I have lost all wish for my salvation. I never wanted earthly enjoyments. I must see my machine in strong working order, and then knowing sure that I have put in a lever for the good of humanity, in India at least, which no power can drive back, I will sleep, without caring what will be next ; and may I be born again and again, and suffer thousands of miseries, so that I may worship the only God that exists, the only God I believe in, the sum-total of all souls,—and above all, my God the wicked, my God the miserable, my God the poor of all races, of all species, is the special object of my worship.

"He who is the high and the low, the saint and the sinner, the god and the worm, Him worship, the visible, the knowable, the real, the omnipresent, break all other idols.

"In whom there is neither past life nor future birth, nor death nor going nor coming, in whom we always have been and always will be one, Him worship, break all other idols."

My time is short. I have got to unbreast whatever I have to say, without caring if it smarts some or irritates others. Therefore, my dear M——, do not be frightened at whatever drops from my lips, for the power behind me is not Vivekananda but He the Lord, and He knows best. If I have to please the world, that will be injuring the world : the voice of majority is wrong, seeing that they govern and the sad state of the world. Every new thought must create opposition,—in the civilised a polite sneer, in the savage vulgar howls and filthy scandals.

Even these earth-worms must stand up erect, even children must see light. The Americans are drunk with new wine. A hundred waves of prosperity have come and

gone over my country. We have learned the lesson which no child can yet understand. It is vanity. This hideous world is *Maya*. Renounce and be happy. Give up the idea of sex and possessions. There is no other bond. Marriage and sex and money are the only living devils. All earthly love proceeds from the *body, body, body*. No sex, no possessions ; as these fall off, the eyes open to spiritual vision. The soul regains its own infinite power. * * *

Yours ever affly.,
VIVEKANANDA.

LXVI

(Written to M. regarding the Leaves from the Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna in Bengali.)

DEHRA DUN,
24th November, 1897.

MY DEAR M——,

Many many thanks for your second leaflet. It is indeed wonderful. The move is quite original, and never was the life of a great Teacher brought before the public untarnished by the writer's mind, as you are doing. The language also is beyond all praise, so fresh, so pointed and withal so plain and easy.

I cannot express in adequate terms how I have enjoyed them. I am really in a transport when I read them. Strange, isn't it? Our Teacher and Lord was so original, and each one of us will have to be original or nothing. I now understand why none of us attempted his life before. It has been reserved for you, this great work. He is with you evidently.

With all love and namaskára,
VIVEKANANDA.

P. S. The Socratic dialogues are Plato all over ; you are entirely hidden. Moreover, the dramatic part is infinitely beautiful. Everybody likes it, here and in the West.

LXVII

(Translated from a letter written to a Bengali lady.)

DEOGHAR, VAIDYANATH,
3rd January, 1898.

DEAR MOTHER,

Some very important questions have been raised in your letter. It is not possible to answer them fully in a short note, still I reply to them as briefly as possible.

(1) Rishi, Muni or God—none has power to force an institution on society. When the needs of the times press hard on it, society adopts certain customs for self-preservation. Rishis have only recorded those customs. As a man often resorts even to such means as are good for immediate self-protection, but which are very injurious in the future, similarly, society also not unfrequently saves itself for the time being, but these immediate means which contributed to its preservation turn out to be terrible in the long run.

For example, take the prohibition of widow-marriage in our country. Don't think that Rishis or wicked men introduced the law pertaining to it. Notwithstanding the desire of men to keep women completely under their control, they never could succeed in introducing those laws without betaking themselves to the aid of a social necessity of the time. Of this custom two points should be specially observed :

(*a*) Widow-marriage takes place among the lower classes.

(b) Among the higher classes the number of women is greater than that of men.

Now, if it be the rule to marry every girl, it is difficult enough to get one husband apiece ; then how to get, by and by, two or three for each? Therefore has society put one party under disadvantage, *i.e.*, it does not let her have a second husband, who has had one ; if it did, one maid would have to go without a husband. On the other hand, widow-marriage obtains in communities having a greater number of men than women, as in their case the objection stated above does not exist. It is becoming more and more difficult in the West, too, for unmarried girls to get husbands.

Similar is the case with the caste system, and other social customs.

So, if it be necessary to change any social custom, the *necessity* underlying it should be found out first of all, and by altering it the custom will die of itself. Otherwise no good will be done by condemnation or praise.

(2) Now the question is, is it for the good of the public at large that social rules are framed, or society is formed? Many reply to this in the affirmative ; some again may hold that it is not so. Some men, being comparatively powerful, slowly bring all others under their control, and by stratagem, force or adroitness gain their own objects. If this be true, what can be the meaning of the statement that there is danger in giving liberty to the ignorant? What, again, is the meaning of liberty?

Liberty does not certainly mean the absence of obstacles in the path of misappropriation of wealth etc. by you and me, but it is our natural right to be allowed to use our own body, intelligence or wealth according to our will, without doing any harm to others ; and all the members of a society ought to have the same opportunity for obtaining wealth, education or knowledge. The second question is, those who say that if the ignorant and the poor be given liberty, *i.e.*, full right to their body, wealth etc., and if their

children have the same opportunity to better their condition and acquire knowledge like those of the rich and the highly situated, they would be perverse—do they say this for the good of the society, or blinded by their selfishness? In England too I have heard, "Who will serve us if the lower classes get education?"

For the luxury of a handful of the rich, let millions of men and women remain submerged in the hell of want and abysmal depth of ignorance, for if they get wealth and education, society will be upset!!

Who constitute society? The millions or you, I and a few others of the upper classes?

Again, even if the latter be true, what ground is there for our vanity that we lead others? Are we omniscient?

उद्धरेदात्मनात्मानं —Raise self by self. Let each one work out one's own salvation. It is freedom in every way, *i.e.*, advance towards Mukti is the worthiest gain of man. To advance oneself towards freedom, physical, mental and spiritual, and help others to do so is the supreme prize of man. Those social rules which stand in the way of the unfoldment of this freedom are injurious, and steps should be taken to destroy them speedily. Those institutions should be encouraged by which men advance in the path of freedom.

That, in this life, we feel a deep love at first sight towards a particular person who may not be endowed with extraordinary qualities, is explained by the thinkers of our country as due to the associations of a past incarnation.

Your question regarding the will is very interesting: it is the subject to know. The essence of all religions is the annihilation of desire, along with which comes, therefore, of a certainty, the annihilation of the will, for desire is only the name of a particular mode of will. Why, again, is this Jagat (universe)? Or why are these manifestations of the will? Some religions hold that the evil will should be destroyed and not the good. The denial of desire here

would be compensated by enjoyments hereafter. This reply does not of course satisfy the wise. The Buddhists, on the other hand, say that desire is the cause of misery, its annihilation is quite desirable. But like killing a man in the effort of killing the mosquito on his cheek, they have gone to the length of annihilating their own selves in their efforts to destroy misery according to the Buddhistic doctrine.

The fact is, what we call will is the inferior modification of something higher. Desirelessness means the disappearance of the inferior modification in the form of will, and the appearance of that superior state. That state is beyond the range of mind and intellect. But though the look of the gold mohur is quite different from that of the rupee and the pice, yet as we know for certain that the gold mohur is greater than either, so, that highest state, Mukti or Nirvana, call it what you like, though out of the reach of mind and intellect, is greater than will and all other powers. It is no power, but power is its modification, therefore is it higher. Now you will see that the result of the proper exercise of the will, first with motive for an object and then without motive, is that the will-power will attain a much higher state.

In the preliminary state, the form of the Guru is to be meditated upon by the disciple. Gradually it is to be merged in the Ishtam. By Ishtam is meant the object of love and devotion. * * *

It is very difficult to superimpose divinity on man, but one is sure to succeed by repeated efforts. God is in every man, whether man knows it or not ; your loving devotion is bound to call up the divinity in him.

Ever your well-wisher,

VIVEKANANDA.

LXVIII

THE MATH,
15th May, 1901.

DEAR S——,

Your letter from —— is quite exciting. I have just returned from my tour through East Bengal and Assam. As usual I am quite tired and broken down.

If some real good comes out of a visit to the H. H. of — I am ready to come over, otherwise I don't want to undergo the expense and exertion of the long journey. Think it well over and make enquiries, and write me if you still think it would be best for the Cause for me to come to see the H. H. * * *

Yours with love and blessings,
VIVEKANANDA.

LXIX

GOPAL LAL VILLA,
BENARES CANTONMENT,
9th February, 1902.

MY DEAR S——,

* * * In answer to C——'s letter, tell him to study the Brahma-Sutras himself. What does he mean by the Brahma-Sutras containing references to Buddhism? He means the *Bháshyas*, of course, or rather ought to mean, and Sankara was only the last *Bháshyakára*. There are references though in Buddhistic literature to Vedanta, and the Mahâyâna school of Buddhism is even non-Advaitistic. Why does Amara Singha, a Buddhist, give as one of the names of Buddha—Advayavâdi? C—— writes, the word Brahman does not occur in the Upanishads! *Quel betise!*

I hold the Mahâyâna to be the older of the two schools of Buddhism.

The theory of Maya is as old as the Rik Samhita. The Svetâsvatara Upanishad contains the word "Maya" which is developed out of Prakriti. I hold that Upanishad to be at least older than Buddhism.

I have had much light of late about Buddhism, and I am ready to prove—(1) that Shiva-worship, in various forms, antêdated the Buddhists, that the Buddhists tried to get hold of the sacred places of the Shaivas, but failing in that, made new places in the precincts just as you find now at Bodh-Gaya and Sarnath (Benares).

(2) The story in the Agni Purana about Gayâsura does not refer to Buddha at all—as Dr. Rajendralala will have it —but simply to a pre-existing story.

(3) That Buddha went to live on Gayasirsha mountain proves the pre-existence of the place.

(4) Gaya was a place of ancestor-worship already, and the footprint-worship the Buddhists copied from the Hindus.

(5) About Benares, even the oldest records go to prove it as the great place of Shiva-worship ; &c., &c.

Many are the new facts I have gathered in Bodh-Gaya and from Buddhist literature. Tell C—— to read for himself, and not be swayed by foolish opinions.

I am rather well here, in Benares, and if I go on improving in this way it will be a great gain.

A total revolution has occurred in my mind about the relation of Buddhism and Neo-Hinduism. I may not live to work out the glimpses, but I shall leave the lines of work indicated, and you and your brethren will have to work it out.

Yours with all blessings and love,

VIVEKANANDA.

LXX

(Written to a Western lady friend.)

THE MATH,
June, 1902.

* * * In my opinion, a race must first cultivate a great respect for motherhood, through the sanctification and inviolability of marriage, before it can attain to the ideal of perfect chastity. The Roman Catholics and the Hindus, holding marriage sacred and inviolate, have produced great chaste men and women of immense power. To the Arab, marriage is a contract or a forceful possession, to be dissolved at will, and we do not find there the development of the ideal of the virgin, or the *Brahmachârin*. Modern Buddhism,—having fallen among races who had not yet come up to the evolution of marriage—has made a travesty of monasticism. So, until there is developed in Japan a great and sacred ideal about marriage (apart from mutual attraction and love), I do not see how there can be great monks and nuns. As you have come to see that the glory of life is chastity, so my eyes also have been opened to the necessity of this great sanctification for the vast majority, in order that a few lifelong chaste powers may be produced. * * *

VIVEKANANDA.

INTERVIEWS

I

AN INDIAN YOGI IN LONDON

(The Westminster Gazette, 23rd October, 1895.)

Indian philosophy has in recent years had a deep and growing fascination for many minds, though up to the present time its exponents in this country have been entirely Western in their thought and training, with the result that very little is really known of the deeper mysteries of the Vedanta wisdom, and that little only by a select few. Not many have the courage or the intuition to seek in heavy translations, made greatly in the interests of philologists, for that sublime knowledge which they really reveal to an able exponent brought up in all the traditions of the East.

It was therefore with interest and not without some curiosity, writes a correspondent, that I proceeded to interview an exponent entirely novel to Western people, in the person of the Swami Vivekananda, an actual Indian Yogi, who has boldly undertaken to visit the Western world to expound the traditional teaching which has been handed down by ascetics and Yogis through many ages, and who in pursuance of this object, delivered a lecture last night in the Princes' Hall.

The Swami Vivekananda is a striking figure with his turban (or mitre-shaped black cloth cap) and his calm but kindly features.

On my inquiring as to the significance, if any, of his name, the Swami said :—"Of the name by which I am now known (Swami Vivekananda), the first word is descriptive of a Sannyásin, or one who formally renounces the world, and the second is the title I assumed—as is customary with

all Sannyâsins—on my renunciation of the world ; it signifies, literally, 'the bliss of discrimination.' "

"And what induced you to forsake the ordinary course of the world, Swami?" I asked.

"I had a deep interest in religion and philosophy from my childhood," he replied, "and our books teach renunciation as the highest ideal to which man can aspire. It only needed the meeting with a great Teacher—Ramakrishna Paramahamsa—to kindle in me the final determination to follow the path he himself had trod, as in him I found my highest ideal realised."

"Then did he found a sect, which you now represent?"

"No," replied the Swami quickly. "No, his whole life was spent in breaking down the barriers of sectarianism and dogma. He formed no sect. Quite the reverse. He advocated and strove to establish absolute freedom of thought. He was a great Yogi."

"Then you are connected with no society or sect in this country? Neither Theosophical nor Christian Scientist, nor any other?"

"None whatever!" said the Swami in clear and impressive tones. (His face lights up like that of a child, it is so simple, straightforward and honest.) "My teaching is my own interpretation of our ancient books, in the light which my Master shed upon them. I claim no supernatural authority. Whatever in my teaching may appeal to the highest intelligence and be accepted by thinking men, the adoption of that will be my reward." "All religions," he continued, "have for their object the teaching either of devotion, knowledge, or Yoga, in a concrete form. Now, the philosophy of Vedanta is the abstract science which embraces all these methods, and this it is that I teach, leaving each one to apply it to his own concrete form. I refer each individual to his own experiences, and where reference is made to books, the latter are procurable, and may be studied by each one for himself. Above all, I

teach no authority proceeding from hidden beings speaking through visible agents, any more than I claim learning from hidden books or manuscripts. I am the exponent of no occult societies, nor do I believe that good can come of such bodies. Truth stands on its own authority and truth can bear the light of day."

"Then you do not propose to form any society, Swami?" I suggested.

"None; no society whatever. I teach only the Self, hidden in the heart of every individual, and common to all. A handful of strong men knowing that Self and living in Its light would revolutionise the world, even to-day, as has been the case by single strong men before, each in his day."

"Have you just arrived from India?" I inquired—for the Swami is suggestive of Eastern suns.

"No," he replied "I represented the Hindu religion at the Parliament of Religions held at Chicago in 1893. Since then I have been travelling and lecturing in the United States. The American people have proved most interested audiences and sympathetic friends, and my work there has so taken root that I must shortly return to that country."

"And what is your attitude towards the Western religions, Swami?"

"I propound a philosophy which can serve as a basis to every possible religious system in the world, and my attitude towards all of them is one of extreme sympathy—my teaching is antagonistic to none. I direct my attention to the individual, to make him strong, to teach him that he himself is divine, and I call upon men to make themselves conscious of this divinity within. That is really the ideal—conscious or unconscious—of every religion."

"And what shape will your activities take in this country?"

"My hope is to imbue individuals with the teachings

to which I have referred, and to encourage them to express these to others in their own way ; let them modify them as they will ; I do not teach them as dogmas ; truth at length must inevitably prevail.

" The actual machinery through which I work is in the hands of one or two friends. On October 22, they have arranged for me to deliver an address to a British audience at Princes' Hall, Piccadilly, at 8-30 P.M. The event is being advertised. The subject will be on the key of my philosophy—' Self-Knowledge. ' Afterwards I am prepared to follow any course that opens—to attend meetings in people's drawing-rooms or elsewhere, to answer letters, or discuss personally. In a mercenary age I may venture to remark that none of my activities are undertaken for a pecuniary reward. "

I then took my leave from one of the most original of men that I have had the honour of meeting.

II

INDIA'S MISSION

(Sunday Times, London, 1896.)

English people are well acquainted with the fact that they send missionaries to India's "coral strands." Indeed, so thoroughly do they obey the behest : ' Go ye forth into all the world and preach the Gospel, ' that none of the chief British sects are behindhand in obedience to the call to spread Christ's teaching. People are not so well aware that India also sends missionaries to England.

By accident, if the term may be allowed, I fell across the Swami Vivekananda in his temporary home at 63, St. George's Road, S. W., and as he did not object to discuss the nature of his work and visit to England, I sought him there, and began our talk with an expression of surprise at his assent to my request.

" I got thoroughly used to the interviewer in America. Because he is not the fashion in my country, that is no reason why I should not use means existing in any country I visit, for spreading what I desire to be known ! There I was representative of the Hindu religion at the World's Parliament of Religions at Chicago in 1893. The Raja of Mysore and some other friends sent me there. I think I may lay claim to having had some success in America. I had many invitations to other great American cities besides Chicago ; my visit was a very long one, for, with the exception of a visit to England last summer, repeated as you see this year, I remained about three years in America. The American civilisation is, in my opinion, a very great one. I find the American mind peculiarly susceptible to new ideas ; nothing is rejected because it is new. It is examined on its own merits, and stands or falls by these alone. "

" Whereas in England—you mean to imply something ? "

" Yes, in England, civilisation is older, it has gathered many accretions as the centuries have rolled on. In particular, you have many prejudices that need to be broken through, and whoever deals with you in ideas must lay this to his account. "

" So they say. I gather that you did not found anything like a church or a new religion in America. "

" That is true. It is contrary to our principles to multiply organisations, since, in all conscience, there are enough of them. And when organisations are created, they need individuals to look after them. Now, those who have made Sannyâsa—that is, renunciation of all worldly position, property, and name,—whose aim is to seek spiritual knowledge, cannot undertake this work, which is, besides, in other hands. "

" Is your teaching a system of comparative religion ? "

" It might convey a more definite idea to call it the

kernel of all forms of religion, stripping from them the non-essential, and laying stress on that which is the real basis. I am a disciple of Ramakrishna Paramahamsa, a perfect Sannyâsin whose influence and ideas I fell under. This great Sannyâsin never assumed the negative or critical attitude towards other religions, but showed their positive side,—how they could be carried into life and practised. To fight, to assume the antagonistic attitude, is the exact contrary of his teaching, which dwells on the truth that the world is moved by love. You know that the Hindu religion never persecutes. It is the land where all sects may live in peace and amity. The Mahommedans brought murder and slaughter in their train, but until their arrival peace prevailed. Thus the Jains, who do not believe in a God, and who regard such belief as a delusion, were tolerated, and still are there to-day. India sets the example of real strength, that is, meekness. Dash, pluck, fight, all these things are weakness. "

" It sounds very like Tolstoy's doctrine ; it may do for individuals, though personally I doubt it. But how will it answer for nations ? "

" Admirably for them also. It was India's Karma, her fate, to be conquered, and in her turn, to conquer her conqueror. She has already done so with her Mahommedan victors : educated Mahommedans are Sufis, scarcely to be distinguished from Hindus. Hindu thought has permeated their civilisation ; they assumed the position of learners. The great Akbar, the Moghul Emperor, was practically a Hindu. And England will be conquered in her turn. To-day she has the sword, but it is worse than useless in the world of ideas. You know what Schopenhauer said of Indian thought. He foretold that its influence would be as momentous in Europe, when it became well-known, as the revival of Greek and Latin culture after the Dark Ages. "

" Excuse me saying that there do not seem many signs of it just now. "

" Perhaps not, " said the Swami, gravely. " I daresay a good many people saw no signs of the old Renaissance, and did not know it was there, even after it had come. But there is a great movement, which can be discerned by those who know the signs of the times. Oriental research has of recent years made great progress. At present it is in the hands of scholars, and it seems dry and heavy in the work they have achieved. But gradually the light of comprehension will break. "

" And India is to be the great conqueror of the future ? Yet she does not send out many missionaries to preach her ideas. I presume she will wait until the world comes to her feet?"

"India was once a great missionary power. Hundreds of years before England was converted to Christianity, Buddha sent out missionaries to convert the world of Asia to His doctrine. The world of thought is being converted. We are only at the beginning as yet. The number of those who decline to adopt any special form of religion is greatly increasing, and this movement is among the educated classes. In a recent American census, a large number of persons declined to class themselves as belonging to any form of religion. All religions are different expressions of the same truth ; all march on or die out. They are the radii of the same truth, the expression that variety of minds requires."

"Now we are getting near it. What is that central truth ?"

"The Divine within ; every being, however degraded, is the expression of the Divine. The Divinity becomes covered, hidden from view. I call to mind an incident of the Indian Mutiny. A Swami, who for years had fulfilled a vow of eternal silence, was stabbed by a Mahommedan. They dragged the murderer before his victim and cried out,

'Speak the word, Swami, and he shall die.' After many years of silence, he broke it to say with his last breath: 'My children, you are all mistaken. That man is God Himself.' The great lesson is, that unity is behind all. Call it God, Love, Spirit, Allah, Jehovah,—it is the same unity that animates all life from the lowest animal to the noblest man. Picture to yourself an ocean ice-bound, pierced with many different holes. Each of these is a soul, a man, emancipated according to his degree of intelligence, essaying to break through the ice."

"I think I see one difference between the wisdom of the East and that of the West. You aim at producing very perfect individuals by Sannyâsa, concentration, and so forth. Now the ideal of the West seems to be the perfecting of the social state; and so we work at political and social questions, since we think that the permanence of our civilisation depends upon the well-being of the people."

"But the basis of all systems, social or political," said the Swami with great earnestness, "rests upon the goodness of man. No nation is great or good because Parliament enacts this or that, but because its men are great and good. I have visited China which had the most admirable organisation of all nations. Yet to-day China is like a disorganised mob, because her men are not equal to the system contrived in the olden days. Religion goes to the root of the matter. If it is right, all is right."

"It sounds just a little vague and remote from practical life, that the Divine is within everything but covered. One can't be looking for it all the time."

"People often work from the same ends and fail to recognise the fact. One must admit that law, Government, politics are phases not final in any way. There is a goal beyond them where law is not needed. And by the way, the very word Sannyâsin means the divine outlaw, one might say, divine Nihilist, but that miscomprehension pursues those that use such a word. All great Masters

teach the same thing. Christ saw that the basis is not law, that morality and purity are the only strength. As for your statement, that the East aims at higher self-development, and the West at the perfecting of the social state, you do not of course forget that there is an apparent self and a real self."

"The inference, of course, being that we work for the apparent, you for the real."

"The mind works through various stages to attain its fuller development. First, it lays hold of the concrete, and only gradually deals with abstractions. Look, too, how the idea of universal brotherhood is reached. First it is grasped as brotherhood within a sect—hard, narrow, and exclusive. Step by step we reach broad generalisations and the world of abstract ideas."

"So you think that those sects, of which we English are so fond, will die out. You know what the Frenchman said, 'England, the land of a thousand sects and but one sauce.' "

"I am sure that they are bound to disappear. Their existence is founded on non-essentials ; the essential part of them will remain, and be built up into another edifice. You know the old saying that it is good to be born in a church, but not to die in it."

"Perhaps you will say how your work is progressing in England?"

"Slowly, for the reasons I have already named. When you deal with roots and foundations, all real progress must be slow. Of course, I need not say that these ideas are bound to spread by one means or another, and to many of us the right moment for their dissemination seems now to have come."

Then I listened to an explanation of how the work is carried on. Like many an old doctrine, this new one is offered without money and without price, depending entirely upon the voluntary efforts of those who embrace it.

The Swami is a picturesque figure in his Eastern dress.

His simple and cordial manner, savouring of anything but the popular idea of asceticism, an unusual command of English and great conversational powers add not a little to an interesting personality.....His vow of Sannyâsa implies renunciation of position, property, and name, as well as the persistent search for spiritual knowledge.

III

INDIA AND ENGLAND

(India, London, 1896.)

During the London season, Swami Vivekananda has been teaching and lecturing to considerable numbers of people who have been attracted by his doctrine and philosophy. Most English people fancy that England has the practical monopoly of missionary enterprise, almost unbroken save for a small effort on the part of France. I therefore sought the Swami in his temporary home in South Belgravia to enquire what message India could possibly send to England, apart from the remonstrances she has too often had to make on the subject of home charges, judicial and executive functions combined in one person, the settlement of expenses connected with Sudanese and other expeditions.

"It is no new thing," said the Swami composedly, "that India should send forth missionaries. She used to do so under the Emperor Asoka, in the days when the Buddhist faith was young, when she had something to teach the surrounding nations."

"Well, might one ask why she ever ceased doing so, and why she has now begun again?"

"She ceased because she grew selfish, forgot the principle that nations and individuals alike subsist and prosper by a system of give and take. Her mission to the

world has always been the same. It is spiritual, the realm of introspective thought has been hers through all the ages ; abstract science, metaphysics, logic, are her special domain. In reality, my mission to England is an outcome of England's to India. It has been hers to conquer, to govern, to use her knowledge of physical science to her advantage and ours. In trying to sum up India's contribution to the world, I am reminded of a Sanskrit and an English idiom. When you say a man dies, your phrase is, 'He gave up the ghost,' whereas we say, 'He gave up the body.' Similarly, you more than imply that the body is the chief part of man by saying it possesses a soul. Whereas we say a man is a soul and possesses a body. These are but small ripples on the surface, yet they show the current of your national thought. I should like to remind you how Schopenhauer predicted that the influence of Indian philosophy upon Europe would be as momentous when it became well-known, as was the revival of Greek and Latin learning at the close of the Dark Ages. Oriental research is making great progress ; a new world of ideas is opening to the seeker after truth."

"And is India finally to conquer her conquerors?"

"Yes, in the world of ideas. England has the sword, the material world, as our Mahommedan conquerors had before her. Yet Akbar the Great became practically a Hindu; educated Mahommedans, the Sufis, are hardly to be distinguished from the Hindus ; they do not eat beef, and in other ways conform to our usages. Their thought has become permeated by ours."

"So, that is the fate you foresee for the lordly Sahib? Just at this moment he seems to be a long way off it."

"No, it is not so remote as you imply. In the world of religious ideas, the Hindu and the Englishman have much in common, and there is proof of the same thing among other religious communities. Where the English ruler or civil servant has had any knowledge of India's literature,

especially her philosophy, there exists the ground of a common sympathy, a territory constantly widening. It is not too much to say that only ignorance is the cause of that exclusive—sometimes even contemptuous—attitude assumed by some."

"Yes, it is the measure of folly. Will you say why you went to America rather than to England on your mission?"

"That was a mere accident—a result of the World's Parliament of Religions being held in Chicago at the time of the World's Fair, instead of in London, as it ought to have been. The Raja of Mysore and some other friends sent me to America as the Hindu representative. I stayed there three years, with the exception of last summer and this summer, when I came to lecture in London. The Americans are a great people, with a great future before them. I admire them very much, and found many kind friends among them. They are less prejudiced than the English, more ready to weigh and examine a new idea, to value it in spite of its newness. They are most hospitable too ; far less time is lost in showing one's credentials, as it were. You travel in America, as I did, from city to city, always lecturing among friends. I saw Boston, New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, Washington, Desmoines, Memphis and numbers of other places."

"And leaving disciples in each of them?"

"Yes, disciples, but not organisations. That is no part of my work. Of these there are enough in all conscience. Organisations need men to manage them ; they must seek power, money, influence. Often they struggle for domination, and even fight."

"Could the gist of this mission of yours be summed up in a few words? Is it comparative religion you want to preach?"

"It is really the philosophy of religion, the kernel of all its outward forms. All forms of religion have an

essential and a non-essential part. If we strip from them the latter, there remains the real basis of all religion, which all forms of religion possess in common. Unity is behind them all. We may call it God, Allah, Jehovah, the Spirit, Love ; it is the same unity that animates all life, from its lowest form to its noblest manifestation in man. It is on this unity that we need to lay stress, whereas in the West, and indeed everywhere, it is on the non-essential that men are apt to lay stress. They will fight and kill each other for these forms, to make their fellows conform. Seeing that the essential is love of God and love of man, this is curious, to say the least."

"I suppose a Hindu could never persecute."

"He never yet has done so : he is the most tolerant of all the races of men. Considering how profoundly religious he is, one might have thought that he would persecute those who believe in no God. The Jains regard such belief as sheer delusion, yet no Jain has ever been persecuted. In India the Mahommedans were the first who ever took the sword."

"What progress does the doctrine of essential unity make in England? Here we have a thousand sects."

"They must gradually disappear as liberty and knowledge increase. They are founded on the non-essential, which by the nature of things cannot survive. The sects have served their purpose, which was that of an exclusive brotherhood on lines comprehended by those within it. Gradually we reach the idea of universal brotherhood by flinging down the walls of partition which separate such aggregations of individuals. In England the work proceeds slowly, possibly because the time is not more than ripe for it ; but all the same, it makes progress. Let me call your attention to the similar work that England is engaged upon in India. Modern caste distinction is a barrier to India's progress. It narrows, restricts, separates. It will crumble before the advance of ideas."

"Yet some Englishmen, and they are not the least sympathetic to India, nor the most ignorant of her history, regard caste as in the main beneficent. One may easily be too much Europeanised. You yourself condemn many of our ideals as materialistic."

"True. No reasonable person aims at assimilating India to England ; the body is made by the thought that lies behind it. The body politic is thus the expression of national thought, and in India, of thousands of years of thought. To Europeanise India is therefore an impossible and foolish task : the elements of progress were always actively present in India. As soon as a peaceful government was there, these have always shown themselves. From the time of the Upanishads down to the present day, nearly all our great Teachers have wanted to break through the barriers of caste, *i.e.*, caste in its degenerate state, not the original system. What little good you see in the present caste clings to it from the original caste, which was the most glorious social institution. Buddha tried to re-establish caste in its original form. At every period of India's awakening, there have always been great efforts made to break down caste. But it must always be *we* who build up a new India as an effect and continuation of her past, assimilating helpful foreign ideas wherever they may be found. Never can it be *they ;* growth must proceed from within. All that England can do is to help India to work out her own salvation. All progress at the dictation of another, whose hand is at India's throat, is valueless in my opinion. The highest work can only degenerate when slave-labour produces it."

"Have you given any attention to the Indian National Congress movement?"

"I cannot claim to have given much ; my work is in another part of the field. But I regard the movement as significant, and heartily wish it success. A nation is being made out of India's different races. I sometimes think they

are no less various than the different peoples of Europe. In the past, Europe has struggled for Indian trade, a trade which has played a tremendous part in the civilisation of the world ; its acquisition might almost be called a turning-point in the history of humanity. We see the Dutch, Portuguese, French, and English contending for it in succession. The discovery of America may be traced to the indemnification the Venetians sought in the far distant West for the loss they suffered in the East."

"Where will it end?"

"It will certainly end in the working out of India's homogeneity, in her acquiring what we may call democratic ideas. Intelligence must not remain the monopoly of the cultured few ; it will be disseminated from higher to lower classes. Education is coming, and compulsory education will follow. The immense power of our people for work must be utilised. India's potentialities are great, and will be called forth."

"Has any nation ever been great without being a great military power?"

"Yes," said the Swami without a moment's hesitation, "China has. Amongst other countries, I have travelled in China and Japan. To-day, China is like a disorganised mob ; but in the heyday of her greatness she possessed the most admirable organisation any nation has yet known. Many of the devices and methods we term modern, were practised by the Chinese for hundreds and even thousands of years. Take competitive examination as an illustration."

"Why did she become disorganised?"

"Because she could not produce men equal to the system. You have the saying that men cannot be made virtuous by an Act of Parliament ; the Chinese experienced it before you. And that is why religion is of deeper importance than politics, since it goes to the root, and deals with the essentials of conduct."

"Is India conscious of the awakening that you allude to?"

"Perfectly conscious. The world perhaps sees it chiefly in the Congress movement and in the field of social reform; but the awakening is quite as real in religion, though it works more silently."

"The West and East have such different ideals of life. Ours seems to be the perfecting of the social state. Whilst we are busy seeing to these matters, orientals are meditating on abstractions. Here has Parliament been discussing the payment of the Indian army in the Sudan. All the respectable section of the Conservative press has made a loud outcry against the unjust decision of the Government, whereas you probably think the whole affair not worth attention."

"But you are quite wrong," said the Swami, taking the paper and running his eyes over extracts from the Conservative journals. "My sympathies in this matter are naturally with my country. Yet it reminds one of the old Sanskrit proverb: 'You have sold the elephant, why quarrel over the goad?' India always pays. The quarrels of politicians are very curious. It will take ages to bring religion into politics."

"One ought to make the effort very soon all the same."

"Yes, it is worth one's while to plant an idea in the heart of this great London, surely the greatest governing machine that has ever been set in motion. I often watch it working, the power and perfection with which the minutest vein is reached, its wonderful system of circulation and distribution. It helps one to realise how great is the Empire, and how great its task. And with all the rest, it distributes thought. It would be worth a man's while to place some ideas in the heart of this great machine, so that they might circulate to the remotest part."

The Swami is a man of distinguished appearance. Tall, broad, with fine features enhanced by his picturesque

Eastern dress, his personality is very striking.....By birth, he is a Bengali, and by education, a graduate of the Calcutta University. His gifts as an orator are high. He can speak for an hour and a half without a note, or the slightest pause for a word. * * *

—C. S. B.

IV

INDIAN MISSIONARY'S MISSION TO ENGLAND

(The Echo, London, 1896.)

* * * I presume that in his own country the Swami would live under a tree, or at most in the precincts of a temple, his head shaved, dressed in the costume of his country. But these things are not done in London, so that I found the Swami located much like other people, and, save that he wears a long coat of a dark orange shade, dressed like other mortals likewise. He laughingly related that his dress, especially when he wears a turban, does not commend itself to the London street arab, whose observations are scarcely worth repeating. I began by asking the Indian Yogi to spell his name very slowly. * * *

* * *

"Do you think that nowadays people are laying much stress on the non-essential?"

"I think so, among the backward nations, and among the less cultured portion of the civilised people of the West. Your question implies that among the cultured and the wealthy, matters are on a different footing. So they are ; the wealthy are either immersed in the enjoyment of wealth, or grubbing for more. They, and a large section of the busy people, say of religion that it is rot, stuff, nonsense, and they honestly think so. The only religion that is

fashionable is patriotism and Mrs. Grundy. People merely go to church when they are marrying or burying somebody."

"Will your message take them oftener to church?"

"I scarcely think it will. Since I have nothing whatever to do with ritual or dogma ; my mission is to show that religion is everything and in everything.....And what can we say of the system here in England? Everything goes to show that Socialism or some form of rule by the people, call it what you will, is coming on the boards. The people will certainly want the satisfaction of their material needs, less work, no oppression, no war, more food. What guarantee have we that this, or any civilisation, will last, unless it is based on religion, on the goodness of man? Depend on it, religion goes to the root of the matter. If it is right, all is right."

"It must be difficult to get the essential, the metaphysical, part of religion into the minds of the people. It is remote from their thoughts and manner of life."

"In all religions we travel from a lesser to a higher truth, never from error to truth. There is a Oneness behind all creation, but minds are very various. 'That which exists is One, sages call It variously.' What I mean is that one progresses from a smaller to a greater truth. The worst religions are only bad readings of the truth. One gets to understand bit by bit. Even devil-worship is but a perverted reading of the ever-true and immutable Brahman. Other phases have more or less of the truth in them. No form of religion possesses it entirely."

"May one ask if you originated this religion you have come to preach to England?"

"Certainly not. I am a pupil of a great Indian sage, Ramakrishna Paramahamsa. He was not what one might call a very learned man, as some of our sages are but a very holy one, deeply inbued with the spirit of Vedanta philosophy. When I say philosophy, I hardly know whether I ought not to say religion, for it is really both.

You must read Professor Max Müller's account of my Master in a recent number of the *Nineteenth Century*. Ramakrishna was born in the Hooghly district in 1836, and died in 1886. He produced a deep effect on the life of Keshub Chunder Sen and others. By discipline of the body and subduing of the mind he obtained a wonderful insight into the spiritual world. His face was distinguished by a childlike tenderness, profound humility, and remarkable sweetness of expression. No one could look upon it unmoved."

"Then your teaching is derived from the Vedas?"

"Yes, Vedanta means the end of the Vedas, the third section or Upanishads, containing the ripened ideas which we find more as germs in the earlier portion. The most ancient portion of the Vedas is the Samhita, which is in very archaic Sanskrit, only to be understood by the aid of a very old dictionary, the Nirukta of Yâska."

* * *

"I fear that we English have rather the idea that India has much to learn from us ; the average man is pretty ignorant as to what may be learned from India."

"That is so, but the world of scholars know well how much is to be learned and how important the lesson. You would not find Max Müller, Monier Williams, Sir William Hunter, or German Oriental scholars, making light of Indian abstract science." * * *

* * *

The Swami gives his lecture at 39, Victoria Street. All are made welcome, and, as in ancient apostolic times, the new teaching is without money and without price. The Indian missionary is a man of exceptionally fine physique ; his command of English can only be described as perfect. * * *

—C. S. B.

V

AN HOUR WITH THE SWAMI VIVEKANANDA AT MADURA

(The Hindu, Madras, February, 1897.)

Q.—The theory that the universe is false seems to be understood in the following senses, i. e., (a) the sense in which the duration of perishing forms and names is infinitesimally small with reference to eternity ; (b) the sense in which the period between any two 'pralayas' (involution of the universe) is infinitesimally small with reference to eternity ; (c) the sense in which the universe is ultimately false though it has an apparent reality at present, depending upon one sort of consciousness, in the same way as the idea of silver superimposed on a shell, or that of a serpent on a rope, is true for the time being in effect, and is dependent upon a particular condition of mind ; (d) the sense in which the universe is a phantom just like the son of a barren woman, or like the horns of a hare.

In which of these senses is the theory understood in the Advaita philosophy?

A.—There are many classes of Advaitists and each has understood the theory in one or the other sense. Sankara taught the theory in the sense (c), an I it is his teaching that the universe, as it appears, is real for all purposes for every one in his present consciousness, but it vanishes when the consciousness assumes a higher form. You see the trunk of a tree standing before you and you mistake it for a ghost. The idea of a ghost is for the time being real, for it works on your mind and produces the same result upon it as if it were a ghost. As soon as you discover it to be a stump, the idea of the ghost disappears. The idea of a stump and that of the ghost cannot co-exist, and when one is present, the other is absent.

Q.—Is not the sense (d) also adopted in some of the writings of Sankara?

A.—No. Some other men who, by mistake, carried Sankara's notion to an extreme, have adopted the sense (d) in their writings. The senses (a) and (b) are peculiar to the writings of some other classes of Advaita philosophers but never received Sankara's sanction.

Q.—What is the cause of the apparent reality?

A.—What is the cause of your mistaking a stump for a ghost? The universe is the same, in fact, but it is your mind that creates various conditions for it.

Q.—What is the true meaning of the statement that the Vedas are beginningless and eternal? Does it refer to the Vedic utterances or the statements contained in the Vedas? If it refers to the truth involved in such statements, are not the sciences, such as Logic, Geometry, Chemistry, &c., equally beginningless and eternal, for they contain an everlasting truth?

A.—There was a time when the Vedas themselves were considered eternal in the sense in which the divine truths contained therein were changeless and permanent, and were only revealed to man. At a subsequent time, it appears that the utterance of the Vedic hymns with the knowledge of its meaning was important, and it was held that the hymns themselves must have had a divine origin. At a still later period the meaning of the hymns showed that many of them could not be of divine origin, because they inculcated upon mankind performance of various unholy acts, such as torturing animals, and we can also find many ridiculous stories in the Vedas. The correct meaning of the statement, 'The Vedas are beginningless and eternal' is, that the law or truth revealed by them to man is permanent and changeless. Logic, Geometry, Chemistry, &c., reveal also a law or truth which is permanent and changeless, and in that sense, they are also beginningless and eternal. But no truth or law is

absent from the Vedas, and I ask any one of you to point out to me any truth which is not treated of in them.

Q.—What is the notion of Mukti, according to the Advaita philosophy, or in other words, is it a conscious state? Is there any difference between the Mukti of the Advaitism and the Buddhistic Nirvâna?

A.—There is a consciousness in Mukti, which we call super-consciousness. It differs from your present consciousness. It is illogical to say that there is no consciousness in Mukti. The consciousness is of three sorts, the dull, mediocre and intense, as is the case of light. When vibration is intense, the brilliancy is so very powerful as to dazzle the sight itself and in effect is as ineffectual as the dullest of lights. The Buddhistic Nirvâna must have the same degree of consciousness whatever the Buddhists may say. Our definition of Mukti is affirmative in its nature, while the Buddhistic Nirvâna has a negative definition.

Q.—Why should the unconditioned Brahman choose to assume a condition for the purpose of manifestation of the world's creation?

A.—The question itself is most illogical.—Brahman is *Avângmanasogocharam,* meaning that which is incapable of being grasped by word and mind. Whatever lies beyond the region of space, time and causation cannot be conceived by the human mind, and the function of logic and enquiry lies only within the region of space, time and causation. While that is so, it is a vain attempt to question about what lies beyond the possibilities of human conception.

Q.—Here and there attempts are made to import into the *Puranas* hidden ideas which are said to have been allegorically represented. Sometimes it is said that the Puranas need not contain any historical truth, but are mere representations of the highest ideals illustrated with fictitious characters. Take for instance, Vishnupurâna,

Ramayana, or Bhârata. Do they contain historical veracity, or are they mere allegorical representations of metaphysical truths, or are they representations of the highest ideals for the conduct of humanity, or are they mere epic poems such as those of Milton, Homer, &c. ?

A.—Some historical truth is the nucleus of every Purâna. The object of the Purânas is to teach mankind the sublime truth in various forms ; and even if they do not contain any historical truth, they form a great authority for us in respect of the highest truth which they inculcate. Take the Ramayana, for illustration, and for viewing it as an authority of binding character, it is not even necessary that one like Rama should have ever lived. The sublimity of the law propounded by Ramayana or Bhârata does not depend upon the truth of any personality like Rama or Krishna, and one can even hold that such personages never lived, and at the same time take those writings as high authorities in respect of the grand ideas which they place before mankind. Our philosophy does not depend upon any personality for its truth. Thus Krishna did not teach anything new or original to the world, nor does Ramayana profess anything which is not contained in the scriptures. It is to be noted that Christianity cannot stand without Christ, Mahommedanism without Mahommed, and Buddhism without Buddha, but Hinduism stands independent of any man, and for the purpose of estimating the philosophical truth contained in any Purana, we need not consider the question whether the personages treated of therein were really material men or were fictitious characters. The object of the Puranas was the education of mankind, and the sages who constructed them contrived to find some historical personages, and to superimpose upon them all the best or worst qualities just as they wanted to, and laid down the rules of morals for the conduct of mankind. Is it necessary that a demon with ten heads (*Dashamukha*) should have actually lived as

stated in the Ramayana? It is the representation of some truth which deserves to be studied, apart from the question whether *Dashamukha* was a real or fictitious character. You can now depict Krishna in a still more attractive manner, and the description depends upon the sublimity of your ideal, but there stands the grand philosophy contained in the Puranas.

Q.—Is it possible for a man, if he were an adept, to remember the events connected with his past incarnations? The physiological brain, which he owned in his previous incarnation, and in which the impressions of his experience were stored, is no longer present, but in this birth he is endowed with a new physiological brain, and while that is so, how is it possible for the present brain to get at the impressions received by another apparatus which is not in existence at present?

Swami.—What do you mean by an adept?

Correspondent.—One that has developed the hidden powers of his nature.

Swami.—I cannot understand how the hidden powers can be developed. I know what you mean, but I should always desire that the expressions used are precise and accurate. You may say that the powers hidden are uncovered. It is possible for those that have uncovered the hidden powers of their nature to remember the incidents connected with their past incarnations, for their present brain had its *beeja* (seed) in the Sükshma man after death.

Q.—Does the spirit of Hinduism permit the proselytism of strangers into it? And can a Brâhmana listen to the exposition of philosophy made by a Chandâla?

A.—Proselytism is tolerated by Hinduism. Any man, whether he be a Sudra or Chandâla, can expound philosophy even to a Brâhmana. The truth can be learnt from the lowest individual, no matter to what caste or creed he belongs.

Here the Swami quoted Sanskrit verses of high authority in support of his position.

The discourse ended, as the time appointed in the programme for his visiting the Temple had already arrived. He accordingly took leave of the gentlemen present and proceeded to visit the Temple.

VI

THE ABROAD AND THE PROBLEMS AT HOME

(The Hindu, Madras, February, 1897.)

Our representative met the Swami Vivekananda in the train at the Chingleput Station and travelled with him to Madras. The following is the report of the interview :—

"What made you go to America, Swamiji?"

"Rather a serious question to answer in brief. I can only answer it partly now. Because I travelled all over India, I wanted to go over to other countries. I went to America by the Far East."

"What did you see in Japan, and is there any chance of India following in the progressive steps of Japan?"

"None whatever, until all the three hundred millions of India combine together as a whole nation. The world has never seen such a patriotic and artistic race as the Japanese, and one special feature about them is this : that while in Europe and elsewhere Art generally goes with dirt, Japanese Art is Art *plus* absolute cleanliness. I would wish that every one of our young men could visit Japan once at least in his lifetime. It is very easy to go there. The Japanese think that everything Hindu is great, and believe that India is a holy land. Japanese Buddhism is entirely different from what you see in Ceylon. It is the same as Vedanta. It is positive and theistic Buddhism, not the negative atheistic Buddhism of Ceylon."

"What is the key to Japan's sudden greatness?"

"The faith of the Japanese in themselves, and their love for their country. When you have men who are ready to sacrifice their everything for their country, and sincere to the backbone—when such men arise, India will become great in every respect. It is the men that make the country! What is there in the country? If you catch the social morality and the political morality of the Japanese, you will be as great as they are. The Japanese are ready to sacrifice everything for their country, and they have become a great people. But you are not; you cannot be, you sacrifice everything only for your own families and possessions."

"Is it your wish that India should become like Japan?"

"Decidedly not. India should continue to be what she is. How could India ever become like Japan, or any nation for the matter of that? In each nation, as in music, there is a main note, a central theme, upon which all others turn. Each nation has a theme: everything else is secondary. India's theme is religion. Social reform and everything else is secondary. Therefore, India cannot be like Japan. It is said that when 'the heart breaks,' then the flow of thought comes. India's heart must break and the flow of spirituality will come out. India is India. We are not like the Japanese, we are Hindus. India's very atmosphere is soothing. I have been working incessantly here, and amidst this work I am getting rest. It is only from spiritual work that we can get rest in India. If your work is material here, you die of—diabetes!"

"So much for Japan. What was your first experience of America, Swamiji?"

"From first to last it was very good. With the exception of the missionaries and 'Church-women' the Americans are most hospitable, kind-hearted, generous, and good-natured."

"Who are these 'Church-women' that you speak of, Swamiji?"

"When the woman tries her best to find a husband, she goes to all the fashionable sea-side resorts and tries all sorts of tricks to catch a man. When she fails in her attempts, she becomes, what they call in America, an 'old maid,' and joins the Church. Some of them become very 'Churchy.' These 'Church-women' are awful fanatics. They are under the thumb of the priests there. Between them and the priests they make hell of earth and make a mess of religion. With the exception of these, the Americans are a very good people. They loved me so much, and I love them a great deal. I felt as if I was one of them."

"What is your idea about the results of the Parliament of Religions?"

"The Parliament of Religions, as it seems to me, was intended for a 'heathen show' before the world; but it turned out that the heathens had the upper hand, and made it a 'Christian show' all round. So the Parliament of Religions was a failure from the Christian standpoint, seeing that the Roman Catholics, who were the organisers of that Parliament, are, when there is a talk of another Parliament at Paris, now steadily opposing it. But the Chicago Parliament was a tremendous success for India and Indian thought. It helped on the tide of Vedanta, which is flooding the world. The American people,—of course, *minus* the fanatical priests and Church-women,—are very glad of the results of the Parliament."

"What prospects have you, Swamiji, for the spread of your mission in England?"

"There is every prospect. Before many years elapse, a vast majority of the English people will be Vedantins. There is a greater prospect of this in England than there is in America. You see, Americans make a fanfaronade of everything, which is not the case with Englishmen. Even

Christians cannot understand their New Testament, without understanding the Vedanta. Vedanta is the *rationale* of all religions. Without the Vedanta every religion is superstition ; with it everything becomes religion."

"What is the special trait you noticed in the English character?"

"The Englishman goes to practical work as soon as he believes in something. He has tremendous energy for practical work. There is in the whole world no human being superior to the English gentleman or lady. That is really the reason of my faith in them. John Bull is rather a thick-headed gentleman to deal with. You must push and push an idea till it reaches his brain, but once there, it does not get out. In England, there was not one missionary or anybody who said anything against me ; not one who tried to scandalise me. To my astonishment, many of my friends belong to the Church of England. I learn, these missionaries do not come from the higher classes in England. Caste is as rigorous there as it is here, and the English churchmen belong to the class of gentlemen. They may differ in opinion from you, but that is no bar to their being friends with you ; therefore, I would give a word of advice to my countrymen, which is, not to take notice of the vituperative missionaries, now that I have known what they are. We have 'sized' them, as the Americans say. Non-recognition is the only attitude to assume towards them."

"Will you kindly enlighten me, Swamiji, on the Social Reform movements in America and England?"

"Yes. All the social upheavalists, at least the leaders of them, are trying to find that all their communistic or equalising theories must have a spiritual basis, and that spiritual basis is in the Vedanta only. I have been told by several leaders, who used to attend my lectures, that they required the Vedanta as the basis of the new order of things."

"What are your views with regard to the Indian masses?"

"Oh, we are awfully poor, and our masses are very ignorant about secular things. Our masses are very good because poverty here is not a crime. Our masses are not violent. Many times I was near being mobbed in America and England, only on account of my dress. But I never heard of such a thing in India as a man being mobbed because of peculiar dress. In every other respect, our masses are much more civilised than the European masses."

"What will you propose for the improvement of your masses?"

"We have to give them secular education. We have to follow the plan laid down by our ancestors, that is, to bring all the ideals slowly down among the masses. Raise them slowly up, raise them to equality. Impart even secular knowledge through religion."

"But do you think, Swamiji, it is a task that can be easily accomplished?"

"It will, of course, have gradually to be worked out. But if there are enough self-sacrificing young fellows, who I hope may work with me, it can be done to-morrow. It all depends upon the zeal and the self-sacrifice brought to the task."

"But if the present degraded condition is due to their past Karma, Swamiji, how do you think they could get out of it easily, and how do you propose to help them?"

The Swamiji readily answered: "Karma is the eternal assertion of human freedom. If we can bring ourselves down by our Karma, surely it is in our power to raise ourselves by it. The masses, besides, have not brought themselves down altogether by their own Karma, so that we should give them better environments to work in. I do not propose any levelling up of castes. Caste is a very good thing. Caste is the plan we want to follow. What caste

really is, not one in a million understands. There is no country in the world without caste. In India, from caste we reach to the point where there is no caste. Caste is based throughout on that principle. The plan in India is to make everybody Brâhmana, the Brâhmana being the ideal of humanity. If you read the history of India you will find that attempts have always been made to raise the lower classes. Many are the classes that have been raised. Many more will follow till the whole will become Brâhmana. That is the plan. We have only to raise them without bringing down anybody. And this has mostly to be done by the Brâhmanas themselves, because it is the duty of every aristocracy to dig its own grave ; and the sooner it does so, the better for all. No time should be lost. Indian caste is better than the caste which prevails in Europe or America. I do not say it is absolutely good. Where will you be if there were no caste? Where would be your learning, and other things, if there were no caste? There would be nothing left for the Europeans to study if caste had never existed! The Mahommedans would have smashed everything to pieces. Where do you find the Indian Society standing still? It is always on the move. Sometimes, as in the times of foreign invasions, the movement has been slow, at other times quicker. This is what I say to my countrymen. I do not condemn them. I look into their past. I find that under the circumstances no nation could do more glorious work. I tell them that they have done well. I only ask them to do better."

"What are your views, Swamiji, in regard to the relation of caste to rituals?"

"Caste is continually changing, rituals are continually changing, so are forms. It is the substance, the principle, that does not change. It is in the Vedas that we have to study our religion. With the exception of the Vedas every book must change. The authority of the Vedas is for all time to come ; the authority of every one of our other

books is for the time being. For instance, one Smriti is powerful for one age, another for another age. Great prophets are always coming and pointing the way to work. Some prophets worked for the lower classes, others like Madhva gave to women the right to study the Vedas. Caste should not go; but should only be re-adjusted occasionally. Within the old structure is to be found life enough for the building of two hundred thousand new ones. It is sheer nonsense to desire the abolition of caste. The new method is—evolution of the old."

"Do not Hindus stand in need of social reform?"

"We do stand in need of social reform. At times great men would evolve new ideas of progress, and Kings would give them the sanction of law. Thus social improvements had been in the past made in India, and in modern times to effect such progressive reforms, we will have first to build up such an authoritative power. Kings having gone, the power is the people's. We have, therefore, to wait till the people are educated, till they understand their needs and are ready and able to solve their problems. The tyranny of the minority is the worst tyranny in the world. Therefore, instead of frittering away our energies on ideal reforms, which would never become practical, we had better go to the root of the evil and make a legislative body, that is to say, educate our people, so that they may be able to solve their own problems. Until that is done all these ideal reforms will remain ideals only. The new order of things is the salvation of the people by the people, and it takes time to make it workable, especially in India which has always in the past been governed by Kings."

"Do you think Hindu society can successfully adopt European social laws?"

"No, not wholly. I would say, the combination of the Greek mind represented by the external European energy, added to the Hindu spirituality, would be an ideal

society for India. For instance, it is absolutely necessary for you, instead of frittering away your energy and often talking of ideal nonsense, to learn from the Englishman the idea of prompt obedience to leaders, the absence of jealousy, the indomitable perseverance and the undying faith in himself. As soon as he selects a leader for a work, the Englishman sticks to him through thick and thin and obeys him. Here in India, everybody wants to become a leader, and there is nobody to obey. Every one should learn to obey before he can command. There is no end to our jealousies ; and the more impotent the Hindu, the more jealous he is. Until this absence of jealousy, and obedience to leaders are learnt by the Hindu, there will be no power of organisation. We shall have to remain the hopelessly confused mob that we are now, hoping and doing nothing. India has to learn from Europe the conquest of external nature, and Europe has to learn from India the conquest of internal nature. Then there will be neither Hindus nor Europeans—there will be the ideal humanity which has conquered both the natures, the external and the internal. We have developed one phase of humanity, and they another. It is the union of the two that is wanted. The word freedom which is the watchword of our religion really means freedom physically, mentally and spiritually."

"What relation, Swamiji, does ritual bear to religion?"

"Rituals are the Kindergarten of religion. They are absolutely necessary for the world as it is now; only we shall have to give people newer and fresher rituals. A party of thinkers must undertake to do this. Old rituals must be rejected and new ones substituted."

"Then you advocate the abolition of rituals, don't you?"

"No, my watchword is construction, not destruction. Out of the existing rituals, new ones will have to be evolved. There is infinite power of development in every-

thing; that is my belief. One atom has the power of the whole Universe at its back. All along in the history of the Hindu race, there never was any attempt at destruction, only construction. One sect wanted to destroy, and they were thrown out of India : they were the Buddhists. We have had a host of reformers, Sankara, Ramanuja, Madhva and Chaitanya—these were great reformers, who always were constructive, and built according to the circumstances for their time. This is our peculiar method of work. All the modern reformers take to European destructive reformation, which will never do good to any one and never did. Only once was a modern reformer mostly constructive, and that was Raja Ram Mohan Roy. The progress of the Hindu race has been towards the realisation of the Vedantic ideals. All history of Indian life is the struggle for the realisation of the ideal of the Vedanta through good or bad fortune. Whenever there was any reforming sect or religion which rejected the Vedantic ideal, it was smashed into nothing."

"What is your programme of work here?"

"I want to start two institutions, one in Madras and one in Calcutta, to carry out my plan; and that plan briefly is to bring the Vedantic ideals into the everyday practical life of the saint or the sinner, of the sage or the ignoramus, of the Brâhmana or the Pariah."

Our representative here put to him a few questions relative to Indian politics; but before the Swami could attempt anything like an answer, the train steamed up to the Egmore platform, and the only hurried remark that fell from the Swami was, that he was dead against all political entanglements of Indian and European problems. The interview then terminated.

VII

THE MISSIONARY WORK OF THE FIRST HINDU SANNYASIN TO THE WEST AND HIS PLAN OF REGENERATION OF INDIA

(Madras Times, February, 1897.)

For the past few weeks, the Hindu public of Madras have been most anxiously expecting the arrival of Swami Vivekananda, the great Hindu Monk of worldwide fame. At the present moment his name is on everybody's lips. In the school, in the college, in the High Court, on the marina and in the streets and bazars of Madras, hundreds of inquisitive spirits may be seen asking everybody when the Swami will be coming. Large numbers of students from the Mofussil who have come up for the University examinations, are staying here, awaiting the Swami, and increasing their hostelry bills, despite the urgent call of their parents to return home immediately. In a few days the Swami will be in our midst. From the nature of the receptions received elsewhere in this Presidency, from the preparations being made here, from the triumphal arches erected at Castle Kernan, where the "Prophet" is to be lodged at the cost of the Hindu public, and from the interest taken in the movement by the leading Hindu gentlemen of this city, like the Hon'ble Mr. Justice Subramaniya Iyer, there is no doubt that the Swami will have a grand reception. It was Madras that first recognised the superior merits of the Swami, and equipped him for Chicago. Madras will now have again the honour of welcoming the undoubtedly great man who has done so much to raise the prestige of his motherland. Four years ago, when the Swami landed here, he was practically an obscure individual. In an unknown bungalow at St. Thome, he spent nearly two months, all along holding conversations on religious topics, and teaching and

instructing all comers who cared to listen to him. Even then a few educated young men with "a keener eye" predicted that there was something in the man, "a power" that would lift him above all others, that would pre-eminently enable him to be the leader of men. These young men who were then despised as "misguided enthusiasts," "dreamy revivalists," have now the supreme satisfaction of seeing "their Swami," as they love to call him, return to them with a great European and American fame. The mission of the Swami is essentially "spiritual." He firmly believes that India, the motherland of spirituality, has a great future before her. He is sanguine that the West will more and more come to appreciate what he regards as the sublime truths of the Vedanta. His great motto is "Help, and not Fight," "Assimilation, and not Destruction," "Harmony and Peace, and not Dissension." Whatever difference of opinion followers of other creeds may have with him,..... .....few will venture to deny that the Swami has done yeoman's service to his country in opening the eyes of the Western world to "the good in the Hindu." He will always be remembered as the first Hindu *Sannyâsin* who dared to cross the sea to carry to the West the message of of what he believes in as a religious peace.

A representative of our paper interviewed the Swami Vivekananda, with a view to elicit from him an account of the success of his mission in the West.....The Swami very courteously received our representative, and motioned him to a chair by his side. The Swami was dressed in yellow robes, was calm, serene, and dignified, and appeared inclined to answer any questions that might be put to him. We have given the Swami's words as taken down in shorthand by our representative.....

"May I know a few particulars about your early life?" asked our representative.

The Swami,.....said :—"Even while I was a

student at Calcutta, I was of a religious temperament. I was critical even at that time of my life, mere words would not satisfy me. Subsequently I met Ramakrishna Paramahamsa, with whom I lived for a long time, and under whom I studied. After the death of my father I gave myself up to travelling in India and started a little monastery in Calcutta. During my travels, I came to Madras, where I received help from the late Maharajah of Mysore and the Rajah of Ramnad."

"What made Your Holiness carry the mission of Hinduism to Western countries?"

"I wanted to get experience. My idea as to the keynote of our national downfall is, that we do not mix with other nations—that is the one and the sole cause. We never had opportunity to compare notes. We were *Kupa-Mandukas* (frogs in a well)."

"You have done a good deal of travelling in the West?"

"I have visited a good deal of Europe, including Germany and France, but England and America were the chief centres of my work. At first I found myself in a critical position, owing to the hostile attitude assumed by those who went there from India, against the people of this country. I believe the Indian nation is by far the most moral and religious nation in the whole world, and it would be a blasphemy to compare the Hindus with any other nation. At first, many fell foul of me, manufactured huge lies against me by saying that I was a fraud, that I had a harem of wives, and half a regiment of children. But my experience of these missionaries opened my eyes as to what they are capable of doing in the name of religion. Missionaries were nowhere in England. None came to fight me. Mr. Lund went over to America to abuse me behind my back, but people would not listen to him. I was very popular with them. When I came back to England, I thought this missionary would be at me, but the *Truth*

silenced him. In England the social status is stricter than caste is in India. The English Church people are all gentlemen born, which many of the missionaries are not. They greatly sympathised with me. I think that about thirty English Church clergymen agree entirely with me on all points of religious discussion. I was agreeably surprised to find that the English clergymen, though they differed from me, did not abuse me behind my back, and stab me in the dark. There is the benefit of caste and hereditary culture."

"What has been the measure of your success in the West?"

"A great number of people sympathised with me in America—much more than in England. Vituperation by the low-caste missionaries made my cause succeed better. I had no money, the people of India having given me my bare passage-money, which was spent in a very short time. I had to live just as here on the charity of individuals. The Americans are very hospitable people. In America one-third of the people are Christians, but the rest have no religion, that is, they do not belong to any of the sects, but amongst them are to be found the most 'spiritual' persons. I think the work in England is sound. If I die to-morrow and cannot send any more *Sannyâsins*, still the English work will go on. The Englishman is a very good man. He is taught from his childhood to suppress all his feelings. He is thick-headed, and is not so quick as the Frenchman or the American. He is immensely practical. The American people are too young to understand renunciation. England has enjoyed wealth and luxury for ages. Many people there are ready for renunciation. When I first lectured in England I had a little cla·s of twenty or thirty, which was kept going when I left, and when I went back from America I could get an audience of one thousand. In America I could get a much bigger one, as I spent three years in America and only one year in

England. I have two Sannyâsins—one in England and one in America, and I intend sending Sannyâsins to other countries.

"English people are tremendous workers. Give them an idea, and you may be sure that that idea is not going to be lost, provided they catch it. People here have given up the Vedas, and all your philosophy is in the kitchen. The religion of India at present is 'Don't-touchism'—that is a religion which the English people will never accept. The thoughts of our forefathers and the wonderful life-giving principles that they had discovered, every nation will take. The biggest guns of the English Church told me that I was putting Vedantism into the Bible. The present Hinduism is a degradation. There is no book on philosophy, written to-day, in which something of our Vedantism is not touched upon—even the works of Herbert Spencer contain it. The philosophy of the age is Advaitism, everybody talks of it ; but only in Europe they try to be original. They talk of Hindus with contempt, but at the same time swallow the truths given out by the Hindus. Professor Max Müller is a perfect Vedantist, and has done splendid work in Vedantism. He believes in re-incarnation."

"What do you intend doing for the regeneration of India?"

"I consider that the great national sin is the neglect of the masses, and that is one of the causes of our downfall. No amount of politics would be of any avail until the masses in India are once more well educated, well fed, and well cared for. They pay for our education, they build our temples, but in return they get kicks. They are practically our slaves. If we want to regenerate India, we must work for them. I want to start two central institutions at first—one at Madras, and the other at Calcutta, for training young men as preachers. I have funds for starting

the Calcutta one. English people will find funds for my purpose.

"My faith is in the younger generation, the modern generation, out of them will come my workers. They will work out the whole problem, like lions. I have formulated the idea and have given my life to it. If I do not achieve success, some better one will come after me to work it out, and I shall be content to struggle. The one problem you have is to give to the masses their rights. You have the greatest religion which the world ever saw, and you feed the masses with stuff and nonsense. You have the perennial fountain flowing, and you give them ditch-water. Your Madras graduate would not touch a low-caste man, but is ready to get out of him the money for his education. I want to start at first these two institutions for educating missionaries, to be both spiritual and secular instructors to our masses. They will spread from centre to centre, until we have covered the whole of India. The great thing is to have faith in oneself, even before faith in God; but the difficulty seems to be that we are losing faith in ourselves day by day. That is my objection against the reformers. The orthodox have more faith and more strength in themselves, in spite of their crudeness; but the reformers simply play into the hands of Europeans and pander to their vanity. Our masses are gods as compared with those of other countries. This is the only country where poverty is not a crime. They are mentally and physically handsome; but we hated and hated them till they have lost faith in themselves. They think they are born slaves. Give them their rights, and let them stand on their rights. This is the glory of the American civilisation. Compare the Irishman with knees bent, half-starved, with a little stick and bundle of clothes, just arrived from the ship, with what he is after a few months' stay in America. He walks boldly and bravely. He has come from a country where he was a slave, to a country where he is a brother.

"Believe that the soul is immortal, infinite and all-powerful. My idea of education is, personal contact with the teacher—*Gurugriha-Vâsa*. Without the personal life of a teacher there would be no education. Take your Universities. What have they done during the fifty years of their existence? They have not produced one original man. They are merely an examining body. The idea of the sacrifice for the common weal is not yet developed in our nation."

"What do you think of Mrs. Besant and Theosophy?"

"Mrs. Besant is a very good woman. I lectured at her Lodge in London. I do not know personally much about her. Her knowledge of our religion is very limited ; she picks up scraps here and there ; she never had time to study it thoroughly. That she is one of the most sincere women, her greatest enemy will concede. She is considered the best speaker in England. She is a Sannyâsin. But I do not believe in *Mahâtmas* and *Kuthumis*. Let her give up her connection with the Theosophical Society, stand on her own footing, and preach what she thinks right."

Speaking of social reforms, the Swami expressed himself about widow-marriage thus : "I have yet to see a nation whose faith is determined by the number of husbands their widows get."

Knowing as he did, that several persons were waiting downstairs to have an interview with the Swami, our representative withdrew, thanking the Swami for the kindness with which he had consented to the journalistic torture.

The Swami, it may be remarked, is accompanied by Mr. and Mrs. J. H. Sevier, Mr. T. G. Harrison, a Buddhist gentleman of Colombo, and Mr. J. J. Goodwin. It appears that Mr. and Mrs. Sevier accompany the Swami, with a view to settle in the Himalayas, where they intend building a residence for the Western disciples of the Swami, who may have an inclination to reside in India. For twenty

years, Mr. and Mrs. Sevier had followed no particular religion, finding satisfaction in none of those that were preached ; but on listening to a course of lectures by the Swami, they professed to have found a religion that satisfied their heart and intellect. Since then they have accompanied the Swami through Switzerland, Germany and Italy, and now to India. Mr. Goodwin, a journalist in England, became a disciple of the Swami fourteen months ago, when he first met him at New York. He gave up his journalism and devotes himself attending to the Swami, and taking down his lectures in shorthand. He is in every sense a true "disciple," saying that he hopes to be with the Swami till his death.

VIII

REAWAKENING OF HINDUISM ON A NATIONAL BASIS

(Prabuddha Bharata, September, 1898.)

In an interview which a representative of "Prabuddha Bharata" had recently with the Swami Vivekananda, that great Teacher was asked: "What do you consider the distinguishing feature of your Movement, Swamiji?"

"Aggression," said the Swami promptly, "aggression in a religious sense only. Other sects and parties have carried spirituality all over India, but since the days of Buddha we have been the first to break bounds and try to flood the world with missionary zeal."

"And what do you consider to be the function of your Movement as regards India?"

"To find the common bases of Hinduism and awaken the national consciousness to them. At present there are three parties in India included under the term 'Hindu'—the orthodox, the reforming sects of the Mahommedan

period, and the reforming sects of the present time. Hindus from North to South are only agreed on one point, —viz., on not eating beef."

"Not in a common love for the Vedas?"

"Certainly not. That is just what we want to re-awaken. India has not yet assimilated the work of Buddha. She is hypnotised by His voice, not made alive by it."

"In what way do you see this importance of Buddhism in India to-day?"

"It is obvious and overwhelming. You see India never loses anything; only she takes time to turn everything into bone and muscle. Buddha dealt a blow at animal sacrifice, from which she has never recovered; and Buddha said, 'Kill no cows,' and cow-killing is an impossibility with us."

"With which of the three parties you name do you identify yourself, Swamiji?"

"With all of them. We are orthodox Hindus," said the Swami, "but," he added suddenly with great earnestness and emphasis, "we refuse entirely to identify ourselves with 'Don't-touchism.' That is not Hinduism: it is in none of our books: it is an unorthodox superstition which has interfered with national efficiency all along the line."

"Then what you really desire is national efficiency?"

"Certainly. Can you adduce any reason why India should lie in the ebb-tide of the Aryan nations? Is she inferior in intellect? Is she inferior in dexterity? Can you look at her art, at her mathematics, at her philosophy, and answer 'yes'? All that is needed is, that she should de-hypnotise herself and wake up from her age-long sleep to take her true rank in the hierarchy of nations."

"But India has always had her deep inner life. Are you not afraid, Swamiji, that in attempting to make her active you may take from her, her one great treasure?"

"Not at all. The history of the past has gone to

develop the inner life of India and the activity (i. e., the outer life) of the West. Hitherto these have been divergent. The time has now come for them to unite. Ramakrishna Paramahamsa was alive to the depths of his being, yet on the outer plane who was more active? This is the secret. Let your life be as deep as the ocean, but let it also be as wide as the sky."

"It is a curious thing," continued the Swami, "that the inner life is often most profoundly developed where the outer conditions are most cramping and limiting. But this is an accidental—not an essential—association, and if we set ourselves right here in India, the world will be 'rightened.' For are we not all one?"

"Your last remarks, Swamiji, raise another question. In what sense is Sri Ramakrishna a part of this awakened Hinduism?"

"That is not for me to determine," said he Swami. "I have never preached personalities. My own life is guided by the enthusiasm of this great soul ; but others will decide for themselves how far they share in this attitude. Inspiration is not filtered out to the world through one channel, however great. Each generation should be inspired afresh. Are we not all God?"

"Thank you. I have only one question more to ask you. You have defined the attitude and function of your Movement with regard to your own people. Could you in the same way characterise your methods of action as a whole?"

"Our method," said the Swami, "is very easily described. It simply consists in reasserting the national life. Buddha preached *renunciation*. India heard, and yet in six centuries she reached her greatest height. The secret lies there. The national ideals of India are RENUNCIATION and SERVICE. Intensify her in those channels and the rest will take care of itself. The banner of the

spiritual cannot be raised too high in this country. In it alone is salvation."

IX

ON INDIAN WOMEN—THEIR PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE

(Prabuddha Bharata, December, 1898.)

It was early one Sunday morning, (writes our representative), in a beautiful Himalayan valley, that I was at last able to carry out the order of the Editor, and call on the Swami Vivekananda, to ascertain something of his views on the position and prospects of Indian Women.

"Let us go for a walk," said the Swami, when I had announced my errand, and we set out at once amongst some of the most lovely sceneries in the world.

By sunny and shady ways we went, through quiet villages, amongst playing children, and across the golden cornfields. Here the tall trees seemed to pierce the blue above, and there a group of peasant girls stooped, sickle in hand, to cut and carry off the plume-tipped stalks of maize-straw for the winter stores. Now the road led into an apple orchard, where great heaps of crimson fruit lay under the trees being picked and sorted, and again we were out in the open, facing the snows that rose in august beauty above the white clouds against the sky.

At last my companion broke the silence. "The Aryan and Semitic ideals of woman," he said, "have always been diametrically opposed. Amongst the Semites the presence of woman is considered dangerous to devotion and she may not perform any religious function, even such as the killing of a bird for food: according to the Aryan, a man cannot perform a religious action without a wife."

"But Swamiji!" said I,—startled at an assertion so sweeping and so unexpected—"Is Hinduism not an Aryan faith?"

"Modern Hinduism," said the Swami quietly, "is largely Pauranika, that is, post-Buddhistic in origin. Dayananda Saraswati pointed out that though a wife is absolutely necessary in the Sacrifice of the domestic fire, which is a Vedic rite, she may not touch the Shâlagrâma Shilâ, or the household-idol, because that dates from the later period of the Puranas."

"And so you consider the inequality of woman amongst us as entirely due to the influence of Buddhism?"

"Where it exists, certainly," said the Swami, "but we should not allow the sudden influx of European criticism, and our consequent sense of contrast, to make us acquiesce too readily in this notion of the inequality of our women. Circumstances have forced upon us, for many centuries, the woman's need of protection. This, and not her inferiority, is the true reading of our customs."

"Are you then entirely satisfied with the position of women amongst us, Swamiji?"

"By no means," said the Swami, "but our right of interference is limited entirely to giving education. Women must be put in a position to solve their own problems in their own way. No one can or ought to do this for them. And our Indian women are as capable of doing it as any in the world."

"How do you account for the evil influence which you attribute to Buddhism?"

"It only came with the decay of the faith," said the Swami. "Every movement triumphs by dint of some unusual characteristic, and when it falls, that point of pride becomes its chief element of weakness. The Lord Buddha—greatest of men—was a marvellous organiser, and carried the world by this means. But His religion was the religion of a monastic order. It had, therefore,

the evil effect of making the very robe of the monk honoured. He also introduced for the first time the community-life of religious houses, and thereby necessarily made women inferior to men, since the great abbesses could take no important step without the advice of certain abbots. It ensured its immediate object, the solidarity of the faith, you see, only its far-reaching effects are to be deplored."

"But Sannyâsa is recognised in the Vedas!"

"Of course it is, but without making any distinction between men and women. Do you remember how Yajnavalkya was questioned at the Court of King Janaka? His principal examiner was Vâchaknavi, the maiden orator—Brahmavâdini, as the word of the day was. 'Like two shining arrows in the hand of the skilled archer,' she says, 'are my questions.' Her sex is not even commented upon. Again, could anything be more complete than the equality of boys and girls in our old forest universities? Read our Sanskrit dramas—read the story of Shakuntala, and see if Tennyson's 'Princess' has anything to teach us!"

"You have a wonderful way of revealing the glories of our past, Swamiji!"

"Perhaps, because I have seen both sides of the world," said the Swami gently, "and I know that the race that produced Sita—even if it only dreamt of her—has a reverence for woman that is unmatched on the earth. There is many a burden bound with legal tightness on the shoulders of Western women that is utterly unknown to ours. We have our wrongs and our exceptions certainly, but so have they. We must never forget that all over the globe the general effort is to express love and tenderness and uprightness, and that national customs are only the nearest vehicles of this expression. With regard to the domestic virtues I have no hesitation in saying that

our Indian methods have in many ways the advantage over all others."

"Then have our women any problems at all, Swamiji?"

"Of course, they have many and grave problems, but none that are not to be solved by that magic word 'Education.' The true education, however, is not yet conceived of amongst us."

"And how would you define that?"

"I never define anything," said the Swami smiling, "still it may be described as a development of faculty, not an accumulation of words, or, as a training of individuals to will rightly and efficiently. So shall we bring to the need of India great fearless women—women worthy to continue the traditions of Sanghamitta, Lila, Ahalya Bai, and Mira Bai,—women fit to be mothers of heroes, because they are pure and selfless, strong with the strength that comes of touching the feet of God."

"So you consider that there should be a religious element in education, Swamiji?"

"I look upon Religion as the innermost core of education," said the Swami solemnly. "Mind, I do not mean my own, or any one else's opinion about religion. I think the teacher should take the pupil's starting-point in this, as in other respects, and enable her to develop along her own line of least resistance."

"But surely the religious exaltation of Brahmacharya, by taking the highest place from the mother and wife, and giving it to those who evade those relations, is a direct blow dealt at Woman?"

"You should remember," said the Swami, "that if Religion exalts Brahmacharya for woman, it does exactly the same for man. Moreover, your question shows a certain confusion in your own mind. Hinduism indicates one duty, only one, for the human soul. It is to seek to realise the permanent amid the evanescent. No one presumes to point out any one way in which this may be

done. Marriage or non-marriage, good or evil, learning or ignorance, any of these is justified, if it leads to the Goal. In this respect lies the great contrast between it and Buddhism, for the latter's outstanding direction is to realise the Impermanence of the External, which, broadly speaking, can only be done in one way. Do you recall the story of the young Yogi in the Mahabharata, who prided himself on his psychic powers by burning the bodies of a crow and crane by his intense will, produced by anger? Do you remember the young saint went into the town, and found first a wife nursing her sick husband, and then the butcher Dharmavyâdha, both of whom had obtained enlightenment in the path of common faithfulness and duty?"

"And so what would you say, Swamiji, to the women of this country?"

"Why, to the women of this country," said the Swami, "I would say exactly what I say to the men. Believe in India, and in our Indian faith. Be strong and hopeful and unashamed, and remember that with something to take, Hindus have immeasurably more to give than any other people in the world."

X

ON THE BOUNDS OF HINDUISM

(Prabuddha Bharata, April, 1899.)

Having been directed by the Editor, (writes our representative), to interview Swami Vivekananda on the question of converts to Hinduism, I found an opportunity one evening on the roof of a Ganges houseboat. It was after nightfall and we had stopped at the embankment

of the Ramakrishna Math, and there the Swami came down to speak with me.

Time and place were alike delightful. Overhead, the stars, and around—the rolling Ganges. While on one side stood the dimly lighted building, with its background of palms and lofty shade-trees.

"I want to see you, Swami," I began, "on this matter of receiving back into Hinduism those who have been perverted from it. Is it your opinion that they should be received?"

"Certainly," said the Swami, "they can and ought to be taken."

He sat gravely for a moment, thinking, and then resumed. "Besides," he said, "we shall otherwise decrease in numbers. When the Mahommedans first came, we are said—I think on the authority of Ferishta, the oldest Mahommedan historian—to have been six hundred millions of Hindus. Now we are about two hundred millions. And then, every man going out of the Hindu pale is not only a man less, but an enemy the more.

"Again, the vast majority of Hindu perverts to Islam and Christianity are perverts by the sword, or the descendants of these. It would be obviously unfair to subject these to disabilities of any kind. As to the case of born aliens, did you say? Why, born aliens have been converted in the past by crowds, and the process is still going on.

"In my own opinion, this statement not only applies to aboriginal tribes, to outlying nations, and to almost all our conquerors before the Mahommedan conquest, but also to all those castes who find a special origin in the Puranas. I hold that they have been aliens thus adopted.

"Ceremonies of expiation are no doubt suitable in the case of willing converts, returning to their Mother-Church, as it were; but on those who were alienated by

conquest,—as in Kashmir and Nepal,—or on strangers wishing to join us, no penance should be imposed."

"But of what caste would these people be, Swamiji?" I ventured to ask. "They must have some, or they can never be assimilated into the great body of Hindus. Where shall we look for their rightful place?"

"Returning converts," said the Swami quietly, "will gain their own castes, of course. And new people will make theirs. You will remember," he added, "that this has already been done in the case of Vaishnavism. Converts from different castes and aliens were all able to combine under that flag, and form a caste by themselves, —and a very respectable one too. From Ramanuja down to Chaitanya of Bengal, all great Vaishnava Teachers have done the same."

"And where should these new people expect to marry?" I asked.

"Amongst themselves as they do now," said the Swami quietly.

"Then as to names," I enquired. "I suppose aliens and perverts who have adopted non-Hindu names should be named newly. Would you give them caste-names, or what?"

"Certainly," said the Swami, thoughtfully, "there is a great deal in a name!" and on this question he would say no more.

But my next enquiry drew blood. "Would you leave these new-comers, Swamiji, to choose their own form of religious belief out of many-visaged Hinduism, or would you chalk out a religion for them?"

"Can you ask that?" he said. "They will choose for themselves. For unless a man chooses for himself, the very spirit of Hinduism is destroyed. The essence of our Faith consists simply in this freedom of the Ishtam."

I thought the utterance a weighty one, for the man before me has spent more years than any one else living,

I fancy, in studying the common bases of Hinduism in a scientific and sympathetic spirit—and the freedom of the Ishtam is obviously a principle big enough to accommodate the world.

But the talk passed to other matters, and then with a cordial goodnight, this great teacher of religion lifted his lantern and went back into the Monastery, while I, by the pathless paths of the Ganges, in and out amongst her crafts of many sizes, made the best of my way back to my Calcutta home.

NOTES FROM LECTURES AND DISCOURSES

I

ON KARMA-YOGA

Isolation of the soul from all objects, mental and physical, is the goal; when that is attained, the soul will find that it was alone all the time, and it required no one to make it happy. As long as we require someone else to make us happy we are slaves. When the *Purusha* finds that It is free, and does not require anything to complete Itself, that this Nature is quite unnecessary, then freedom (*Kaivalya*) is attained.

Men run after a few dollars and do not think anything of cheating a fellow-being to get those dollars; but if they would restrain themselves, in a few years they would develop such characters as would bring them millions of dollars,—if they wanted them. Then their will would govern the universe. But we are all such fools!

What is the use of talking of one's mistakes to the world? They cannot thereby be undone. For what one has done one must suffer; he must try and do better. The world sympathises only with the strong and the powerful.

It is only work that is done as a free-will offering to humanity and to Nature, that does not bring with it any binding attachment.

Duty of any kind is not to be slighted. A man who does the lower work is not for that reason only, a lower man than he who does the higher work; a man should not be judged by the nature of his duties, but by the manner in which he does them. His manner of doing

them and his power to do them are indeed the test of a man. A shoemaker who can turn out a strong, nice pair of shoes in the shortest possible time, is a better man, according to his profession and his work, than a professor who talks nonsense every day of his life.

Every duty is holy, and devotion to duty is the highest form of the worship of God; it is certainly a source of great help in enlightening and emancipating the deluded and ignorance-encumbered soul of the *Baddhas*—the bound ones.

By doing well the duty which is nearest to us, the duty which is in our hands now, we make ourselves stronger; and improving our strength in this manner step by step, we may even reach a state in which it shall be our privilege to do the most coveted and honoured duties in life and in society.

Nature's justice is uniformly stern and unrelenting.

The most practical man would neither call life good nor evil.

Every successful man must have behind him somewhere, tremendous integrity, tremendous sincerity, and that is the cause of his signal success in life. He may not have been perfectly unselfish; yet he was tending towards it. If he had been perfectly unselfish, his would have been as great a success as that of the Buddha, or of the Christ. The degree of unselfishness marks the degree of success everywhere.

The great leaders of mankind belong to higher fields than that of platform work.

However we may try, there cannot be any action which is perfectly pure, or any which is perfectly impure, taking purity or impurity in the sense of injury or non-injury. We cannot breathe or live without injuring others, and every morsel of food we eat is taken from another's mouth: our very lives are crowding out some other lives. It may be those of men or animals, or small fungi, but

someone somewhere we have to crowd out. That being the case, it naturally follows that perfection can never be attained by work. We may work through all eternity, but there will be no way out of this intricate maze: we may work on and on and on, but there will be no end.

The man who works through freedom and love, cares nothing for results. But the slave wants his whipping; the servant wants his pay. So with all life; take for instance the public life. The public speaker wants a little applause, or a little hissing and hooting. If you keep him in a corner without it, you kill him, for he requires it. This is working through slavery. To expect something in return, under such conditions, becomes second nature. Next comes the work of the servant, who requires some pay; I give this and you give me that. Nothing is easier than to say, "I work for work's sake," but nothing is so difficult to attain. I would go twenty miles on my hands and knees to look on the face of the man who can work for work's sake. There is a motive somewhere. If it is not money, it is power. If it is not power, it is gain. Somehow, somewhere, there is a motive power. You are my friend, and I want to work for you and with you. This is all very well, and every moment I may make protestation of my sincerity. But take care, you must be sure to agree with me! If you do not, I shall no longer take care of you, or live for you! This kind of work for a motive brings misery. That work alone brings unattachment and bliss, wherein we work as masters of our own minds.

The great lesson to learn is, that I am not the standard by which the whole universe is to be judged; each man is to be judged by his own idea, each race by its own standard and ideal, each custom of each country by its own reasoning and conditions. American customs are the result of the environment in which the Americans live, and Indian customs are the result of the environment in which

the Indians are; and so of China, Japan, England and every other country.

We all find ourselves in the position for which we are fit, each ball finds its own hole; and if one has some capacity above another, the world will find that out too, in this universal adjusting that goes on. So it is no use to grumble. If there is a rich man who is wicked, and so forth, yet there must be in that man certain qualities that made him rich, and if any other man has the same qualities he will also become rich. What is the use of fighting and complaining? That will not help us to better things. He who grumbles at the little thing that has fallen to his lot to do, will grumble at everything. Always grumbling he will lead a miserable life, and everything will be a failure. But that man who does his duty as he goes, putting his shoulder to the wheel, will see the light, and higher and higher duties will fall to his share.

II

ON FANATICISM

There are fanatics of various kinds. Some people are wine fanatics and cigar fanatics. Some think that if men gave up smoking cigars, the world would arrive at the millennium. Women are generally amongst these fanatics. There was a young lady here one day, in this class. She was one of a number of ladies in Chicago who have built a house where they take in the working people, and give them music and gymnastics. One day this young lady was talking about the evils of the world and said she knew the remedy. I asked, "How do you know?" and she answered, "Have you seen the Hull House?" In her opinion, this Hull House is the one panacea for all the evils that flesh is heir to. This will grow upon her. I am

sorry for her. There are some fanatics in India who think that if a woman could marry again when her husband died, it would cure all evil. This is fanaticism.

When I was a boy I thought that fanaticism was a great element in work, but now, as I grow older, I find out that it is not.

There may be a woman who would steal and make no objection to taking some one else's bag and going away with it. But perhaps that woman does not smoke. She becomes a smoke fanatic, and as soon as she finds a man smoking, she strongly disapproves of him, because he smoked a cigar. There may be a man who goes about cheating people; there is no trusting him; no woman is safe with him. But perhaps this scoundrel does not drink wine. If so, he sees nothing good in anyone who drinks wine. All these wicked things that he himself does are of no consideration. This is only natural human selfishness and one-sidedness.

You must also remember that the world has God to govern it and He has not left it to our charity. The Lord God is its Governor and Maintainer, and in spite of these wine fanatics and cigar fanatics, and all sorts of marriage fanatics, it would go on. If all these persons were to die, it would go on none the worse.

Do you not remember in your own history how the "Mayflower" people came out here, and began to call themselves Puritans. They were very pure and good as far as they went, until they began to persecute other people, and throughout the history of mankind it has been the same. Even those that run away from persecution indulge in persecuting others as soon as a favourable opportunity to do so occurs.

In ninety cases out of a hundred, fanatics must have bad livers, or they are dyspeptics, or are in some way diseased. By and by even physicians will find out that

fanaticism is a kind of disease. I have seen plenty of it. The Lord save me from it!

My experience comes to this, that it is rather wise to avoid all sorts of fanatical reforms. This world is slowly going on, let it go slowly. Why are you in a hurry? Sleep well and keep your nerves in good order; eat right food, and have sympathy with the world. Fanatics only make hatred. Do you mean to say that the temperance fanatic loves these poor people who become drunkards? A fanatic is simply a fanatic because he expects to get something for himself in return. As soon as the battle is over he goes for the spoil. When you come out of the company of fanatics you may learn how to really love and sympathise, and the more you attain of love and sympathy, the less will be your power to condemn these poor creatures; rather you will sympathise with their faults. It will become possible for you to sympathise with the drunkard and to know that he is also a man like yourself. You will then try to understand the many circumstances that are dragging him down, and feel that if you had been in his place you would perhaps have committed suicide. I remember a woman, whose husband was a great drunkard, and she complained to me of his becoming so. I replied, "Madam, if there were twenty millions of wives like yourself, all husbands would become drunkards." I am convinced that a large portion of drunkards are manufactured by their wives. My business is to tell the truth and not to flatter anyone. These unruly women from whose minds the words *bear* and *forbear* are gone for ever, and whose false ideas of independence lead them to think that men should be at their feet, and who begin to howl as soon as men dare say anything to them which they do not like,—such women are becoming the bane of the world, and it is a wonder that they do not drive half the men in it to commit suicide. In this way things should not go on. Life is not

so easy as they believe it to be; it is a more serious business!

A man must not only have faith but intellectual faith too. To make a man take up everything and believe it, would make him a lunatic. I once had a book sent me, which said I must believe everything told in it. It said there was no soul, but that there were gods and goddesses in heaven, and a thread of light going from each of our heads to heaven! How did the writer know all these things? She had been inspired, and wanted me to believe it too, and because I refused, she said, "You must be a very bad man; there is no hope for you!" This is fanaticism.

III

WORK IS WORSHIP

The highest man *cannot* work, for there is no binding element, no attachment, no ignorance in him. A ship is said to have passed over a mountain of magnet ore, and all the bolts and bars were drawn out, and it went to pieces. It is in ignorance that struggle remains, because we are all really atheists. Real theists could not work. We are atheists more or less. We do not see God or believe in Him. He is G-O-D to us, and nothing more. There are moments when we think He is near, but then we fall down again. When you see Him, who struggles for whom? Help the Lord! There is a proverb in our language, "Shall we teach the Architect of the universe how to build?" So those are the highest of mankind who do not work. The next time you see these silly phrases about the world and how we must all help God, and do this or that for Him, remember this. Do not think such thoughts; they are too selfish. All the work you do is

subjective, is done for your own benefit. God has not fallen into a ditch for you and me to help Him out, by building a hospital or something of that sort. He *allows* you to work. He allows you to exercise your muscles in this great gymnasium, not in order to help Him but that you may help yourself. Do you think even an ant will die for want of your help? Most arrant blasphemy! The world does not need you at all. The world goes on, you are like a drop in this ocean. A leaf does not move, the wind does not blow without Him. Blessed are we that we are given the privilege of working for Him, not of helping Him. Cut out this word 'help' from your mind. You cannot help; it is blaspheming. You are here yourself at His pleasure. Do you mean to say, you help Him? You worship. When you give a morsel of food to the dog, you worship the dog as God. God is in that dog. He is the dog. He is all and in all. We are allowed to worship Him. Stand in that reverent attitude to the whole universe, and then will come perfect non-attachment. This should be your duty. This is the proper attitude of work. This is the secret taught by Karma-Yoga.

IV

WORK WITHOUT MOTIVE

At the forty-second meeting of the Ramakrishna Mission held at the premises No. 57, Ramkanta Bose's Street, Baghbazar, Calcutta, on the 20th March, 1898, the Swami Vivekananda gave an adddress on "Work without motive," and spoke to the following effect:—

When the Gita was first preached, there was then going on a great controversy between two sects. One party considered the Vedic Yajnas and animal sacrifices

and such like Karmas to constitute the whole of religion. The other preached that the killing of numberless horses and cattle cannot be called religion. The people belonging to the latter party were mostly Sannyâsins, and followers of Jnanam. They believed that the giving up of all work and the gaining of the knowledge of the Self was the only path to Moksha. By the preaching of His great doctrine of work without motive, the Author of the Gita set at rest the disputes of these two antagonistic sects.

Many are of opinion that the Gita was not written at the time of the Mahabharata, but was subsequently added to it. This is not correct. The special teachings of the Gita are to be found in every part of the Mahabharata, and if the Gita is to be expunged, as forming no part of it, every other portion of it which embodies the same teachings should be similarly treated.

Now, what is the meaning of working without motive? Nowadays many understand it in the sense that one is to work in such a way that neither pleasure nor pain touches his mind. If this be its real meaning, then the animals might be said to work without motive. Some animals devour their own offspring, and they do not feel any pangs at all in doing so. Robbers ruin other people by robbing them of their possessions, but if they feel quite callous to pleasure or pain, then they also would be working without motive. If the meaning of it be such, then one who has a stony heart, the worst of criminals, might be considered to be working without motive. The walls have no feelings of pleasure or pain, neither has a stone, and it cannot be said that they are working without motive. In the above sense the doctrine is a potent instrument in the hands of the wicked. They would go on doing wicked deeds, and would pronounce themselves as working without a motive. If such be the significance of working without a motive, then a fearful doctrine has been put forth by the preaching of the Gita. Certainly this is not the mean-

ing. Furthermore, if we look into the lives of those who were connected with the preaching of the Gita, we should find them living quite a different life. Arjuna killed Bhishma and Drona in battle, but withal, he sacrificed all his self-interest and desires and his lower self, millions of times.

Gita teaches Karma-Yoga. We should work through Yoga (concentration). In such concentration in action (Karma-Yoga) there is no consciousness of the lower ego present. The consciousness that I am doing this and that, is never present when one works through Yoga. The Western people do not understand this. They say that if there be no consciousness of ego, if this ego is gone, how then can a man work? But when one works with concentration, losing all consciousness of himself, the work that is done would be infinitely better, and this every one might have experienced in his own life. We perform many works subconsciously, such as the digestion of food, &c., many others consciously, and others again by becoming immersed in Samadhi as it were, when there is no consciousness of the smaller ego. If the painter losing the consciousness of his ego becomes completely immersed in his painting, he would be able to produce masterpieces. The good cook concentrates his whole self on the food-material he handles, he loses all other consciousness for the time being. But they are only able to do perfectly a single work in this way, to which they are habituated. The Gita teaches that all works should be done thus. He who is one with the Lord through Yoga, performs all his works by becoming immersed in concentration, and does not seek any personal benefit. Such a performance of work brings only good to the world, no evil can come out of it. Those who work thus never do anything for themselves.

The result of every work is mixed with good and evil. There is no good work that has not a touch of evil in it.

Like smoke round the fire, some evil always clings to work. We should engage in such works which bring the largest amount of good and the smallest measure of evil. Arjuna killed Bhishma and Drona ; if this was not done Duryodhana could not be conquered, the force of evil would have triumphed over the force of good, and thus a great calamity would have fallen on the country. The government of the country would have been usurped by a body of proud unrighteous kings, to the great misfortune of the people. Similarly, Sri Krishna killed Kamsa, Jarâsandha and others who were tyrants, but not a single one of His deeds was done for Himself. Every one of them was for the good of others. We are reading the Gita by candle-light, but numbers of insects are being burnt to death. Thus it is seen that some evil clings to work. Those who work without any consciousness of their lower ego are not affected with evil, for they work for the good of the world. To work without motive, to work unattached, brings the highest bliss and freedom. This secret of Karma-Yoga is taught by the Lord Sri Krishna in the Gita.

V

SADHANAS OR PREPARATIONS TO HIGHER LIFE

If atavism gains, you go down ; if evolution gains, you go on. Therefore we must not allow atavism to take place. Here, in my own body, is the first work of the study. We are too busy trying to mend the ways of our neighbours, that is the difficulty. We must begin with our own bodies. The heart, the liver, &c., are all atavistic ; bring them back into consciousness, control them, so that they will obey your commands and act up

to your wishes. There was a time when we had control of the liver ; we could shake the whole skin, as can the cow. I have seen many people bring the control back by sheer hard practice. Once an impress is made, it is there. Bring back all the submerged activities—the vast ocean of action. This is the first part of the great study, and is absolutely necessary for our social well-being. On the other hand, only the consciousness need not be studied all the time.

Then there is the other part of the study, which is not so necessary in our social life, as tending to liberation. Its direct action is to free the soul, to take the torch into the gloom, to clean out what is behind, to shake it up, or even defy it, and to make us march onward piercing the gloom. That is the goal,—the superconscious. Then, when that state is reached, this very man becomes divine, becomes free. And to the mind thus trained to transcend all, gradually this universe will begin to give up its secrets ; the book of Nature will be read, chapter after chapter, till the goal is attained, and we pass from this vale of life and death to that One, where death and life do not exist, and we know the Real and become the Real.

The first thing necessary is a quiet and peaceable life. If I have to go about the world the whole day to make a living, it is hard for me to attain to anything very high in this life. Perhaps in another life I shall be born under more propitious circumstances. But if I am earnest enough, these very circumstances will change even in this birth. Was there anything you did not get which you really wanted? It could not be. For it is the want that creates the body. It is the light that has bored the holes, as it were, in your head, called the eyes. If the light had not existed you would have had no eyes. It is sound that has made the ears. The object of perception existed first, before you made the organ. In a few hundred thousand years, or earlier, we may have other organs to

perceive electricity and other things. There is no desire for a peaceful mind. Desire will not come unless there is something outside to fulfil it. The outside something just bores a hole in the body as it were, and tries to get into the mind. So, when the desire shall arise to have a peaceful, quiet life, where everything shall be propitious for the development of the mind, that *shall* come,—you may take that as my experience. It may come in thousands of lives, but it must come. Hold on to that, the desire. You cannot have the strong desire if its object was not outside for you already. Of course, you must understand, there is a difference between desire and desire. The master said: "My child, if you desire after God, God shall come to you." The disciple did not understand his master fully. One day both went to bathe in a river, and the master said, "Plunge in," and the boy did so. In a moment the master was upon him, holding him down. He would not let the boy come up. When the boy struggled and was exhausted, he let him go. "Yes, my child, how did you feel there?" "Oh the desire for a breath of air!" "Do you have that kind of desire for God?" "No, sir." "Have that kind of desire for God and you shall have God."

That, without which we cannot live, must come to us. If it did not come to us life could not go on.

If you want to be a Yogi, you must be free, and place yourself in circumstances where you are alone and free from all anxiety. He who desires for a comfortable and nice life and at the same time wants to realise the Self is like the fool who, wanting to cross the river, caught hold of a crocodile mistaking it for a log of wood. "Seek ye first the Kingdom of God and His righteousness, and all these things shall be added unto you." Unto him comes everything who does not care for anything. Fortune is like a flirt; she cares not for him who wants her; but she is at the feet of him who does not care for her. Money

comes and showers itself upon one who does not care for it ; so does fame come in abundance, until it is a trouble and a burden. They always come to the Master. The slave never gets anything. The Master is he who can live in spite of them, whose life does not depend upon the little, foolish things of the world. Live for an ideal, and that one ideal alone. Let it be so great, so strong, that there may be nothing else left in the mind ; no place for anything else, no time for anything else.

How some people give all their energies, time, brain, body, and everything, to become rich! They have no time for breakfast! Early in the morning they are out, and at work! They die in the attempt—ninety per cent. of them,—and the rest, when they make money, cannot enjoy it. That is grand! I do not say it is bad to try to be rich. It is marvellous, wonderful. Why, what does it show? It shows that one can have the same amount of energy and struggle for freedom, as one has for money. We know we have to give up money and all other things when we die, and yet, see the amount of energy we can put forth for them. But we, the same human beings, should we not put forth a thousandfold more strength and energy to acquire that which never fades, but which remains to us for ever? For this is the one great friend, our own good deeds, our own spiritual excellence, that follows us beyond the grave. Everything else is left behind here with the body.

That is the one great first step,—the real desire for the ideal. Everything comes easy after that. That the Indian mind found out ; there, in India, men go to any length to find truth. But here, in the West, the difficulty is, that everything is made so easy. It is not truth, but development, that is the great aim. The struggle is the great lesson. Mind you, the great benefit in this life is struggle. It is through that we pass,—if there is any road to Heaven it is through Hell. Through Hell to Heaven

is always the way. When the soul has wrestled with circumstance, and has met death, a thousand times death on the way, but nothing daunted has struggled forward again and again and yet again,—then the soul comes out as a giant and laughs at the ideal he has been struggling for, because he finds how much greater is he than the ideal. I am the end, my own Self, and nothing else, for what is there to compare to my own Self? Can a bag of gold be the ideal of my Soul? Certainly not! My Soul is the highest ideal that I have. Realising my own real nature is the one goal of my life.

There is nothing that is absolutely evil. The devil has a place here as well as God, else he would not be here. Just as I told you, it is through Hell that we pass to Heaven. Our mistakes have places here. Go on! Do not look back if you think you have done something that is not right. Now, do you believe you could be what you are to-day, had you not made those mistakes before? Bless your mistakes, then. They have been angels unawares. Blessed be torture! Blessed be happiness! Do not care what be your lot. Hold on to the ideal! March on! Do not look back upon little mistakes and things! In this battlefield of ours, the dust of mistakes must be raised. Those who are so thin-skinned that they cannot bear the dust, let them get out of the ranks.

So, then, this tremendous determination to struggle, a hundredfold more determination than that which you put forth to gain anything which belongs to this life, is the first great preparation.

And then along with it there must be meditation. Meditation is the one thing. Meditate! The greatest thing is meditation. It is the nearest approach to spiritual life—the mind meditating. It is the one moment in our daily life that we are not at all material,—the Soul thinking of Itself, free from all matter,—this marvellous touch of the Soul!

The body is our enemy, and yet is our friend. Which of you can bear the sight of misery? And which of you cannot do so when you see it only as a painting? Because it is unreal, we do not identify ourselves with it ; we know it is only a painting; it cannot bless us, it cannot hurt us. The most terrible misery painted upon a piece of canvas, we may even enjoy ; we praise the technique of the artist, we wonder at his marvellous genius, even though the scene he paints is most horrible. That is the secret ; that non-attachment. Be the Witness.

No breathing, no physical training of Yoga, nothing is of any use until you reach to the idea of "I am the Witness." Say, when the tyrant hand is on your neck, "I am the Witness! I am the Witness!" Say, "I am the Spirit! Nothing external can touch me." When evil thoughts arise, repeat that, give that sledge-hammer blow on their heads, "I am the Spirit! I am the Witness, the Ever-Blessed! I have no reason to do, no reason to suffer, I have finished with everything, I am the Witness. I am in my picture gallery,—this universe is my museum, I am looking at these successive paintings. They are all beautiful, whether good or evil. I see the mavellous skill, but it is all one. Infinite flames of the Great Painter! Really speaking, there is naught,—neither volition, nor desire. He is all. He—She—the Mother, is playing, and we are like dolls, Her helpers in this play! Here, She puts one now in the garb of a beggar, another moment, in the garb of a king, the next moment, in the garb of a saint, and again, in the garb of a devil. We are putting on different garbs, to help the Mother Spirit in Her play!"

When the baby is at play, she would not come even if called by her mother. But when she finishes her play, she would rush to her mother, and would have no nay. So there come moments in our life, when we feel our play is finished, and we want to rush to the Mother. Then all our toil here will be of no value ; men, women and

children,—wealth, name and fame,—joys and glories of life, punishments and successes,—will be no more, and the whole life will seem like a show. We shall only see the infinite rhythm going on, endless and purposeless, going we do not know where. Only this much shall we say: our play is done.

THE COSMOS AND THE SELF

Everything in Nature rises from some fine seed-forms. becomes grosser and grosser, exists for a certain time, and again goes back to the original fine form. Our earth, for instance, has come out of a nebulous form which becoming colder and colder turned into this crystallised planet upon which we live, and in the future it will again go to pieces and return to its rudimentary nebulous form. This is happening in the universe, and has been through time immemorial. This is the whole history of man, the whole history of Nature, the whole history of life.

Every evolution is preceded by an involution. The whole of the tree is present in the seed, its cause. The whole of the human being is present in that one protoplasm. The whole of this universe is present in the cosmic fine universe. Everything is present in its cause, in its fine form. This evolution, or gradual unfolding of grosser and grosser forms, is true, but each case has been preceded by an involution. The whole of this universe must have been involuted before it came out, and has unfolded itself in all these various forms to be involved again once more. Take, for instance, the life of a little plant. We find two things that make the plant a unity by itself,—its growth and development, its decay and death. These make one unity, the plant life. So, taking that plant life as only one link in the chain of life, we may

take the whole series, One Life, beginning in the protoplasm and ending in the most perfect man. Man is one link, and the various beasts, the lower animals and plants are other links. Now go back to the source, the finest particles from which they started, and take the whole series as but one life, and you will find that every evolution here is the evolution of something which existed previously.

Where it begins there it ends. What is the end of this universe? Intelligence, is it not? The last to come in the order of creation, according to the evolutionists, was intelligence. That being so, it must be the cause, the beginning, of creation also. At the beginning that intelligence remains involved, and in the end, it gets evolved. The sum-total of the intelligence displayed in the universe must therefore be the involved universal intelligence unfolding itself, and this universal intelligence is what we call God, from Whom we come and to Whom we return, as the scriptures say. Call it by any other name, you cannot deny that in the beginning there is that infinite cosmic intelligence.

What makes a compound? A compound is that in which the causes have combined and become the effect. So these compound things can be only within the circle of the law of causation ; so far as the rules of cause and effect go, so far can we have compounds and combinations. Beyond that, it is impossible to talk of combinations, because no law holds good therein. Law holds good only in that universe which we see, feel, hear, imagine, dream, and beyond that we cannot place any idea of law. That is our universe which we sense or imagine, and we sense what is within our direct perception, and we imagine what is in our mind. What is beyond the body is beyond the senses, and what is beyond the mind is beyond the imagination, and therefore is beyond our universe, and therefore beyond the law of causation. The Self of man

being beyond the law of causation is not a compound, is not the effect of any cause, and therefore is ever free, and is the ruler of everything that is within law. Not being a compound It will never die, because death means going back to the component parts, destruction means going back to the cause. Because It cannot die, It cannot live, for both life and death are modes of manifestation of the same thing. So the Soul is beyond life and death. You were never born and you will never die. Birth and death belong to the body only.

The doctrine of Monism holds that this Universe is all that exists ; gross or fine, it is all here ; the effect and the cause are both here; the explanation is here. What is known as the particular is simply repetition in a minute form of the universal. We get our idea of the Universe from the study of our own Souls, and what is true there also holds good in the outside universe. The ideas of heaven and all these various places, even if they be true, are in the Universe. They altogether make this Unity. The first idea, therefore, is that of a Whole, a Unit, composed of various minute particles, and each one of us is a part, as it were, of this Unit. As manifested beings we appear separate, but as a reality we are one. The more we think ourselves separate from this Whole, the more miserable we become. So, Advaita is the basis of ethics.

VII

WHO IS A REAL GURU?

A real Guru is one who is born from time to time as a repository of spiritual force which he transmits to future generations through successive links of Guru and Sishya (disciple). The current of this spirit-force changes its course from time to time, just as a mighty stream of water

opens up a new channel and leaves the old one for good. Thus it is seen that old sects of religion grow lifeless in the course of time and new sects arise with the fire of life in them. Men who are truly wise commit themselves to the mercy of that particular sect through which the current of life flows. Old forms of religion are like the skeletons of once mighty animals, preserved in museums. They should be regarded with due honour. They cannot satisfy the true cravings of the soul for the Highest, just as a dead mango-tree cannot satisfy the cravings of a man for a bunch of luscious mangoes.

The one thing necessary is to be stripped of our vanities—the sense that we possess any spiritual wisdom, —and to surrender ourselves completely to the guidance of our Guru. The Guru only knows what will lead us towards perfection. We are quite blind to it. We do not know anything. This sort of humility will open the door of our heart for spiritual truths. Truth will never come into our minds so long as there will remain the faintest shadow of Ahamkâra (egoism). All of you should try to root out this devil from your heart. Complete self-surrender is the only way to spiritual illumination.

VIII

ON ART

The secret of Greek Art is its imitation of Nature even to the minutest details ; whereas the secret of Indian Art is to represent the ideal. The energy of the Greek painter is spent in perhaps painting a piece of flesh, and he is so successful that a dog is deluded into taking it to be a real bit of meat and so goes to bite it. Now, what glory is there in merely imitating Nature? Why not place an actual bit of flesh before the dog?

The Indian tendency, on the other hand, to represent the ideal, the super-sensual, has become degraded into painting grotesque images. Now, true Art can be compared to a lily which springs from the ground, takes its nourishment from the ground, is in touch with the ground, and yet is quite high above it (when full-blown). So Art must be in touch with Nature,—and wherever that touch is gone, Art degenerates,—yet it must be above Nature.

Art is—representing the beautiful. There must be art in everything.

The difference between architecture and building is, that the former expresses an idea, while the latter is merely a structure built on economical principles. The value of matter depends solely on its capacities of expressing *ideas*.

The artistic faculty was highly developed in our Lord, Sri Ramakrishna, and he used to say that without this faculty none can be truly spiritual.

IX

ON LANGUAGE

Simplicity is the secret. My ideal of language is my Master's language, most colloquial and yet most expressive. It must express the thought which is intended to be conveyed.

The attempt to make the Bengali language perfect in so short a time, will make it cut and dried. Properly speaking, it has no verbs. Michael Madhusudan Dutt attempted to remedy this in poetry. The greatest poet in Bengal was Kavikankana. The best prose in Sanskrit is Patanjali's Mahâbhâshya. There the language is vigorous. The language of Hitopadesha is not bad, but the language of Kâdambari is an example of degradation.

The Bengali language must be modelled not after the

Sanskrit, but rather after the Pâli, which has a strong resemblance to it. In coining or translating technical terms in Bengali, one must, however, use all Sanskrit words for them, and an attempt should be made to coin new words. For this purpose, if a collection is made from a Sanskrit dictionary of all those technical terms, then it will help greatly the constitution of the Bengali language.

X

THE SANNYASIN

In explanation of the term Sannyâsin, the Swami in the course of one of his lectures in Boston said :—

When a man has fulfilled the duties and obligations of that stage of life in which he is born, and his aspirations lead him to seek a spiritual life, and to abandon altogether the worldly pursuits of possession, fame, or power ;—when, by the growth of insight into the nature of the world, he sees its impermanence, its strife, its misery, and the paltry nature of its prizes, and turns away from all these,—then he seeks the True, the Eternal Love, the Refuge. He makes complete renunciation (Sannyâsa) of all worldly position, property and name, and wanders forth into the world to live a life of self-sacrifice, and to persistently seek spiritual knowledge, striving to excel in love and compassion, and to acquire lasting insight. Gaining these pearls of wisdom by years of meditation, discipline and inquiry, he in his turn becomes a teacher, and hands on to disciples, lay or professed, who may seek them from him, all that he can of wisdom and beneficence.

A Sannyâsin cannot belong to any religion, for his is a life of independent thought, which draws from all religions ; his is a life of realisation, not merely of theory or belief, much less of dogma.

XI

THE SANNYASIN AND THE HOUSEHOLDER

The men of the world should have no voice in the affairs of the Sannyâsins. The Sannyâsin should have nothing to do with the rich, his duty is with the poor. He should treat the poor with loving care, and serve them joyfully with all his might. To pay respects to the rich and hang on them for support, has been the bane of all the Sannyâsin communities of our country. A true Sannyâsin should scrupulously avoid that. Such a conduct becomes a public woman rather than one who professes to have renounced the world. How should a man immersed in *Káma-Kánchana* (lust and greed), become a devotee of one whose central ideal is the renunciation of *Káma-Kánchana*? Sri Ramakrishna wept and prayed to the Divine Mother to send him such a one to talk with, as would not have in him the slightest tinge of *Káma-Kánchana,* for he would say, "My lips burn when I talk with the worldly-minded." He also used to say that he could not even bear the touch of the worldly-minded and the impure. The King of Sannyâsins (Sri Ramakrishna) can never be preached by men of the world. The latter can never be perfectly sincere, for he cannot but have some selfish motives to serve. If Bhagavân (God) incarnates Himself as a householder I can never believe Him to be sincere. When a householder takes the position of the leader of a religious sect, he begins to serve his own interests in the name of principle, hiding the former in the garb of the latter, and the result is, the sect becomes rotten to the core. All religious movements headed by householders have shared the same fate. Without renunciation religion can never stand.

Here Swamiji was asked,—What are we, Sannyâsins, to understand by renunciation of Kânchana (wealth)?—and he answered as follows :—

With a view to certain ends we have to adopt certain means. These means vary according to the conditions of time, place, individual, &c., but the end always remains unaltered. In the case of the Sannyâsin, the end is the liberation of the Self and doing good to humanity —"आत्मन: मोक्षार्थं जगद्धिताय च।"—and of the ways to attain it, the renunciation of *Kâma-Kánchana* is the most important. Remember, renunciation consists in the total absence of all selfish motives, and not in mere abstinence from external contact, such as, avoiding to touch one's money kept with another but at the same time enjoying all its benefits. Would that be renunciation? For accomplishing the two above-mentioned ends, the begging excursion would be a great help to a Sannyâsin at a time when the householders strictly obeyed the injunctions of Manu and other law-givers, by setting apart every day a portion of their meal for ascetic guests. Nowadays things have changed considerably, especially, as in Bengal, where no *Mâdhukari** system prevails. Here it would be mere waste of energy to try to live on *Mâdhukari* and you would profit nothing by it. The injunction of *Bhikshâ* (begging) is a means to serve the above two ends, which will not be served by that way now. It does not therefore go against the principle of renunciation under such circumstances, if a Sannyâsin provides for mere necessaries of life and devotes all his energy to the accomplishment of his ends for which he took Sannyâsa. Attaching too much importance ignorantly to the means brings confusion. The end should never be lost sight of.

---

* Literally, 'bee-like.' The system of begging one's food piecemeal from several houses, so as not to tax the householder, as a bee gathers honey from different flowers.

XII

THE EVILS OF ADHIKARIVADA

In one of his question classes the talk drifted on to the *Adhikârivâda,* or the doctrine of special rights and privileges, and Swamiji in pointing out vehemently the evils that have resulted from it, spoke to the following effect :—

With all my respects for the Rishis of yore I cannot but denounce their method in instructing the people. They always enjoined upon them to do certain things, but took care never to explain to them the reason why. This method was pernicious to the very core, and instead of enabling men to attain the end, it laid upon their shoulders a mass of meaningless nonsense. Their excuse for keeping the end hidden from view was, that the people could not have understood their real meaning even if they had presented it to them, not being worthy recipients of such. This *Adhikârivâda* is the outcome of pure selfishness. They knew that by this enlightenment of their special subject, they would lose their superior position of instructors to the people. Hence their endeavour to support this theory. If you consider a man too weak to receive these lessons you should try the more to teach and educate him, you should give him the advantage of more teaching, instead of less, to train up his intellect, so as to enable him to comprehend the more subtle problems. These advocates of *Adhikârivâda* ignored the tremendous fact of the infinite possibilities of the human soul. Every man is capable of receiving knowledge if it is imparted in his own language. A teacher who cannot convince others should weep on account of his own inability to teach the people in their own language, instead of cursing them and dooming them to live in ignorance and superstition, setting up the plea that the higher knowledge is not for them. Speak out the truth boldly, without any fear that it will puzzle the weak.

Men are selfish, they do not want others to come up to the same level of their knowledge for fear of losing their own privilege and prestige over others. Their contention is, that the knowledge of the highest spiritual truths will bring about confusion in the understanding of the weak-minded men, and so the sloka goes :—

न बुद्धिभेदं जनयेदज्ञानां कर्मसङ्गिनाम्।
योजयेत्सर्वकर्माणि विद्वान्युक्तः समाचरन्॥

"One should not unsettle the understanding of the ignorant, attached to action (by teaching them of Jnanam); the wise man, himself steadily acting, should engage the ignorant in all work!"

I cannot believe in the self-contradictory statement that light brings greater darkness. It is like losing life in the ocean of Sachchidánanda, in the ocean of Absolute Existence and Immortality. How absurd! Knowledge means freedom from the errors which ignorance leads to. Knowledge paving the way to error! Enlightenment leading to confusion! Is it possible? Men are not bold enough to speak out broad truths, for fear of losing the respect of the people. They try to make a compromise between the real, eternal truths and the nonsensical prejudices of the people, and thus set up the doctrine that *Lokáchâras* (customs of the people) and *Deshâchâras* (customs of the country) must be adhered to. No compromise! No whitewashing! No covering of corpses beneath flowers! Throw away such texts as :—तथापि लोकाचारो....."—"yet the customs of the people have to be followed....." Nonsense! The result of this sort of compromise is that the grand truths are soon buried under heaps of rubbish, and the latter are eagerly held as real truths. Even the grand truths of the Gita, so boldly preached by Sri Krishna, received the gloss of compromise in the hands of future generations of disciples, and the result is that the grandest scripture of the world now contains many things which go to lead men astray.

This attempt at compromise proceeds from arrant downright cowardice. Be bold! My children should be brave, above all. Not the least compromise on any account. Preach the highest truths broadcast. Do not fear of losing your respect, or of causing unhappy friction. Rest assured that if you serve truth in spite of temptatious to forsake it, you will attain a heavenly strength in the face of which men will quail to speak before you things which you do not believe to be true. People would be convinced of what you would say to them, if you can strictly serve truth for fourteen years continually, without swerving from it. Thus you will confer the greatest blessing on the masses, unshackle their bondages and uplift the whole nation.

XIII

ON BHAKTI-YOGA

The dualist thinks you cannot be moral unless you have a God with a rod in His hand, ready to punish you. How is that? Suppose a horse had to give us a lecture on morality, one of those very wretched cab-horses, who only moves with the whip, to which he has become accustomed; he begins to speak about human beings, and says that they must be very immoral. Why? "Because I know they are not whipped regularly." The fear of the whip only makes one more immoral.

You all say there is a God and that He is an Omnipresent Being. Close your eyes and think what He is. What do you find? Either you are thinking, in bringing the idea of Omnipresence in your mind, of the sea or the blue sky, or an expanse meadow, or such thing as you have seen in your life. If that is so, you do not mean anything by Omnipresent God; it has no meaning at all to you. So with every other attribute of God. What idea

have we of omnipotence or omniscience? We have none. Religion is realising, and I will call you a worshipper of God, when you have become able to realise the Idea. Before that it is the spelling of words and no more. It is this power of realisation that makes religion; no amount of doctrines or philosophies, or ethical books, that you may have stuffed into your brain, will matter much,—only what you *are*, and what you have *realised*.

The personal God is the same Absolute looked at through the haze of Maya. When we approach Him with the five senses, we can only see Him as the personal God. The idea is that the Self cannot be objectified. How can the Knower know Itself? But It can cast a shadow, as it were, if that can be called objectification. So the highest form of that shadow, that attempt at objectifying Itself, is the personal God. The Self is the eternal subject, and we are struggling all the time to objectify that Self. And out of that struggle has come this phenomenal universe and what we call matter, and so on. But these are very weak attempts, and the highest objectification of the Self possible to us is the personal God. This objectification is an attempt to reveal our own nature. According to the Sankhya, Nature is showing all these experiences to the soul, and when it has got real experience it will know its own nature. According to the Advaita Vedantist, the soul is struggling to reveal itself. After long struggle, it finds that the subject must always remain the subject; and then begins non-attachment, and it becomes free.

When a man has reached that perfect state, he is of the same nature as the personal God. "I and my Father are one." He knows that he is one with Brahman, the Absolute, and projects himself as the personal God does. He plays,—as even the mightiest of kings may sometimes play with dolls.

Some imaginations help to break the bondage of the rest. The whole universe is imagination, but one set of

imaginations will cure another set. Those that tell us that there is sin and sorrow and death in the world are terrible. But the other set,—thou art holy, there is God, there is no pain,—these are good, and help to break the bondage of the others. The highest imagination that can break all the links of the chain is that of the personal God.

To go and say, "Lord, take care of this thing and give me that; Lord, I give you my little prayer and you give me this thing of daily necessity; Lord, cure my headache and all that;"—these are not Bhakti. They are the lowest states of religion. They are the lowest form of Karma. If a man uses all his mental energy in seeking to satisfy his body and its wants, show me the difference between him and an animal. Bhakti is a higher thing, higher than even desiring heaven. The idea of heaven is of a place of intensified enjoyment. How can that be God?

Only the fools rush after sense enjoyments. It is easy to live in the snses. It is easier to run in the old groove, eating and drinking; but what these modern philosophers want to tell you is, to take these comfortable ideas and put the stamp of religion on them. Such a doctrine is dangerous. Death lies in the senses. Life on the plane of the Spirit is the only life, life on any other plane is mere death; the whole of this life can be only described as a gymnasium. We must go beyond it to enjoy real life.

As long as "*Touch-me-not-ism*" is your creed and the kitchen-pot your deity, you cannot rise spiritually.

All the petty differences between religion and religion are mere word-struggles, nonsense. Everyone thinks, "This is my original idea," and wants to have things his own way. That is how struggles come.

In criticising another, we always foolishly take one especially brilliant point as the whole of our life, and compare that with the dark ones in the life of another. Thus we make mistakes in judging individuals.

Through fanaticism and bigotry a religion can be propagated very quickly, no doubt, but the preaching of that religion is firm-based on solid ground, which gives everyone liberty to his opinions, and thus uplifts him to a higher path, though this process is slow.

First deluge the land (India) with spiritual ideas, then other ideas will follow. The gift of spirituality and spiritual knowledge is the highest, for it saves from many and many a birth ; the next gift is secular knowledge as it opens the eyes of human beings towards that spiritual knowledge; the next is the saving of life, and the fourth is the gift of food.

Even if the body goes in practising Sadhanas (austerities for realisation), let it go; what of that?

Realisation will come in the fulness of time, by living constantly in the company of Sâdhus.

A time comes when one understands that to serve a man even by preparing a *chhilam* of tobacco is far greater than millions of meditation.

He who can properly prepare a *chhilam* of tobacco can also properly meditate.

Gods are nothing but highly developed dead men. We can get help from them.

Anyone and everyone cannot be an Achârya (teacher of mankind), but many may become Mukta (liberated). The whole world seems like a dream to the liberated, but the Achârya has to take up his stand between the two states. He must have the knowledge that the world is true, or else why should he teach? Again, if he has not realised the world as a dream, then he is no better than an ordinary man, and what could he teach? The Guru has to bear the disciple's burden of sin; and that is the reason why diseases and other ailments appear even in the body of powerful Achâryas. But if he be imperfect, they attack his mind also, and he falls. So it is a difficult thing to be an Achârya.

It is easier to become a Jivanmukta (free in this very life) than to be an Achârya. For the former knows the world as a dream and has no concern with it; but an Achârya knows it as a dream and yet has to remain in it and work. It is not possible for everyone to be an Achârya. He is an Achârya through whom the Divine Power acts. The body in which one becomes an Achârya is very different from that of any other man. There is a science for keeping that body in a perfect state. His is the most delicate organism, very susceptible, bearing in him the feeling of intense joy and intense suffering. He is abnormal.

In every sphere of life we find that it is the person within that triumphs, and that, personality is the secret of all success.

Nowhere is seen such sublime unfoldment of feeling as in Bhagavân Sri Krishna Chaitanya, the Prophet of Nadia.

Sri Ramakrishna is a force. You should not think that his doctrine is this or that. But he is a power, living even now in his disciples and working in the world. I saw him growing in his ideas. He is still growing. Sri Ramakrishna was both a Jivanmukta and an Achârya.

XIV

ISHVARA AND BRAHMAN

In reply to a question as to the exact position of Ishvara in Vedantic philosophy, the Swami Vivekananda, while in Europe, gave the following definition :—

"Ishvara is the sum-total of individuals, yet He is an Individual, as the human body is a unit, of which each cell is an individual. Samashti, or collected, equals God;

Vyashti, or analysed, equals the Jiva. The existence of Ishvara, therefore, depends on that of Jiva, as the body on the cell, and *vice versa*. Thus, Jiva and Ishvara are co-existent beings; when one exists, the other must. Also, because, except on our earth, in all the higher spheres, the amount of good being vastly in excess of the amount of evil the sum-total (Ishvara) may be said to be all-good. Omnipotence and Omniscience are obvious qualities, and need no argument to prove, from the very fact of totality. Brahman is beyond both these, and is not a conditioned state; it is the only Unit not composed of many units; the principle which runs through all, from a cell to God, without which nothing can exist, and whatever is real is that principle, or Brahman. When I think I am Brahman, I alone exist; so with others. Therefore, each one is the whole of that principle."

XV

ON JNANA-YOGA

All souls are playing, some consciously, some unconsciously; religion is—learning to play consciously.

The same law which holds good in our worldly life, also holds good in our religious life and in the life of the cosmos. It is one, it is universal. It is not that religion is guided by one law and the world by another. The flesh and the devil are but degrees of difference from God Himself.

Theologians, philosophers and scientists in the West, are ransacking everything to get a proof that they live afterwards! What a storm in a tea-cup! There are much higher things to think of. What silly superstition is this, that you ever die! It requires no priests or spirits or

ghosts to tell us that we shall not die. It is the most self-evident of all truths. No man can imagine his own annihilation. The idea of immortality is inherent in man.

Wherever there is life, with it there is death. Life is the shadow of death, and death, the shadow of life. The line of demarcation is too fine to determine, too difficult to grasp, and most difficult to hold on to.

I do not believe in eternal progress, that we are going on ever and ever in a straight line. It is too nonsensical to believe. There is no motion in a straight line. A straight line infinitely projected becomes a circle. The force sent out will complete the circle and return to its starting place.

There is no progress in a straight line. Every soul moves in a circle, as it were, and will have to complete it, and no soul can go so low but that there will come a time when it will have to go upwards. It may start straight down, but it has to take the upward curve to complete the circuit. We are all projected from a common centre, which is God, and will come back after completing the circuit, to the centre from which we started.

Each soul is a circle. The centre is where the body is, and the activity is manifested there. You are omnipresent, though you have the consciousness of being concentrated in only one point. That point has taken up particles of matter, and formed them into a machine to express itself. That through which it expresses itself is called the body. You are everywhere. When one body or machine fails you, the centre moves on and takes up other particles of matter, finer or grosser, and works through them. Here is man, and what is God? God is a circle, with circumference nowhere, and centre everywhere. Every point in that circle is living, conscious, active, and equally working. With our limited souls, only one point is conscious, and that point moves forward and backward.

The soul is a circle whose circumference is nowhere (limitless), but whose centre is in some body. Death is but a change of centre. God is a circle whose circumference is nowhere, and whose centre is everywhere. When we can get out of the limited centre of body, we shall realise God, our true Self.

A tremendous stream is flowing towards the ocean, carrying little bits of paper and straw hither and thither on it. They may struggle to go back, but in the long run, they must flow down to the ocean. So you and I and all Nature are like these little straws carried in mad currents towards that ocean of Life, Perfection and God. We may struggle to go back, or float against the current and play all sorts of pranks, but in the long run we must go and join this great ocean of Life and Bliss.

Jnanam (knowledge) is "creedlessness"; but that does not mean that it despises creeds. It only means that a stage above and beyond creeds has been gained. The Jnani (true philosopher) strives to destroy nothing, but to help all. All rivers roll their waters into the sea and become one. So all creeds should lead to Jnanam and become one.

Jnanam teaches that the world should be renounced, but not on that account abandoned. To live in the world and be not of it, is the true test of renunciation.

I cannot see how it can be otherwise than that all knowledge is stored up in us from the beginning. If you and I are little waves in the ocean, then that ocean is the background.

There is really no difference between matter, mind, and Spirit. They are only different phases of experiencing the One. This very world is seen by the five senses as matter, by the very wicked as hell, by the good as heaven, and by the perfect as God.

We cannot bring it to sense demonstration that Brahman is the only real thing; but we can point out

that this is the only conclusion that one can come to. For instance, there must be this oneness in everything, even in common things. There is the human generalisation, for example. We say that all the variety is created by name and form; yet, when we want to grasp and separate it, it is nowhere. We can never see name or form or causes standing by themselves. So this phenomenon is Maya,—something which depends on the noumenal and apart from it has no existence. Take a wave in the ocean. That wave exists so long as that quantity of water remains in a wave form; but as soon as it goes down and becomes the ocean, the wave ceases to exist. But the whole mass of water does not depend so much on its form. The ocean remains, while the wave form becomes absolute zero.

The real is one. It is the mind which makes it appear as many. When we perceive the diversity, the unity has gone; and as soon as we perceive the unity, the diversity has vanished. Just as in everyday life, when you perceive the unity, you do not perceive the diversity. At the beginning you start with unity. It is a curious fact that a Chinaman will not know the difference in appearance between one American and another ; and you will not know the difference between different Chinamen.

It can be shown that it is the mind which makes things knowable. It is only things which have certain peculiarities that bring themselves within the range of the known and knowable. That which has no qualities is unknowable. For instance, there is some external world, X, unknown and unknowable. When I look at it, it is X plus mind. When I want to know the world, my mind contributes three quarters of it. The internal world is Y plus mind, and the external world X plus mind. All differentiation in either the external or internal world is created by the mind, and that which exists is unknown and unknowable. It is beyond the range of knowledge,

and that which is beyond the range of knowledge can have no differentiation. Therefore this X outside is the same as the Y inside, and therefore the real is one.

God does not reason. Why should you reason if you know? It is a sign of weakness that we have to go on crawling like worms, to get a few facts, and then the whole thing tumbles down again. The spirit is reflected in mind and in everything. It is the light of the Spirit that makes the mind sentient. Everything is an expression of the Spirit ; the minds are so many mirrors. What you call love, fear, hatred, virtue, and vice, are all reflections of the Spirit. When the reflector is base, the reflection is bad.

The Real Existence is without manifestation. We cannot conceive It, because we should have to conceive through the mind, which is itself a manifestation. Its glory is that It is inconceivable. We must remember that in life the lowest and highest vibrations of light we do not see, but they are the opposite poles of existence. There are certain things which we do not know now, but which we can know. It is through our ignorance that we do not know them. There are certain things which we can never know, because they are much higher than the highest vibrations of knowledge. But we are the Eternal all the time, although we cannot know it. Knowledge will be impossible there. The very fact of the limitations of the conception is the basis for its existence. For instance, there is nothing so certain in me as my self ; and yet I can only conceive of it as a body and mind, as happy or unhappy, as a man or a woman. At the same time, I try to conceive of it as it really is, and find that there is no other way of doing it but by dragging it down ; yet I am sure of that reality. "No one, O beloved, loves the husband for the husband's sake, but because the Self is there. It is in and through the Self that she loves the husband. No one, O beloved, loves the wife for the wife's sake, but in and through the Self."

And that Reality is the only thing we know, because in and through It we know everything else ; and yet we cannot conceive of It. How can we know the Knower? If we knew It, It would not be the Knower, but the known ; It would be objectified.

The man of highest realisation exclaims, "I am the King of kings ; there is no king higher than I. I am the God of gods ; there is no God higher than I ! I alone exist, One without a second." This monistic idea of Vedanta seems to many, of course, very terrible, but that is on account of superstition.

We are the Self, eternally at rest, and at peace. We must not weep ; there is no weeping for the Soul. We in our imagination think that God is weeping on His throne, out of sympathy. Such a God would not be worth attaining. Why should God weep at all? To weep is a sign of weakness, of bondage.

Seek the Highest, always the Highest, for in the Highest is eternal bliss. If I am to hunt, I will hunt the lion. If I am to rob, I will rob the treasury of the king. Seek the Highest.

O, One that cannot be confined or described ! One that can be perceived in our heart of hearts ! One beyond all compare, beyond limit, unchangeable like the blue sky ! O, learn the All, holy one ! Seek for nothing else !

Where changes of Nature cannot reach, thought beyond all thought, Unchangeable, Immovable ; Whom all books declare, all sages worship ; O, holy one, seek for nothing else !

Beyond compare, Infinite Oneness ! No comparison is possible. Water above, water below, water on the right, water on the left ; no wave on that water, no ripple, all silence, all eternal bliss. Such will come to thy heart. Seek for nothing else !

Why weepest thou, brother? There is neither death nor disease for thee. Why weepest thou, brother? There

is neither misery nor misfortune for thee. Why weepest thou, brother? Neither change nor death was predicated of thee. Thou art Existence Absolute.

I know what God is, I cannot speak Him to you. I know not how God is, how can I speak Him to you? But seest thou not, my brother, that thou art He, thou art He? Why go seeking God here and there? Seek not, and that is God. Be your own Self!

Thou art our Father, our Mother, our dear Friend. Thou bearest the burden of the world. Help us to bear the burden of our lives. Thou art our Friend, our Lover, our Husband, Thou art ourselves!

XVI

WHAT IS THE CAUSE OF ILLUSION?

The question—what is the cause of Maya (Illusion)?—has been asked for the last three thousand years ; and the only answer is, when the world is able to formulate a logical question, we will answer it. The question is contradictory. Our position is that the Absolute has become this relative only apparently, that the Unconditioned has become the conditioned only in Maya. By the very admission of the Unconditioned, we admit that the Absolute cannot be acted upon by anything else. It is uncaused, which means that nothing outside Itself can act upon It. First of all, if It is unconditioned, It cannot have been acted upon by anything else. In the Unconditioned there cannot be time, space, or causation. That granted, your question will be : "What caused that which cannot be caused by anything, to be changed into this?" Your question is only possible in the conditioned. But you take it out of the conditioned, and want to ask it in the Unconditioned. Only when the

Unconditioned becomes conditioned, and space, time, and causation come in, can the question be asked. We can only say ignorance makes the illusion. The question is impossible. Nothing can have worked on the Absolute. There was no cause. Not that we do not know, or that we are ignorant; but It is above knowledge, and cannot be brought down to the plane of knowledge. We can use the words "I do not know" in two senses. In one way, they mean that we are lower than knowledge, and in the other way, that the thing is above knowledge. The X-rays have become known now. The very causes of these are disputed, but we are sure that we shall know them. Here we can say we do not know about the X-rays. But about the Absolute we cannot know. In the case of the X-rays we do not know, although they are within the range of knowledge; only we do not know them yet. But, in the other case, It is so much beyond knowledge that It ceases to be a matter of knowing. "By what means can the Knower be known?" You are always yourself and cannot objectify yourself. This was one of the arguments used by our philosophers to prove immortality. If I try to think I am lying dead, what have I to imagine? That I am standing and looking down at myself, at some dead body. So that I cannot objectify myself.

XVII

EVOLUTION*

In the matter of the projection of Akâsha and Prâna into manifested form, and the return to fine state, there is

* Some of those which precede and those which follow are taken from the answers given by the Swami to questions at afternoon talks with Harvard students on March 22 and 24, 1896. There have also been added notes and selections from unpublished lectures and discourses.

a good deal of similarity between Indian thought and modern science. The moderns have their evolution, and so have the Yogis. But I think that the Yogis' explanation of evolution is the better one. "The change of one species into another is attained by the infilling of nature." The basic idea is that we are changing from one species to another, and that man is the highest species. Patanjali explains this "infilling of nature" by the simile of peasants irrigating fields. Our education and progression simply mean taking away the obstacles, and by its own nature the divinity will manifest itself. This does away with all the struggle for existence. The miserable experiences of life are simply in the way, and can be eliminated entirely. They are not necessary for evolution. Even if they did not exist, we should progress. It is in the very nature of things to manifest themselves. The momentum is not from outside, but comes from inside. Each soul is the sum-total of the universal experiences already coiled up there ; and of all these experiences, only those will come out which find suitable circumstances.

So the external things can only give us the environments. These competitions and struggles and evils that we see are not the effect of the involution or the cause, but they are in the way. If they did not exist, still man would go on and evolve as God, because it is the very nature of that God to come out and manifest Himself. To my mind this seems very hopeful, instead of that horrible idea of competition. The more I study history, the more I find that idea to be wrong. Some say that if man did not fight with man, he would not progress. I also used to think so ; but I find now that every war has thrown back human progress by fifty years instead of hurrying it forward. The day will come when men will study history from a different light, and find that competition is neither the cause nor the effect, simply a thing on the way, not necessary to evolution at all.

The theory of Patanjali is the only theory I think a rational man can accept. How much evil the modern system causes! Every wicked man has a license to be wicked under it. I have seen in this country (America) physicists who say that all criminals ought to be exterminated, and that that is the only way in which criminality can be eliminated from society. These environments can hinder, but they are not necessary to progress. The most horrible thing about the way of competition is that one may conquer the environments, but that where one may conquer, thousands are crowded out. So it is evil at best. That cannot be good which helps only one and hinders the majority. Patanjali says that these struggles remain only through our ignorance, and are not necessary, and are not part of the evolution of man. It is just our impatience which creates them. We have not the patience to go and work our way out. For instance, there is a fire in a theatre, and only a few escape. The rest in trying to rush out crush each other down. That crush was not necessary for the salvation of the building, nor of the two or three who escaped. If all had gone out slowly, not one would have been hurt. That is the case in life. The doors are open for us, and we can all get out without the competition and struggle; and yet we struggle. The struggle we create through our own ignorance, through impatience; we are in too great a hurry. The highest manifestation of strength is to keep ourselves calm and on our own feet.

XVIII

BUDDHISM AND VEDANTA

The Vedanta philosophy is the foundation of Buddhism and everything else in India ; but what we call the Advaita philosophy of the modern school has a great many conclu-

sions of the Buddhists. Of course the Hindus will not admit that,—that is, the orthodox Hindus, because to them the Buddhists are heretics. But there is a conscious attempt to stretch out the whole doctrine to include the heretics also.

The Vedanta has no quarrel with Buddhism. The idea of the Vedanta is to harmonise all. With the Northern Buddhists we have no quarrel at all. But the Burmese and Siamese and all the Southern Buddhists say that there is a phenomenal world, and ask what right we have to create a noumenal world behind this. The answer of the Vedanta is that this is a false statement. The Vedanta never contended that there is a noumenal and a phenomenal. There is one. Seen through the senses it is phenomenal, but it is really the noumenal all the time. The man who sees the rope does not see the snake. It is either the rope or the snake, but never the two. So the Buddhistic statement of our position, that we believe there are two worlds, is entirely false. They have the right to say it is the phenomenal if they like, but no right to contend that other men have not the right to say it is the noumenal.

Buddhism does not want to have anything except phenomena. In phenomena alone is desire. It is desire that is creating all this. Modern Vedantists do not hold this at all. We say there is something which has become the will. Will is a manufactured something, a compound, not a 'simple.' There cannot be any will without an external object. We see that the very position that will created this universe is impossible. How could it? Have you ever known will without external stimulus? Desire cannot arise without stimulus, or, in modern philosophic language, of nerve stimulus. Will is a sort of reaction of the brain, what the Sankhya philosophers call Buddhi. This reaction must be preceded by action, and action presupposes an external universe. When there is no external universe, naturally there will be no will ; and yet, according to your theory, it is will that created the universe.

Who creates the will? Will is co-existent with the universe. Will is one phenomenon caused by the same impulse which created the universe. But philosophy must not stop there. Will is entirely personal; therefore we cannot go with Schopenhauer at all. Will is a compound,—a mixture of the internal and the external. Suppose a man were born without any senses, he would have no will at all. Will requires something from outside, and the brain will get some energy from inside; therefore will is a compound, as much a compound as the wall or anything else. We do not agree with the will-theory of these German philosophers at all. Will itself is phenomenal, and cannot be the Absolute. It is one of the many projections. There is something which is not will, but is manifesting itself as will. That I can understand. But that will is manifesting itself as everything else, I do not understand, seeing that we cannot have any conception of will, as separate from the universe. When that something which is freedom becomes will, it is caused by time, space, and causation. Take Kant's analysis. Will is within time, space, and causation. Then how can it be the Absolute? One cannot will without willing in time.

If we can stop all thought, then we know that we are beyond thought. We come to this by negation. When every phenomenon has been negatived, whatever remains, that is It. That cannot be expressed, cannot be manifested, because the manifestation will be, again, will.

XIX

ON THE VEDANTA PHILOSOPHY

The Vedantist says that a man neither is born nor dies nor goes to heaven, and that reincarnation is really a myth with regard to the soul. The example is given of a book

being turned over. It is the book that evolves, not the man. Every soul is omnipresent, so where can it come or go? These births and deaths are changes in Nature which we are mistaking for changes in us.

Reincarnation is the evolution of Nature and the manifestation of the God within.

The Vedanta says that each life is built upon the past, and that when we can look back over the whole past we are free. The desire to be free will take the form of a religious disposition from childhood. A few years will, as it were, make all truth clear to one. After leaving this life, and while waiting for the next, a man is still in the phenomenal.

We would describe the soul in these words: This soul the sword cannot cut, nor the spear pierce; the fire cannot burn nor water melt it; indestructible, omnipresent is this soul. Therefore weep not for it.

If it has been very bad, we believe that it will become good in the time to come. The fundamental principle is, that there is eternal freedom for every one. Every one must come to it. We have to struggle, impelled by our desire to be free. Every other desire but that to be free is illusive. Every good action, the Vedantist says, is a manifestation of that freedom.

I do not believe that there will come a time when all the evil in the world will vanish. How could that be? This stream goes on. Masses of water go out at one end, but masses are coming in at the other end.

The Vedanta says that you are pure and perfect, and that there is a state beyond good and evil, and that is your own nature. It is higher even than good. Good is only a lesser differentiation than evil.

We have no theory of evil. We call it ignorance.

So far as it goes, all dealing with other people, all ethics, is in the phenomenal world. As a most complete statement of truth, we would not think of applying such

things as ignorance to God. Of Him we say that He is Existence, Knowledge, and Bliss Absolute. Every effort of thought and speech will make the Absolute phenomenal, and break Its character.

There is one thing to be remembered : that the assertion—I am God—can not be made with regard to the sense-world. If you say in the sense-world that you are God, what is to prevent your doing wrong? So the affirmation of your divinity applies only to the noumenal. If I am God, I am beyond the tendencies of the senses, and will not do evil. Morality of course is not the goal of man, but the means through which this freedom is attained. The Vedanta says that Yoga is one way that makes men realise this divinity. The Vedanta says this is done by the realisation of the freedom within, and that everything will give way to that. Morality and ethics will all range themselves in their proper places.

All the criticism against the Advaita philosophy can be summed up in this :—that it does not conduce to sense enjoyments; and we are glad to admit that.

The Vedanta system begins with tremendous pessimism, and ends with real optimism. We deny the sense optimism, but assert the real optimism of the Supersensuous. That real happiness is not in the senses, but above the senses; and it is in every man. The sort of optimism which we see in the world is what will lead to ruin through the senses.

Abnegation has the greatest importance in our philosophy. Negation implies affirmation of the Real Self. The Vedanta is pessimistic so far as it negatives the world of the senses, but it is optimistic in its assertion of the real world.

The Vedanta recognises the reasoning power of man a good deal, although it says there is something higher than intellect; but the road lies through intellect.

We need reason to drive out all the old superstitions; and what remains is Vedantism. There is a beautiful Sanskrit poem in which the sage says to himself: Why weepest thou, my friend? There is no fear or death for thee. Why weepest thou? There is no misery for thee, for thou art like the infinite blue sky, unchangeable in thy nature. Clouds of all colours come before it, play for a moment, and pass away; it is the same sky. Thou hast only to drive away the clouds.

We have to open the gates and clear the way. The water will rush in and fill in by its own nature, because it is there already.

Man is a good deal conscious, partly unconscious, and there is a possibility of getting beyond consciousness. It is only when we become *men* that we can go beyond all reason. The words *higher* or *lower* can be used only in the phenomenal world. To say them of the noumenal world is simply contradictory, because there is no differentiation there. Man-manifestation is the highest in the phenomenal world. The Vedantist says he is higher than the Devas. The gods will all have to die and will become men again, and in the man-body alone they will become perfect.

It is true that we create a system, but have to admit that it is not perfect, because the reality must be beyond all systems. We are ready to compare it with other systems, and are ready to show that this is the only rational system that can be; but it is not perfect, because reason is not perfect. It is, however, the only possible rational system that the human mind can conceive.

It is true to a certain extent that a system must disseminate itself to be strong. No system has disseminated itself so much as the Vedanta. It is the personal contact that teaches even now. A mass of reading does not make men; those who were real men were made so by personal contact. It is true that there are very few of these real

men, but they will increase. Yet you cannot believe that there will come a day when we shall all be philosophers. We do not believe that there will come a time when there will be all happiness and no unhappiness.

Now and then we know a moment of supreme bliss, when we ask nothing, give nothing, know nothing but bliss. Then it passes, and we again see the panorama of the universe moving before us; and we know that it is but a mosaic work set upon God, who is the background of all things.

The Vedanta teaches that Nirvana can be attained here and now, that we do not have to wait for death to reach it. Nirvana is the realisation of the Self; and after having once known that, if only for an instant, never again can one be deluded by the mirage of personality. Having eyes, we must see the apparent, but all the time we know what it is; we have found out its true nature. It is the screen that hides the Self, which is unchanging. The screen opens, and we find the Self behind it. All change is in the screen. In the saint the screen is thin, and the reality can almost shine through. In the sinner the screen is thick, and we are apt to lose sight of the truth that the Atman is there, as well as behind the saint's screen. When the screen is wholly removed, we find it really never existed, —that we were the Atman and nothing else, even the screen is forgotten.

The two phases of this distinction in life are,—first, that the man who knows the real Self, will not be affected by anything; secondly, that that man alone can do good to the world. That man alone will have seen the real motive of doing good to others, because there is only one. It cannot be called the egoistic, because that would be differentiation. It is the only selflessness. It is the perception of the universal, not of the individual. Every case of love and sympathy is an assertion of this universal. "Not I, but thou." Help another because I am in him and

he in me, is the philosophical way of putting it. The real Vedantist alone will give up his life for a fellow-man without any compunction, because he knows he will not die. As long as there is one insect left in the world, he is living ; as long as one mouth eats, he eats. So he goes on doing good to others, and is never hindered by the modern ideas of caring for the body. When a man reaches this point of abnegation, he goes beyond the moral struggle, beyond everything. He sees in the most learned priest, in the cow, in the dog, in the most miserable places, neither the learned man, nor the cow, nor the dog, nor the miserable place, but the same divinity manifesting itself in them all. He alone is the happy man ; and the man who has acquired that sameness, has even in this life, conquered all existence. God is pure; therefore such a man is said to be living in God. Jesus says, "Before Abraham was, I am." That means that Jesus and others like him are free spirits ; and Jesus of Nazareth took human form, not by the compulsion of his past actions, but just to do good to mankind. It is not that when a man becomes free, he will stop and become a dead lump; but he will be more active than any other being, because every other being acts only under compulsion, he alone through freedom.

If we are inseparable from God, have we no individuality? Oh, yes: that is God. Our individuality is God. This is not the individuality you have now; you are coming towards that. Individuality means what cannot be divided. How can you call this individuality? One hour you are thinking one way, and the next hour another way, and two hours after, another way. Individuality is that which changes not,—is beyond all things, changeless. It would be tremendously dangerous for this state to remain in eternity, because then the thief would always remain a thief, and the blackguard a blackguard. If a baby died, he would have to remain a baby. The real individuality is that

which never changes, and will never change ; and that is the God within us.

Vedantism is an expansive ocean on the surface of which a man-of-war could be near a catamaran. So in the Vedantic ocean a real Yogi can be by the side of an idolater or even an atheist. What is more, in the Vedantic ocean, the Hindu, Mahommedan, Christian or Parsi, are all one, all children of the Almighty God.

XX

THE LAW AND FREEDOM

The struggle never had meaning for the man who is free. But for us it has a meaning, because it is name-and-form that creates the world.

We have a place for struggle in the Vedanta, but not for fear. All fears will vanish when you begin to assert your own nature. If you think that you are bound, bound you will remain. If you think you are free, free you will be.

That sort of freedom which we can feel when we are yet in the phenomenal is a glimpse of the real, but not yet the real.

I disagree with the idea that freedom is obedience to the laws of nature. I do not understand what it means. According to the history of human progress, it is disobedience to nature that has constituted that progress. It may be said that the conquest of lower laws was through the higher. But even there, the conquering mind was only trying to be free ; and as soon as it found that the struggle was also through law, it wanted to conquer that also. So the ideal was freedom in every case. The trees never disobey law. I never saw a cow steal. An oyster never

told a lie. Yet they are not greater than man. This life is a tremendous assertion of freedom; and this obedience to law, carried far enough, would make us simply matter, —either in society, or in politics, or religion. Too many laws are a sure sign of death. Wherever in any society there are too many laws, it is a sure sign that that society will soon die. If you study the characteristics of India, you will find that no nation possesses so many laws as the Hindus, and national death is the result. But the Hindus had one peculiar idea,—they never made any doctrines or dogmas in religion; and the latter has had the greatest growth. Eternal law cannot be freedom, because to say that the eternal is inside law, is to limit it.

There is no purpose in view with God, because if there were some purpose, He would be nothing better than a man. Why should He need any purpose? If He had any, He would be bound by it. There would be something besides Him which was greater. For instance, the carpet-weaver makes a piece of carpet. The idea was outside of him, something greater. Now where is the idea to which God would adjust Himself? Just as the greatest emperors sometimes play with dolls, so He is playing with this nature; and what we call law is this. We can it law because we can only see little bits which run smoothly. All our ideas of law are within the little bit. It is nonsense to say that law is infinite, that throughout all time stones will fall. If all reason be based upon experience, who was there to see if stones fell five millions of years ago? So law is not constitutional in man. It is a scientific assertion as to man that where we begin, there we end. As a matter of fact, we get gradually outside of law, until we get out altogether, but with the added experience of a whole life. In God and freedom we began, and freedom and God will be the end. These laws are in the middle state through which we have to pass. Our Vedanta is the assertion of freedom always. The very idea of law will frighten the Vedantist; and

eternal law is a very dreadful thing for him, because there would be no escape. If there is to be an eternal law binding him all the time, where is the difference between him and a blade of grass? We do not believe in that abstract idea of law.

We say that it is freedom that we are to seek, and that that freedom is God. It is the same happiness as in everything else; but when man seeks it in something which is finite, he gets only a spark of it. The thief when he steals gets the same happiness as the man who finds it in God; but the thief gets only a little spark, with a mass of misery. The real happiness is God. Love is God, freedom is God; and everything that is bondage is not God.

Man has freedom already, but he will have to discover it. He has it, but every moment forgets it. That discovering, consciously or unconsciously, is the whole life of every one. But the difference between the sage and the ignorant man is, that one does it consciously, and the other unconsciously. Every one is struggling for freedom, —from the atom to the star. The ignorant man is satisfied if he can get freedom within a certain limit,—if he can get rid of the bondage of hunger, or of being thirsty. But the sage feels that there is a stronger bondage which has to be thrown off. He would not consider the freedom of the Red Indian as freedom at all.

According to our philosophers, freedom is the goal. Knowledge cannot be the goal, because knowledge is a compound. It is a compound of power and freedom, and it is freedom alone that is desirable. That is what men struggle after. Simply the possession of power would not be knowledge. For instance, a scientist can send an electric shock to a distance of a mile; but nature can send it to an unlimited distance. Why do we not build statues to Nature then? It is not law that we want, but ability to break law. We want to be outlaws. If you are bound by laws, you will be a lump of clay. Whether you are

beyond law or not is not the question; but the thought that we are beyond law,—upon that is based the whole history of humanity. For instance, a man lives in a forest, and never has had any education or knowledge. He sees a stone falling down,—a natural phenomenon happening, —and he thinks it is freedom. He thinks it has a soul, and the central idea in that is freedom. But as soon as he knows that it must fall, he calls it nature,—dead, mechanical action. I may or may not go into the street. In that is my glory as a man. If I am sure that I must go there, I give myself up and become a machine. Nature with its infinite power is only a machine; freedom alone constitutes sentient life. The Vedanta says that the idea of the man in the forest is the right one; his glimpse is right, but the explanation is wrong. He holds to this nature as freedom, and not as governed by law. Only after all this human experience we will come back to think the same, but in a more philosophical sense. For instance, I want to go out into the street. I get the impulse of my will, and then I stop; and the time that intervenes between the will and going into the street, I am working uniformly. Uniformity of action is what we call law. This uniformity of my actions, I find, is broken into very short periods, and so I do not call my actions under law. I work through freedom. I walk for five minutes; but before those five minutes of walking, which are uniform, there was the action of the will, which gave the impulse to walk. Therefore man says he is free, because all his actions can be cut up into small periods; and although there is sameness in the small periods, beyond the period there is not the same sameness. In this perception of non-uniformity is the idea of freedom. In nature we see only very large periods of uniformity; but the beginning and end must be free impulses. The impulse of freedom was given just at the beginning, and that has rolled on; but this, compared with our periods, is so much longer. We find by analysis

on philosophic grounds, that we are not free. But there will remain this factor, this consciousness that I am free. What we have to explain is, how that comes. We will find that we have these two impulsions in us. Our reason tells us that all our actions are caused, and at the same time, with every impulse we are asserting our freedom. The solution of the Vedanta is that there is freedom inside, —that the soul is really free,—but that that soul's actions are percolating through body and mind, which are not free.

As soon as we react, we become slaves. A man blames me, and I immediately react in the form of anger. A little vibration which he created made me a slave. So we have to demonstrate our freedom. They alone are the sages who see in the highest, most learned man, or the lowest animal, or the worst and most wicked of mankind, neither a man nor a sage, nor an animal, but the same God in all of them. Even in this life they have conquered heaven, and have taken a firm stand upon this equality. God is pure, the same to all. Therefore such a sage would be a living God. This is the goal towards which we are going; and every form of worship, every action of mankind, is a method of attaining to it. The man who wants money is striving for freedom,—to get rid of the bondage of poverty. Every action of man is worship, because the idea is to attain to freedom, and all action, directly or indirectly, tends to that. Only, those actions that deter are to be avoided. The whole universe is worshipping, consciously or unconsciously; only it does not know, that, while even it is cursing, it is in another form worshipping the same God it is cursing, because those who are cursing are also struggling for freedom. They never think that in reacting from a thing they are making themselves slaves to it. It is hard to kick against the pricks.

If we could get rid of the belief in our limitations, it would be possible for us to do everything just now. It is only a question of time. If that is so, add power, and so

diminish time. Remember the case of the professor who learned the secret of the development of marble, and who made marble in twelve years, while it took nature centuries.

XXI

THE GOAL AND METHODS OF REALISATION

The greatest misfortune to befall the world would be if all mankind were to recognise and accept but one religion, one universal form of worship, one standard of morality. This would be the death-blow to all religious and spiritual progress. Instead of trying to hasten this disastrous event by inducing persons, through good or evil methods, to conform to our own highest ideal of truth, we ought rather to endeavour to remove all obstacles which prevent men from developing in accordance with their own highest ideals, and thus make their attempt vain to establish one universal religion.

The ultimate goal of all mankind, the aim and end of all religions, is but one—re-union with God, or, what amounts to the same, with the divinity which is every man's true nature. But while the aim is one, the method of attaining may vary with the different temperaments of men.

Both the goal and the methods employed for reaching it are called Yoga, a word derived from the same Sanskrit root as the English, yoke, meaning "to join," to join us to our reality, God. There are various such Yogas or methods of union—but the chief ones are—Karma-Yoga, Bhakti-Yoga, Raja-Yoga, and Jnana-Yoga.

Every man must develop according to his own nature. As every science has its methods, so has every religion. The methods of attaining the end of religion are called

Yoga by us, and the different forms of Yoga that we teach, are adapted to the different natures and temperaments of men. We classify them in the following way, under four heads :—

(1) Karma-Yoga—The manner in which a man realises his own divinity through works and duty.

(2) Bhakti-Yoga—The realisation of the divinity through devotion to, and love of, a personal God.

(3) Raja-Yoga—The realisation of the divinity through the control of mind.

(4) Jnana-Yoga—The realisation of a man's own divinity through knowledge.

These are all different roads leading to the same centre—God. Indeed, the varieties of religious belief are an advantage, since all faiths are good, so far as they encourage man to lead a religious life. The more sects there are, the more opportunities there are for making successful appeals to the divine instinct in all men.

Speaking of the world-wide unity, before the Oak Beach Christian Unity, Swami Vivekananda said :—

All religions are, at the bottom, alike. This is so, although the Christian Church, like the Pharisee in the parable, thanks God that it alone is right, and thinks that all other religions are wrong and in need of Christian light. Christianity must become tolerant before the world will be willing to unite with the Christian Church in a common charity. God has not left Himself without a witness in any heart, and men, especially men who follow Jesus Christ, should be willing to admit this. In fact, Jesus Christ was willing to admit every good man to the family of God. It is not the man who believes a certain something, but the man who does the will of the Father in heaven, who is right. On this basis—being right and doing right—the whole world can unite.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

DISCUSSION*

Q.—I should like to know something about the present activity of philosophic thought in India. To what extent are these questions discussed?

A.—As I have said, the majority of the Indian people are practically dualists, and the minority are monists. The main subject of discussion is Maya and Jiva. When I came to this country, I found that the labourers were informed of the present condition of politics; but when I asked them—what is religion, and what are the doctrines of this and that particular sect, they said: "We do not know; we go to church." In India if I go to a peasant and ask him, "Who governs you?" he says, "I do not know; I pay my taxes." But if I ask him what is his religion, he says, "I am a dualist," and is ready to give you the details about Maya and Jiva. He cannot read or write, but he has learned all this from the monks, and is very fond of discussing it. After the day's work, the peasants sit under a tree and discuss these questions.

Q.—What does orthodoxy mean with the Hindus?

A.—In modern times it simply means obeying certain caste laws as to eating, drinking, and marriage. After that the Hindu can believe in any system he likes. There was never an organised church in India; so there was never a body of men to formulate doctrines of orthodoxy. In a general way, we say that those who believe in the Vedas are orthodox; but in reality we find that many of

*This discussion followed the lecture on the Vedanta Philosophy delivered by the Swami at the Graduate Philosophical Society of Harvard University, U. S. A., March 25, 1896. (See Vol. I, p. 360, 2nd Ed.)

the dualistic sects believe more in the Puranas than in the Vedas alone.

Q.—What influence had your Hindu philosophy on the Stoic philosophy of the Greeks?

A.—It is very probable that it had some influence on it through the Alexandrians. There is some suspicion of Pythagoras' being influenced by the Sankhya thought. Any way, we think the Sankhya philosophy is the first attempt to harmonise the philosophy of the Vedas through reason. We find Kapila mentioned even in the Vedas,—ऋषिं कपिलं प्रसूतं यस्तमग्रे।—He who (supports through knowledge) the first-born sage, Kapila."

Q.—What is the antagonism of this thought with Western science?

A.—No antagonism at all. We are in harmony with it. Our theory of evolution and of Akâsha and Prâna is exactly what your modern philosophies have. Your belief in evolution is among our Yogis and in the Sankhya philosophy. For instance, Patanjali speaks of one species being changed into another by the infilling of nature,—"जात्यन्तरपरिणामः प्रकृत्यापूरात्" ; only he differs from you in the explanation. His explanation of this evolution is spiritual. He says that just as when a farmer wants to water his field from the canals that pass near, he has only to lift up his gate, "निमित्तमप्रयोजकं प्रकृतीनां वरणभेदस्तु ततः क्षेत्रिकवत्" ;—so each man is the Infinite already, only these bars and bolts and different circumstances shut him in, but as soon as they are removed, he rushes out and expresses himself. In the animal, the man was held in abeyance; but as soon as good circumstances came, he was manifested as man. And again, as soon as fitting circumstances came, the God in man manifested Itself. So we have very little to quarrel with in the new theories. For instance, the theory of the Sankhya as to perception is very little different from modern physiology.

Q.—But your method is different?

A.—Yes. We claim that concentrating the powers of the mind is the only way to knowledge. In external science, concentration of mind is—putting it on something external; and in internal science, it is—drawing towards one's self. We call this concentration of mind, Yoga.

Q.—In the state of concentration does the truth of these principles become evident?

A.—The Yogis claim a good deal. They claim that by concentration of the mind every truth in the universe becomes evident to the mind, both external and internal truth.

Q.—What does the Advaitist think of cosmology?

A.—The Advaitist would say that all this cosmology and everything else are only in Maya, in the phenomenal world. In truth they do not exist. But as long as we are bound, we have to see these visions. Within these visions things come in a certain regular order. Beyond them there is no law and order, but freedom.

Q.—Is the Advaita antagonistic to Dualism?

A.—The Upanishads not being in a systematised form, it was easy for philosophers to take up texts where they liked to form a system. Therefore the Upanishads had always to be taken, else there would be no basis. Yet we find all the different schools of thought in the Upanishads. Our solution is that the Advaita is not antagonistic to the dualistic. We say the latter is only one of three steps. Religion always takes three steps. The first is dualism. Then man gets to a higher state, partial non-dualism. And at last he finds he is one with the universe. Therefore the three do not contradict, but fulfil.

Q.—Why does Maya, or ignorance, exist?

A.—Why,—cannot be asked beyond the limit of causation. It can only be asked within Maya. We say we will answer the question when it is logically formulated. Before that we have no right to answer.

Q.—Does the personal God belong to Maya?

A.—Yes; but the personal God is the same Absolute seen through Maya. That Absolute under the control of nature is what is called the human soul; and that which is controlling nature is Ishvara or the personal God. If a man starts from here to see the sun, he will see at first a little sun; but as he proceeds he will see it bigger and bigger, until he reaches the real one. At each stage of his progress he was seeing apparently a different sun; yet we are sure it was the same sun he was seeing. So all these things are but visions of the Absolute, and as such they are true. Not one is a false vision, but we can only say they were lower stages.

Q.—What is the special process by which one will come to know the Absolute?

A.—We say there are two processes. One is the positive, and the other, the negative. The positive is that through which the whole universe is going,—that of love. If this circle of love is increased indefinitely, we reach the one universal love. The other is the "Neti," "Neti,"—"not this," "not this,"—stopping every wave in the mind which tries to draw it out; and at last the mind dies, as it were, and the Real discloses Itself. We call that Samadhi, or super-consciousness.

Q.—That would be, then, merging the subject in the object!

A.—Merging the object in the subject, not merging the subject in the object. Really this world dies and I remain. I am the only one that remains.

Q.—Some of our philosophers in Germany have thought that the whole doctrine of Bhakti (Love for the Divine) in India was very likely the result of Occidental influence.

A.—I do not take any stock in that,—the assumption was ephemeral. The Bhakti of India is not like the Western Bhakti. The central idea of ours is that there is no thought of fear. It is always, love God. There is

no worship through fear, but always through love, from beginning to end. In the second place, the assumption is quite unnecessary. Bhakti is spoken of in the oldest of the Upanishads, which is much older than the Christian Bible. The germs of Bhakti are even in the Samhita (the Vedic hymns). The word Bhakti is not a Western word. It was suggested by the word *Shraddhâ*.

Q.—What is the Indian idea of the Christian faith?

A.—That it is very good. The Vedanta will take in every one. We have a peculiar idea in India. Suppose I had a child. I should not teach him any religion; I should teach him breathings,—the practice of concentrating the mind, and just one line of prayer,—not prayer in your sense, but simply something like this, "I meditate on Him who is the Creator of this universe: may He enlighten my mind!" That way he would be educated, and then go about hearing different philosophers and teachers. He would select one who he thought would suit him best; and this man would become his Guru or teacher, and he would become a Shishya or disciple. He would say to that man: "This form of philosophy which you preach is the best; so teach me." Our fundamental idea is that your doctrine cannot be mine, or mine yours. Each one must have his own way. My daughter may have one method and my son another, and I, again, another. So each one has an Ishtam or chosen way, and we keep it to ourselves. It is between me and my teacher, because we do not want to create a fight. It will not help any one to tell it to others, because each one will have to find his own way. So only general philosophy and general methods can be taught universally. For instance, giving a ludicrous example, it may help me to stand on one leg. It would be ludicrous to you if I said every one must do that, but it may suit me. It is quite possible for me to be a dualist, and for my wife to be a monist, and so on. One of my sons may

worship Christ or Buddha or Mahommed, so long as he obeys the caste laws. That is his own Ishtam.

Q.—Do all Hindus believe in caste?

A.—They are forced to. They may not believe, but they have to obey.

Q.—Are these exercises in breathing and concentration universally practised?

A.—Yes; only some practise only a little, just to satisfy the requirements of their religion. The temples in India are not like the churches here. They may all vanish to-morrow, and will not be missed. A temple is built by a man who wants to go to heaven, or to get a son, or something of that sort. So he builds a large temple, and employs a few priests to hold services there. I need not go there at all, because all my worship is in the home. In every house is a special room set apart, which is called the chapel. The first duty of the child, after his initiation, is to take a bath, and then to worship; and his worship consists of this breathing and meditating, and repeating of a certain name. And another thing is to hold the body straight. We believe that the mind has every power over the body to keep it healthy. After one has done this, then another comes and takes his seat, and each one does it in silence. Sometimes there are three or four in the same room, but each one may have a different method. This worship is repeated at least twice a day.

Q.—This state of oneness that you speak of, is it an ideal or something actually attained?

A.—We say it is within actuality ; we say we realise that state. If it were only in talk, it would be nothing. The Vedas teach three things : this Self is first to be heard, then to be reasoned, and then to be meditated upon. When a man first hears it, he must reason on it, so that he does not believe it ignorantly, but knowingly; and after reasoning what he is, he must meditate upon it, and then

realise it. And that is religion. Belief is no part of religion. We say religion is a super-conscious state.

Q.—If you ever reach that state of super-consciousness, can you ever tell about it?

A.—No; but we know it by its fruits. An idiot, when he goes to sleep, comes out of sleep an idiot, or even worse. But another man goes into the state of meditation, and when he comes out he is a philosopher, a sage, a great man. That shows the difference between these two states.

Q.—I should like to ask, in continuation of Professor ——'s question, whether you know of any people who have made any study of the principles of self-hypnotism, which they undoubtedly practised to a great extent in ancient India, and what has been recently stated and practised in that thing? Of course you do not have it so much in modern India.

A.—What you call hypnotism in the West is only a part of the real thing. The Hindus call it self-hypnotization. They say you are hypnotized already, and that you should get out of it and de-hypnotize yourself. "There the sun cannot illume, nor the moon, nor the stars; the flash of lightning cannot illume that; what to speak of this mortal fire! That shining, everything else shines." That is not hypnotization, but de-hypnotization. We say that every other religion that preaches these things as real, is practising a form of hypnotism. It is the Advaitist alone that does not care to be hypnotized. His is the only system that more or less understands that hypnotism comes with every form of dualism. But the Advaitist says, throw away even the Vedas, throw away even the personal God, throw away even the universe, throw away even your own body and mind, and let nothing remain, in order to get rid of hypnotism perfectly. "From where the mind comes back with speech, being unable to reach, knowing the Bliss of Brahman, no more is fear." That is de-hypnotiza-

tion. "I have neither vice nor virtue, nor misery, nor happiness ; I care neither for the Vedas nor sacrifices, nor ceremonies; I am neither food, nor eating, nor eater, for I am Existence Absolute, Knowledge Absolute, Bliss Absolute; I am He, I am He." We know all about hypnotism. We have a psychology which the West is just beginning to know, but not yet adequately, I am sorry to say.

Q.—What do you call the astral body?

A.—The astral body is what we call the Linga Sharira. When this body dies, how can it come to take another body? Force cannot remain without matter. So a little part of the fine matter remains, through which the internal organs make another body,—for each one is making his own body; it is the mind that makes the body. If I become a sage, my brain gets changed into a sage's brain ; and the Yogis say that even in this life a Yogi can change his body into a god-body.

The Yogis show many wonderful things. One ounce of practice is worth a thousand pounds of theory. So I have no right to say that because I have not seen this or that thing done, it is false. Their books say that with practice you can get all sorts of results that are most wonderful. Small results can be obtained in a short time by regular practice ; so that one may know that there is no humbug about it, no charlatanism. And these Yogis explain the very wonderful things mentioned in all scriptures, in a scientific way. The question is, how these records of miracles entered into every nation. The man who says that they are all false, and need no explanation, is not rational. You have no right to deny them until you can prove them false. You must prove that they are without any foundation, and only then have you the right to stand up and deny them. But you have not done that. On the other hand, the Yogis say they are not miracles, and they claim that they can do them even to-day. Many

wonderful things are done in India to-day. But none of them are done by miracles. There are many books on the subject. Again, if nothing else has been done in that line except a scientific approach towards psychology, that credit must be given to the Yogis.

Q.—Can you say in the concrete what the manifestations are, which the Yogi can show?

A.—The Yogi wants no faith or belief in his science but that which is given to any other science, just enough gentlemanly faith to come and make the experiment. The ideal of the Yogi is tremendous. I have seen the lower things that can be done by the power of the mind, and therefore I have no right to disbelieve that the highest things can be done. The ideal of the Yogi is eternal peace and love through omniscience and omnipotence. I know a Yogi who was bitten by a cobra, and who fell down on the ground. In the evening he revived again, and when asked what happened, he said: "A messenger came from my Beloved." All hatred and anger and jealousy have been burned out of this man. Nothing can make him react; he is infinite love all the time, and he is omnipotent in his power of love. That is the real Yogi. And this manifesting different things is accidental, on the way. That is not what he wants to attain. The Yogi says, every man is a slave except the Yogi. He is a slave to food, to air, to his wife, to his children, to a dollar, slave to a nation, slave to name and fame, and to a thousand things in this world. The man who is not controlled by any one of these bondages is alone a real man, a real Yogi. "They have conquered relative existence in this life who are firm-fixed in the sameness. God is pure and the same to all. Therefore such are said to be living in God."

Q.—Do the Yogis attach any importance to caste?

A.—No; caste is only the training school for undeveloped minds.

Q.—Is there no connection between this idea of superconsciousness and the heat of India?

A.—I do not think so; because all this philosophy was thought out fifteen thousand feet above the level of the sea, among the Himalayas, in an almost Arctic temperature.

Q.—Is it practicable to attain success in a cold climate?

A.—It is practicable, and the only thing that is practicable in this world. We say you are a born Vedantist, each one of you. You are declaring your oneness with everything each moment you live. Every time that your heart goes out towards the world, you are a true Vedantist, only you do not know it. You are moral without knowing why; and the Vedanta is the philosophy which analysed and taught man to be moral consciously. It is the essence of all religions.

Q.—Should you say that there is an unsocial principle in our Western people, which makes us so pluralistic, and that Eastern people are more sympathetic than we are?

A.—I think the Western people are more cruel, and the Eastern people have more mercy towards all beings. But that is simply because your civilisation is very much more recent. It takes time to make a thing come under the influence of mercy. You have a great deal of power, and the power of control of the mind has especially been very little practised. It will take time to make you gentle and good. This feeling tingles in every drop of blood in India. If I go to the villages to teach the people politics, they will not understand; but if I go to teach them Vedanta, they will say: "Now, Swami, you are all right." That Vairâgyam, "non-attachment," is everywhere in India, even to-day. We are very much degenerated now; but kings will give up their thrones and go about the country without anything.

In some places the common village-girl with her spinning-wheel says: "Do not talk to me of dualism; my

spinning-wheel says 'Soham, Soham,' 'I am He, I am He.' " Go and talk to these people, and ask them why it is that they speak so and yet kneel before that stone. They will say that with you religion means dogma, but with them realisation. "I will be a Vedantist," one of them will say, "only when all this has vanished, and I have seen the reality. Until then there is no difference between me and the ignorant. So I am using these stones, and am going to temples, and so on, to come to realisation. I have heard, but I want to see and realise." "Different methods of speech, different manners of explaining the methods of the scriptures,—these are only for the enjoyment of the learned, not for freedom." (Sankara) It is realisation which leads us to that freedom.

Q.—Is this spiritual freedom among the people consistent with attention to caste?

A.—Certainly not. They say there should be no caste. Even those who are in caste say it is not a very perfect institution. But they say, when you find us another and a better one, we will give it up. They say, what will you give us instead? Where is there not caste? In your nation you are struggling all the time to make a caste. As soon as a man gets a bag of dollars, he says, "I am one of the Four Hundred." We alone have succeeded in making a permanent caste. Other nations are struggling and do not succeed. We have superstitions and evils enough. Would taking the superstitions and evils from your country mend matters? It is owing to caste that three hundred millions of people can find a piece of bread to eat yet. It is an imperfect institution, no doubt. But if it had not been for caste, you would have had no Sanskrit books to study. This caste made walls, around which all sorts of invasions rolled and surged, but found it impossible to break through. That necessity has not gone yet, so caste remains. The caste we have now is not that of seven hundred years ago. Every blow has riveted it.

Do you realise that India is the only country that never went outside of itself to conquer? The great emperor Asoka insisted that none of his descendants should go to conquer. If people want to send us teachers, let them help, but not injure. Why should all these people come to conquer the Hindus? Did they do any injury to any nation? What little good they could do, they did for the world. They taught it science, philosophy, religion, and civilised the savage hordes of the earth. And this is the return,—only murder and tyranny, and calling them heathen rascals. Look at the books written on India by Western people, and at the stories of many travellers who go there; in retaliation for what injuries are these hurled at them?

Q.—What is the Vedantic idea of civilisation?

A.—You are philosophers, and you do not think that a bag of gold makes the difference between man and man. What is the value of all these machines and sciences? They have only one result: they spread knowledge. You have not solved the problem of want, but only made it keener. Machines do not solve the poverty question; they simply make men struggle the more. Competition gets keener. What value has Nature in itself? Why do you go and build a monument to a man who sends electricity through a wire? Does not Nature do that millions of times over? Is not everything already existing in Nature? What is the value of your getting it? It is already there. The only value is that it makes this development. This universe is simply a gymnasium in which the soul is taking exercise; and after these exercises we become gods. So the value of everything is to be decided by how far it is a manifestation of God. Civilisation is the manifestation of that divinity in man.

Q.—Have the Buddhists any caste laws?

A.—The Buddhists never had much caste, and there are very few Buddhists in India. Buddha was a social re-

former. Yet in Buddhistic countries I find that there have been strong attempts to manufacture caste, only they have failed. The Buddhists' caste is practically nothing, but they take pride in it in their own minds.

Buddha was one of the Sannyâsins of the Vedanta. He started a new sect, just as others are started even to-day. The ideas which now are called Buddhism were not his. They were much more ancient. He was a great man who gave the ideas power. The unique element in Buddhism was its social element. Brâhmanas and Kshatriyas have always been our teachers, and most of the Upanishads were written by Kshatriyas, while the ritualistic portions of the Vedas came from the Brâhmanas. Most of our great teachers throughout India have been Kshatriyas, and were always universal in their teachings; whilst the Brâhmana prophets with two exceptions were very exclusive. Rama, Krishna, and Buddha,—worshipped as Incarnations of God,—were Kshatriyas.

Q.—Are sects, ceremonies and scriptures helps to realisation?

A.—When a man realises, he gives up everything. The various sects and ceremonies and books, so far as they are the means of arriving at that point, are all right. But when they fail in that, we must change them. "The knowing one must not despise the condition of the ignorant, nor should the knowing one destroy the faith of the ignorant in their own particular method, but by proper action lead them, and show them the path to come to where he stands."

Q.—How does the Vedanta explain individuality and ethics?

A.—This real individual is the Absolute; this personalisation is through Maya. It is only apparent; in reality it is always the Absolute. In reality there is one, but in Maya it is appearing as many. In Maya there is this variation. Yet even in this Maya there is always the tendency

to get back to the One, as expressed in all ethics and all morality of every nation, because it is the constitutional necessity of the soul. It is finding its oneness; and this struggle to find this oneness is what we call ethics and morality. Therefore we must always practise them.

Q.—Is not the greater part of ethics taken up with the relation between individuals?

A.—That is all it is. The Absolute does not come within Maya.

Q.—You say the individual is the Absolute, and I was going to ask you whether the individual has knowledge.

A.—The state of manifestation is individuality, and the light in that state is what we call knowledge. To use, therefore, this term *knowledge* for the light of the Absolute is not precise, as the Absolute state transcends relative knowledge.

Q.—Does it include it?

A.—Yes; in this sense. Just as a piece of gold can be changed into all sorts of coins, so with this. The state can be broken up into all sorts of knowledge. It is the state of super-consciousness, and includes both consciousness and unconsciousness. The man who attains that state has all that we call knowledge. When he wants to realise that consciousness of knowledge, he has to go a step lower. Knowledge is a lower state; it is only in Maya that we can have knowledge.

(At the Twentieth Century Club of Boston, U. S. A.)

Q.—Did Vedanta exert any influence over Mahommedanism?

A.—This Vedantic spirit of religious liberality has very much affected Mahommedanism. Mahommedanism in India is quite a different thing from that in any other

country. It is only when Mahommedans come from other countries and preach to their co-religionists in India about living with men who are not of their faith, that a Mahommedan mob is aroused and fights.

Q.—Does Vedanta recognise caste?

A.—The caste system is opposed to the religion of the Vedanta. Caste is a social custom, and all our great preachers have tried to break it down. From Buddhism downwards, every sect has preached against caste, and every time it has only riveted the chains. Caste is simply the outgrowth of the political institutions of India; it is an hereditary trade guild. Trade competition with Europe has broken caste more than any teaching.

Q.—What is the peculiarity of the Vedas?

A.—One peculiarity of the Vedas is, that they are the only Scriptures that again and again declare that you must go beyond them. The Vedas say, that they were written just for the child mind; and when you have grown, you must go beyond them.

Q.—Do you hold the individual soul to be eternally real?

A.—The individual soul consists of a man's thoughts, and they are changing every moment. Therefore, it cannot be eternally real. It is real only in the phenomenal. The individual soul consists of memory and thought; how can that be real?

Q.—Why did Buddhism as a religion decline in India?

A.—Buddhism did not really decline in India; it was only a gigantic social movement. Before Buddha, great numbers of animals were killed for sacrifice and other reasons; and people drank wine and ate meat in large quantities. Since Buddha's teaching, drunkenness has almost disappeared and the killing of animals has almost gone.

(At the Brooklyn Ethical Society, Brooklyn, U. S. A.)

Q.—How can you reconcile your optimistic views with the existence of evil, with the universal prevalence of sorrow and pain?

A.—I can only answer the question if the existence of evil be first proved; but this the Vedantic religion does not admit. Eternal pain unmixed with pleasure would be a positive evil; but temporal pain and sorrow, if they have contributed an element of tenderness and nobility tending towards eternal bliss, are not evils: on the contrary, they may be supreme good. We cannot assert that anything is evil until we have traced its sequence into the realm of eternity.

Devil worship is not a part of the Hindu religion. The human race is in process of development; all have not reached the same altitude. Therefore some are nobler and purer in their earthly lives than others. Every one has an opportunity within the limits of the sphere of his present development of making himself better. We cannot unmake ourselves; we cannot destroy or impair the vital force within us, but we have the freedom to give it different directions.

Q.—Is not the reality of cosmic matter simply the imagining of our own minds?

A.—In my opinion the external world is certainly an entity, and has an existence outside of our mental conceptions. All creation is moving onwards and upwards, obedient to the great law of spirit evolution, which is different from the evolution of matter. The latter is symbolical of, but does not explain the process of, the former. We are not individuals now, in our present earthly environment. We will not have reached individuality, until we have ascended to the higher state, when the divine spirit within us will have a perfect medium for the expression of its attributes.

Q.—What is your explanation of the problem that had been presented to Christ, as to whether it was the infant itself, or its parents, that had sinned that it was born blind?

A.—While the question of sin does not enter into the problem, I am convinced that the blindness was due to some act on the part of the spirit of the child in a previous incarnation. In my opinion such problems are only explicable on the hypothesis of a prior earthly existence.

Q.—Do our spirits pass at death into a state of happiness?

A.—Death is only a change of condition: time and space are in you, you are not in time and space. It is enough to know, that as we make our lives purer and nobler, either in the seen or the unseen world, the nearer we approach God, who is the centre of all spiritual beauty and eternal joy.

Q.—What is the Hindu theory of the transmigration of souls?

A.—It is on the same basis as the theory of conservation is to the scientist. This theory was first produced by a philosopher of my country. They did not believe in a Creation. A Creation implies producing something out of nothing. That is impossible. There was no beginning of Creation as there was no beginning of time. God and Creation are as two lines without end, without beginning, and parallel. Our theory of Creation is—"It is, it was, and is to be." All punishment is but reaction. People of the West should learn one thing from India and that is, toleration. All the religions are good, since the essentials are the same.

Q.—Why are the women of India not much elevated?

A.—It is in a great degree owing to the barbarous invaders through different ages; it is partly due to the people of India themselves.

When it was pointed out to Swamiji in America that Hinduism is not a proselytising religion, he replied :—

"I have a message to the West as Buddha had a message to the East."

Q.—Do you intend to introduce the practices and rituals of the Hindu religion into this country (America)?

A.—I am preaching simply philosophy.

Q.—Do you not think if the fear of future hell-fire were taken from man, there would be no controlling him?

A.—No! On the contrary, I think he is made far better through love and hope than through fear.

(Selections from the Math Diary)

Q.—Whom can we call a Guru?

A.—He who can tell your past and future, is your Guru.

Q.—How can one have Bhakti?

A.—There is Bhakti within you, only a veil of lust-and-wealth covers it, and as soon as that is removed Bhakti will manifest by itself.

Q.—What is the true meaning of the assertion that we should depend on ourselves?

A.—Here self means the eternal Self. But even dependence on the non-eternal self may lead gradually to the right goal, as the individual self is really the Eternal Self under delusion.

Q.—If unity is the only reality, how could duality which is perceived by all every moment, have arisen?

A.—Perception is never dual, it is only the representation of perception that involves duality. If perception were dual, the known could have existed independently of the knower, and *vice versa*.

Q.—How is harmonious development of character to be best effected?

A.—By association with persons whose character has been so developed.

Q.—What should be our attitude to the Vedas?

A.—The Vedas, *i.e.*, only those portions of them which agree with reason, are to be accepted as the only authority. Other Shâstras, such as the Purânas &c., are only to be accepted so far as they do not go against the Vedas. All the religious thoughts that have come subsequent to the Vedas, in the world, in whatever part of it, have been derived from the Vedas.

Q.—Is the division of time into four Yugas, astronomical, or arbitrary calculations?

A.—There is no mention of such divisions in the Vedas. They are arbitrary assumptions of Paurânika times.

Q.—Is the relation between concepts and words necessary and immutable, or accidental and conventional?

A.—The point is exceedingly debatable. It seems that there is a necessary relation, but not absolutely so, as appears from the diversity of language. There may be some subtle relation which we are not yet able to detect.

Q.—What should be the principle to be followed in working within India?

A.—First of all, men should be taught to be practical and physically strong. A dozen of such lions will conquer the world, and not millions of sheep can do so. Secondly, men should not be taught to imitate a personal ideal, however great.

Then Swamiji went on to speak of the corruptions of some of the Hindu symbols. He distinguished between the path of knowledge and the path of devotion. The former belonged properly to the Aryas, and therefore was so strict in the selection of *Adhikâris* (qualified aspirants), and the latter coming from the South or non-Aryan sources made no such distinction.

Q.—What part will the Ramakrishna Mission take in the regenerating work of India?

A.—From this Math will go out men of character who will deluge the world with spirituality. This will be

followed by revivals in other lines. Thus Brâhmanas, Kshatriyas and Vaishyas will be produced. The Sudra caste will exist no longer; their work being done by machinery. The present want of India is the Kshatriya force.

Q.—Is retrograde reincarnation from the human stage possible?

A.—Yes. Reincarnation depends on Karma. If a man accumulates Karma akin to the beastly nature, he will be drawn thereto.

In one of the question-classes (1898) Swamiji traced Image-worship to Buddhistic sources. First, there was the *Chaitya;* second, the *Stupa;* and then came the temple of Buddha. Along with it arose the temples of the Hindu deities.

Q.—Does the Kundalini really exist in the physical body?

A.—Sri Ramakrishna used to say that the so-called lotuses of the Yogi do not really exist in the human body, but that they are created within oneself by Yoga powers.

Q.—Can a man attain Mukti by Image-worship?

A.—Image-worship cannot directly give Mukti; it may be an indirect cause, a help on the way. Image-worship should not be condemned, for, with many, it prepares the mind for the realisation of the Advaita which alone makes man perfect.

Q.—What should be our hightest ideal of character?

A.—Renunciation.

Q.—How did Buddhism leave the legacy of corruption in India?

A.—The Bauddhas tried to make everyone in India a monk or a nun. We cannot expect that from everyone. This led to gradual relaxation among monks and nuns. It was also caused by their imitating Thibetan and other barbarous customs in the name of religion. They went

to preach in those places and assimilated their corruptions, and then introduced them into India.

Q.—Is Maya without beginning and end?

A.—Maya is eternal both-ways, taken universally, as genus; but it is non-eternal individually.

Q.—Brahman and Maya cannot be cognised simultaneously. How could the absolute reality of either be proved as arising out of the one or the other?

A.—It could be proved only by realisation. When one realises Brahman, for him Maya exists no longer, just as once the identity of the rope is found out, the illusion of the serpent comes no more.

Q.—What is Maya?

A.—There is only one thing, call it by any name—matter, or spirit. It is difficult or rather impossible to think the one independent of the other. This is Maya or ignorance.

Q.—What is Mukti (liberation)?

A.—Mukti means entire freedom,—freedom from the bondages of good and evil. A golden chain is as much a chain as an iron one. Sri Ramakrishna used to say, that to pick out one thorn which has stuck into the foot, another thorn is requisitioned, and when the thorn is taken out, both are thrown away. So the bad tendencies are to be counteracted by the good ones, but after that, the good tendencies have also to be conquered.

Q.—Can salvation (Mukti) be obtained without the grace of God?

A.—Salvation has nothing to do with God. Freedom already *is*.

Q.—What is the proof of the self in us not being the product of the body, &c.?

A.—The "ego" like its correlative, "non-ego," is the product of the body, mind, &c. The only proof of the existence of the real Self is realisation.

Q.—Who is a true Jnanin, and who is a true Bhakta?

A.—The true Jnanin is he who has the deepest love within his heart, and at the same time is a practical seer of Advaita in his outward relations. And the true Bhakta (Lover) is he who realising his own soul as identified with the universal Soul, and thus possessed of the true Jnana within, feels for and loves everyone. Of Jnana and Bhakti, he who advocates one and denounces the other, cannot be either a Jnanin or a Bhakta, but he is a thief and a cheat.

Q.—Why should a man serve Ishvara?

A.—If you once admit that there is such a thing as Ishvara (God), you have numberless occasions to serve Him. Service of the Lord means, according to all the scriptural authorities, remembrance (*Smarana*). If you believe in the existence of God, you will be reminded of Him at every step of your life.

Q.—Is Mayavada different from Advaitavada?

A.—No. They are identical. There is absolutely no other explanation of Advaitavada except Mayavada.

Q.—How is it possible for God who is infinite, to be limited in the form of a man (as an Avatâra)?

A.—It is true that God is infinite, but not in the sense in which you comprehend it. You have confounded your idea of infinity with the materialistic idea of vastness. When you say that God cannot take the form of a man, you understand that a very very large substance or form (as if material in nature), cannot be compressed into a very very small compass. God's infinitude refers to the unlimitedness of a purely spiritual entity, and as such, does not suffer in the least by expressing itself in a human form.

Q.—Some say, "First of all become a Siddha (one who has realised the Truth), and then you have the right to Karma, or work for others," while others say, that one should work for others even from the beginning;—how can both these views be reconciled?

A.—You are confusing one thing with the other. Karma means either service to humanity, or preaching.

In real preaching, no doubt, none has the right except the Siddha Purusha, *i.e.*, one who has realised the Truth. But to service every one has the right, and not only so, but every one is under obligation to serve others, so long as he is accepting service from others.

CONVERSATIONS AND DIALOGUES*—I

I

THINK OF DEATH ALWAYS AND NEW LIFE WILL COME WITHIN ——WORK FOR OTHERS——GOD THE LAST REFUGE.

[*Sri Surendra Nath Das Gupta.*]

One day, with some of my young friends belonging to different colleges, I went to the Belur Math to see Swamiji. We sat round him; talks on various subjects were going on. No sooner was any question put to him than he gave the most conclusive answer to it. Suddenly he exclaimed pointing to us, "You are all studying different schools of European philosophy and metaphysics, and learning new facts about nationalities and countries; can you tell me, what is the grandest of all the truths in life?"

We began to think, but could not make out what he wanted us to say. As none put forth any reply, he exclaimed in his inspiring language :—

"See here,—we shall all die ! Bear this in mind always, and then the spirit within will wake up. Then only, meanness will vanish from you, practicality in work will come, you will get new vigour in mind and body, and those who come in contact with you will also feel that they have really got something uplifting, from you."

Then the following conversation took place between him and myself :—

*These CONVERSATIONS AND DIALOGUES are translated from the contributions of Disciples to the Udbodhan, the Bengali organ of the Ramakrishna Mission.

Myself :—But, Swamiji, will not the spirit break down at the thought of death, and the heart be overpowered by despondency?

Swamiji :—Quite so. At first, the heart will break down, and despondency and gloomy thoughts will occupy your mind. But persist, let days pass like that,—and then? Then you will see that new strength has come into the heart, that the constant thought of death is giving you a new life, and is making you more and more thoughtful by bringing every moment before your mind's eye, the truth of the saying, "Vanity of vanities, all is vanity. "Wait! Let days, months and years pass, and you will feel that the spirit within is waking up with the strength of a lion, that the little power within has transformed itself into a mighty power! Think of death always and you will realise the truth of every word I say. What more shall I say in words!

One of my friends was praising Swamiji in a low voice.

Swamiji :—Do not praise me. Praise and censure have no value in this world of ours. They only rock a man as if in a swing. Praise I have had enough of ; showers of censure I have also had to bear ; but what avails thinking of them! Let everyone go on doing his own duty, unconcerned. When the last moment arrives, praise and blame will be the same to you, to me, and to others. We are here to work, and will have to leave all when the call comes.

Myself :—How little we are, Swamiji!

Swamiji :—True! You have well said! Think of this infinite universe with its millions and millions of solar systems, and think with what an infinite, incomprehensible power they are impelled, running as if to touch the Feet of the One Unknown,—and how little we are! Where then is room here to allow ourselves to indulge in vileness and meanmindedness? What should we gain here by fostering mutual enmity and party-spirit? Take my advice : Set yourselves wholly to the service of others, when

you come from your colleges. Believe me, far greater happiness would then be yours, than if you had had a whole treasury full of money and other valuables at your command. As you go on your way serving others you will, on a parallel line, advance in the path of knowledge.

Myself :—But we are so very poor, Swamiji!

Swamiji :—Leave aside your thoughts of poverty! In what respect are you poor? Do you feel regret because you have not a coach and pair, or a retinue of servants at your beck and call? What of that? You little know how you can have nothing undone in life if you labour day and night for others with your heart's blood! And lo and behold! the other side of the hallowed river of life stands revealed before your eyes,—the screen of Death has vanished, and you are the inheritors of the wondrous realm of immortality!

Myself :—O, how we enjoy sitting before you, Swamiji, and hearing your life-giving words!

Swamiji :—You see, in my travels throughout India all these years, I have come across many a great soul, many a heart overflowing with loving-kindness, sitting at whose feet I used to feel a mighty current of strength coursing into my heart, and the few words I speak to you are only through the force of that current gained by coming in contact with them! Don't you think, I am myself something great!

Myself :—But we look upon you, Swamiji, as one who has realised God!

No sooner did I say these words than those fascinating eyes of his were filled with tears, (O how vividly I see that scene before my eyes even now), and he with a heart overflowing with love, softly and gently spoke: "At those Blessed Feet is the perfection of Knowledge sought by the Jnanins! At those Blessed Feet also is the fulfilment of Love sought by the Lovers! O, say, where else will men and women go for refuge but to those Blessed Feet!"

After a while he again said, "Alas! what folly for men in this world, to spend their days fightng and quarrelling with one another as they do! But how long can they go on in that way? In the evening of life* they must all come home, to the arms of the Mother."

II

THE LOSS OF SHRADDHA IN INDIA AND NEED OF ITS REVIVAL ——*MEN* WE WANT——REAL SOCIAL REFORM.

[*Sri Surendra Nath Sen,—from private diary.*]

SATURDAY, *the 22nd. January, 1898.*

Early in the morning I came to Swamiji who was then staying in the house of Balaram Babu, at 57 Ramkanta Bose's Street, Calcutta. The room was fully packed with listeners. Swamiji was saying, "We want *Shraddhá*, we want faith in our own selves. Strength is life, weakness is death. 'We are the Atman, deathless and free; pure, pure by nature. Can we ever commit any sin? Impossible!'—such a faith is needed. Such a faith makes men of us, makes gods of us. It is by losing this idea of *Shraddhâ,* that the country has gone to ruin."

Question :—How did we come to lose this *Shraddhâ*?

Swamiji :—We have had a negative education all along from our boyhood. We have only learnt that we are nobodies. Seldom are we given to understand that great men were ever born in our country. Nothing positive has been taught to us. We do not even know how to use our hands and feet! We master all the facts and figures concerning the ancestors of the English, but we are sadly unmindful about our own! We have learnt only weakness.

*At the end of one's whole course of transmigratory existence.

Being a conquered race, we have brought ourselves to believe that we are weak, and have no independence in anything. So, how can it be but that the *Shraddhâ* is lost? The idea of true *Shraddhâ* must be brought back once more to us, the faith in our own selves must be re-awakened, and then only, all the problems which face our country will gradually be solved by ourselves.

Q.—How can that ever be? How will *Shraddhâ* alone remedy the innumerable evils with which our society is beset? Besides, there are so many crying evils in the country, to remove which the Indian National Congress and other patriotic associations are carrying on a strenuous agitation and petitioning the British Government. How better can their wants be made known? What has *Shraddhâ* to do in the matter?

Swamiji :—Tell me, whose wants are those,—yours or the Ruler's? If yours, will they supply them for you, or will you have to do that for yourselves?

Q.—But it is the Ruler's duty to see to the wants of the subject people. Whom should we look up to for everything, if not to the King?

Swamiji :—Never are the wants of a beggar fulfilled. Suppose the Government give you all you need, where are the men who are able to keep up the things demanded? So, *make men* first. *Men* we want, and how can men be made unless the *Shraddhâ* is there?

Q.—But such is not the view of the majority, sir.

Swamiji :—What you call majority, is mainly composed of fools and men of common intellect. Men who have brains to think for themselves are few, everywhere. These few men with brains are the real leaders in everything and in every department of work; the majority are guided by them as with a string, and that is good, for everything goes all right when they follow in the footsteps of these leaders. Those are only fools who think themselves too high to bend their heads to anyone, and they bring on their own ruin

by acting on their own judgment. You talk of social reform? But what do you do? All that you mean by your social reform is either widow-remarriage or female-emancipation, or something of that sort. Do you not? And these again are directed within the confines of a few of the castes only. Such a scheme of reform may do good to a few no doubt, but of what avail is that to the whole nation? Is that reform, or only a form of selfishness?—to somehow cleanse their own room and keep it tidy and let others go from bad to worse!

Q.—Then, you mean to say, that there is no need of social reform at all?

Swamiji:—Who says so? Of course, there is need of it. Most of what you talk of as social reform does not touch the poor masses; they have already those things—the widow-remarriage, female-emancipation, etc.,—which you cry for. For this reason they will not think of those things as reforms at all. What I mean to say is, that want of *Shraddhâ* has brought in all the evils among us, and is bringing in more and more. My method of treatment is to take out by the roots the very causes of the disease and not to keep them merely suppressed. Reforms we should have in many ways; who will be so foolish as to deny it? There is, for example, a good reason for intermarriage in India, in the absence of which the race is becoming physically weaker day by day.

It being the day of a solar eclipse, the gentleman who was asking the above questions, saluted Swamiji and left saying, "I must go now for a bath in the Ganges. I shall, however, come another day."

III

RECONCILIATION OF JNANA YOGA AND BHAKTI YOGA——GOD IN GOOD, AND IN EVIL TOO——USE MAKES A THING GOOD OR EVIL——KARMA——CREATION——GOD——MAYA.

[*Sri Surendra Nath Sen,—from private diary.*]

SUNDAY, *the 23rd. January, 1898.*

It was the evening and the occasion of the weekly meeting of the Ramakrishna Mission, at the house of Balaram Babu of Baghbazar. Swami Turiyananda, Swami Yogananda, Swami Premananda and others had come from the Math. Swamiji was seated in the verandah to the east, which was now full of people, as were the northern and the southern section of the verandah. But such used to be the case every day when Swamiji stayed in Calcutta.

Many of the people who came to the meeting had heard that Swamiji could sing very nicely, and so were desirous of hearing him. Knowing this, Master Mahashaya (M.) whispered to a few gentlemen near him to request Swamiji to do so; but he being close by saw through their intention and playfully asked, "Master Mahashaya, what are you talking about among yourselves in whispers? Do speak out." At the request of Master Mahashaya, Swamiji now began in his charming voice the song—"Keep with loving care the darling Mother Shyâmâ in thy heart....." It seemed as if a *Vinâ* was playing. At its close, he said to Master Mahashaya, "Well, are you now satisfied? But no more singing! Otherwise, being in the swing of it, I shall be carried away by its intoxication. Moreover, my voice is now spoilt by frequent lecturing in the West. My voice shakes very much." * * *

Swamiji then asked one of his Brahmacharin disciples to lecture on "The Real Nature of Mukti." So, the Brahmacharin stood up and spoke at some length. A few

others followed him. Swamiji then invited discussion on the subject of the discourse, and called upon one of his householder disciples to lead it ; but as the latter tried to advocate the Advaita and Jnanam and assign a lower place to Dualism and Bhakti, he met with a protest from one of the audience. As each of the two opponents tried to establish his own view-point, a lively word-fight ensued. Swamiji watched them for a while, but seeing that they were getting excited, silenced them with the following words :—

Swamiji :—Why do you get excited in argument and spoil everything? Listen! Sri Ramakrishna used to say, that pure Knowledge and pure Bhakti are one and the same. According to the doctrine of Bhakti, God is held to be 'All-Love.' One cannot even say, 'I love Him,' for the reason that He is All-Love. There is no love outside of Himself ; the love that is in the heart with which you love Him, is even He Himself. In a similar way, whatever attractions or inclinations one feels drawn by, are all He Himself. The thief steals, the harlot sells her body to prostitution, the mother loves her child—in each of these too is He! One world system attracts another—there also is He. Everywhere is He. According to the doctrine of Jnanam also, He is realised by one everywhere. Here lies the reconciliation of Jnanam and Bhakti. When one is immersed in the highest ecstasy of Divine Vision (*Bháva*), or is in the state of Samadhi, then alone the idea of duality ceases and the distinction between the devotee and his God vanishes. In the scriptures on Bhakti, five different paths of relationship are mentioned, by any of which one can attain to God ; but another one can very well be added to them, viz.,—the path of meditation on the non-separateness, or oneness with God. Thus the Bhaktas can call the Advaitins, Bhaktas as well, but of the non-differentiating type. As long as one is within the region of Maya, so long the idea of duality will no doubt

remain. Space-time-causation or name-and-form is what is called Maya. When one goes beyond this Maya then only the Oneness is realised, and then man is neither a Dualist nor an Advaitist,—to him all is One. All this difference that you notice between a Bhakta and a Jnanin is in the preparatory stage, —one sees God outside, and the other sees Him within. But there is another point: Sri Ramakrishna used to say, that there is another stage of Bhakti which is called the Supreme Devotion (Parâbhakti), i. e., to love Him after becoming established in the consciousness of Advaita, and after having attained Mukti. It may seem paradoxical, and the question may be raised here,—why such a one who has already attained Mukti, should be desirous of retaining the spirit of Bhakti in him? The answer is,— the Mukta or the Free is beyond all law; no law applies in his case, and hence no question can be asked regarding him. Even becoming Mukta, some, out of their own free will, retain Bhakti to taste of its sweetness.

Q.—God may be in the love of the mother for her child, but, Sir, this idea is really perplexing that God is even in the thieves and the harlots in the form of their natural inclinations to sin! It follows then, that God is as responsible for the sin as for all the virtue in this world.

Swamiji:—That consciousness comes in a stage of highest realisation, when one sees that whatever is of the nature of love or attraction is God. But one has to reach that state to see and realise that idea for himself in actual life.

Q.—But still one has to admit that God is also in the sin!

Swamiji:—You see, there are, in reality, no such different things as good and evil. They are mere conventional terms. The same thing we call bad, and again another time we call good, according to the way we make use of it. Take for example this lamp light; because of

its burning we are able to see and do various works of utility; this is one mode of using the light. Again, if you put your fingers in it, they will be burnt; that is another mode of using the same light. So we should know that a thing becomes good or bad according to the way we use it. Similarly with virtue and vice. Broadly speaking, the proper use of any of the faculties of our mind and body is termed virtue, and its improper application or waste is called vice.

Thus questions after questions were put and answered. Someone remarked, "The theory that God is even there, where one heavenly body attracts another, may or may not be true as a fact, but there is no denying the exceeding poetry the idea conveys."

Swamiji :—No, my dear sir, that is not poetry. One can see for oneself its truth when one attains Knowledge.

From what Swamiji further said on this point, I understood him to mean that matter and spirit, though to all appearances they seem to be two distinct things, are really two different forms of one substance; and similarly, all the different forces that are known to us, whether in the material or in the internal world, are but varying forms of the manifestation of one Force. We call a thing matter, where that spirit force is manifested less; and living, where it shows itself more; otherwise there is no such thing which is absolutely matter, at all times and in all conditions. The same Force which presents itself in the material world as attraction or gravitation, is felt in its finer and subtler state, as love and the like, in the higher spiritual stages of realisation.

Q.—Why should there be even this difference relating to individual use? Why should there be at all this tendency in man to make bad or improper use of any of his faculties?

Swamiji :—That tendency comes as a result of one's own past actions (Karma); everything one has, is of his

own doing. Hence it follows that it is solely in the hands of every individual to control his tendencies and to guide them properly.

Q.—Even if everything is the result of our karma, still it must have had a beginning, and why should our tendencies have been good or bad at the beginning?

Swamiji :—How do you know that there is a beginning? The *Srishti* (Creation) is without beginning—this is the doctrine of the Vedas. So long as there is God, there is Creation as well.

Q.—Well, Sir, why is this Maya here and whence has it come?

Swamiji :—It is a mistake to ask 'why,' with respect to God; we can only do so regarding one who has wants or imperfections. How can there be any 'why' concerning Him who has no wants, and who is the One Whole? No such question as 'whence has Maya come' can be asked. Time-space-causation is what is called Maya. You, I and everyone else are within this Maya, and you are asking about what is beyond Maya! How can you do so while living within Maya?

Again, many questions followed. The conversation turned on the philosophies of Mill, Hamilton, Herbert Spencer, etc., and Swamiji dwelt on them to the satisfaction of all. Everyone wondered at the vastness of his Western philosophical scholarship and the promptness of his replies.

The meeting dispersed after a short conversation on miscellaneous subjects.

IV

Intermarriage among subdivisions of a Varna——Against early Marriage——The Education that India needs——Brahmacharyam.

[*Sri Surendra Nath Sen,—from private diary.*]

MONDAY, *the 24th. January, 1898.*

The same gentleman who was asking questions of Swamiji on Saturday last, has come. He raised again the topic on intermarriage and enquired, "How should intermarriage be introduced between different nationalities?"

Swamiji:—I do not advise our intermarriage with nations professing an alien religion. At least for the present, that will, of a certainty, slacken the ties of society and be a cause of manifold mischief. It is the intermarriage between people of the same religion that I advocate.

Q.—Even then, it will involve much perplexity. Suppose, I have a daughter who is born and brought up in Bengal, and I marry her to a Mahratti or a Madrasi. Neither will the girl understand her husband's language, nor the husband the girl's. Again, the difference in their individual habits and customs is so great. Such are a few of the troubles in the case of the married couple. Then as regards society, it will make confusion worse confounded.

Swamiji:—The time is yet very long in coming when marriages of that kind will be widely possible. Besides, it is not judicious now to go in for that all of a sudden. One of the secrets of work is to go by the way of the least possible resistance. So, first of all, let there be marriages within the sphere of one's own caste-people. Take for instance, the Kayasthas of Bengal. They have several subdivisions amongst them, such as, the *Uttar-rarhi, Dakshin-rarhi, Bangaja,* etc., and they do not inter-

marry with each other. Now, let there be intermarriages between the *Uttar-rarhis* and the *Dakshin-rarhis,* and if that is not possible at present, let it be between the *Bangajas* and the *Dakshin-rarhis*. Thus we are to build up that which is already existing, and which is in our hands to reduce into practice—reform does not mean wholesale breaking down.

Q.—Very well, let it be as you say; but what corresponding good can come of it?

Swamiji :—Don't you see, how in our society, marriage being restricted for several hundreds of years within the same subdivisions of each caste, has come to such a pass nowadays as to virtually mean marital alliance between cousins and near relations; and how for this very reason the race is getting deteriorated physically, and consequently all sorts of disease and other evils are finding a ready entrance into it? The blood having had to circulate within the narrow circle of a limited number of individuals, has become vitiated; so the new-born children inherit from their very birth the constitutional diseases of their fathers. Thus, born with a poor blood, their bodies have very little power to resist the microbes of any disease, which are ever ready to prey upon them. It is only by widening the circle of marriage that we can infuse a new and a different kind of blood into our progeny, so that they may be saved from the clutches of the many of our present-day diseases and other consequent evils.

Q.—May I ask you, Sir, what is your opinion about early marriage?

Swamiji :—Amongst the educated classes in Bengal, the custom of marrying their boys too early is dying out gradually. The girls are also given in marriage a year or two older than before, but that has been under compulsion,—from pecuniary want. Whatever might be the reason for it, the age of marrying girls should be raised still higher. But what will the poor father do? As soon

as the girl grows up a little, every one of the female sex beginning from the mother down to the relatives and neighbours even, will begin to cry out that he must find a bridegroom for her, and will not leave him in peace until he does so! And, about your religious hypocrites, the less said the better. In these days no one hears them, but still they will take up the role of leaders themselves. The rulers passed the Age of Consent Bill prohibiting a man, under the threat of penalty, to live with a girl of twelve years, and at once all these so-called leaders of your religion raised a tremendous hue and cry against it, sounding the alarm, "Alas, our religion is lost!" As if religion consists in making a girl a mother at the age of twelve or thirteen! So the rulers also naturally think, "Goodness gracious! What a religion is theirs! And these people lead political agitations and demand political rights!"

Q.—Then, in your opinion, both men and women should be married at an advanced age?

Swamiji:—Certainly. But education should be imparted along with it, otherwise, irregularity and corruption will ensue. By education I do not mean the present system, but something in the line of positive teaching. Mere book-learning won't do. We want that education by which character is formed, strength of mind is increased, the intellect is expanded, and by which one can stand on his own feet.

Q.—We have to reform our women in many ways.

Swamiji:—With such an education women will solve their own problems. They have all the time been trained in helplessness, servile dependence on others, and so they are good only to weep their eyes out at the slightest approach of a mishap or danger. Along with other things they should acquire the spirit of valour and heroism. In the present day it has become necessary for them also to

learn self-defence. See, how grand was the Queen of Jhansi!

Q.—What you advise is quite a new departure, and it will, I am afraid, take a very long time yet to train our women in that way.

Swamiji:—Anyhow, we have to try our best. We have not only to teach them but to teach ourselves also. Mere begetting children does not make a father, a great many responsibilities have to be taken upon his shoulders as well. To make a beginning in woman's education: our Hindu women easily understand what chastity means, because it is their heritage. Now, first of all, intensify that ideal within them above everything else, so that they may develop a strong character by the force of which, in every stage of their lives, whether married, or single if they prefer to remain so, they will not be in the least afraid even to give up their lives rather than flinch an inch from their chastity. Is it little heroism to be able to sacrifice one's life for the sake of one's ideal, whatever that ideal may be? Studying the present needs of the age, it seems imperative to train some of them up in the ideals of renunciation, so that they will take up the vow of lifelong virginity, fired with the strength of that virtue of chastity which is innate in their life-blood, from hoary antiquity. Along with that they should be taught sciences and other things which would be of benefit, not only to them but to others as well, and knowing this they would easily learn these things and feel pleasure in doing so. Our motherland requires for her well-being some of her children to become such pure-souled Brahmacharins and Brahmacharinis.

Q.—In what way will that conduce to her well-being?

Swamiji:—By their example and through their endeavours to hold the national ideal before the eyes of the people, a revolution in thoughts and aspirations will take place. How do matters stand now? Somehow, the

parents must dispose of a girl in marriage, if she be nine or ten years of age! and what a rejoicing of the whole family if a child is born to her at the age of thirteen! If the trend of such ideas is reversed, then there is some hope for the ancient *Shraddhâ* to return. And what to talk of those who will practise Brahmacharya as defined above—think how much *Shraddhâ* and faith in themselves will be theirs! And what a power for good will they be!

The questioner now saluted Swamiji and was ready to take leave. Swamiji asked him to come now and then. "Certainly, Sir," replied the gentleman. "I feel so much benefited, I have heard many new things from you, which I have not been told anywhere before." I also went home, as it was about time for dinner.

V

MADHURA-BHAVA——PREMA——NAMAKIRTANA——ITS DANGER——BHAKTI TEMPERED WITH JNANA——A CURIOUS DREAM.

[*Sri Surendra Nath Sen,—from private diary.*]

MONDAY, *the 24th. January, 1898.*

In the afternoon I came again to Swamiji and saw quite a good gathering round him. The topic was the *Madhura-Bhâva* or the way of worshipping God as husband, as in vogue with the followers of Sri Chaitanya. His occasional bon-mots were raising laughter, when someone remarked, "What is there to make so much fun of about the Lord's doings? Do you think that He was not a great Saint, and that He did not do everything for the good of humanity?"

Swamiji:—Who is that! Should I go to poke fun at *you* then, my dear sir! You only see the fun of it! do

you? And you, sir, do not see the life-long struggle through which I have passed to mould this life after His burning ideal of renunciation of wealth and lust, and my endeavours to infuse that ideal into the people at large! Sri Chaitanya was a man of tremendous renunciation and had nothing to do with woman and carnal appetites. But, in later times, His disciples admitted women into their order, mixed indiscriminately with them in His name, and made an awful mess of the whole thing. And the ideal of love which the Bhagavân exemplified in His life was perfectly selfless and bereft of any vestige of lust; that sexless love can never be the property of the masses. But the subsequent Vaishnava Gurus, instead of laying particular stress first on the aspect of renunciation in the Master's life, bestowed all their zeal in preaching and infusing His ideal of love among the masses, and the consequence was that the common people could not grasp and assimilate that high ideal of divine love, and naturally made of it the worst form of love between a man and woman.

Q.—But, Sir, He preached the name of the Lord Hari to all, even to the Chandâlas; so why should not the common masses have a right to it?

Swamiji:—I am talking not of His preaching, but of His great ideal of love,—the Radha-prema,* with which He used to remain intoxicated day and night, losing His individuality in Radha.

Q. Why may not that be made the common property of all?

Swamiji:—Look at this nation and see what has been the outcome of such an attempt. Through the preaching of that love broadcast, the whole nation has become effeminate,—a race of women! The whole of Orissa has

*The Divine Love which Radha had towards Sri Krishna as the Lord of the Universe.

been turned into a land of cowards; and Bengal, running after the making of that Radha-prema common to all, these past four hundred years, has almost lost all sense of manliness! The people are very good at crying and weeping only; that has become their national trait. Look at their literature, the sure index of a nation's thoughts and ideas. Why, the refrain of the Bengali literature for these four hundred years is strung to that same tune of moaning and crying. It has failed to give birth to any poetry which breathes a true heroic spirit!

Q.—Who are then truly entitled to possess that Prema (love)?

Swamiji :—There can be no love so long as there is lust —even a speck of it, as it were, in the heart. None but men of great renunciation, none but mighty giants among men have a right to that Love Divine. If that highest ideal of love is held out to be taken up by the common masses, it will indirectly tend to stimulate its worldly prototype which dominates the heart of man—for, meditating on love to God by thinking oneself as His wife or inamorata, he would very likely be thinking most of the time of his wife, —the result is too obvious to point out.

Q.—Then is it impossible for householders to realise God through that path of love, i. e., worshipping God as one's husband or lover and considering oneself as His spouse?

Swamiji :—With a few exceptions; for ordinary householders it is so, no doubt of that. And why lay so much stress on this delicate path, above all others? Are there no other relationships by which to worship God, except this Madhura idea of love? Why not follow the four other paths, and take the name of the Lord with all your heart? Let the heart be opened first, and all else will follow of itself. But know this for certain, that Prema cannot come while there is lust. Why not try first to get rid of carnal desires? You will say,—"How is that pos-

sible?—I am a householder." Nonsense! Because one is a householder, does it mean that one should be a personification of incontinence, or has to live *maritalement* all his life? And, after all, how unbecoming of a man to make of himself a woman, so that he may practise this Madhura love!

Q.—True, Sir. Singing God's name in a party (*Nâmakirtana*) is an excellent help, and so pleasing withal. So say our scriptures, and so did Sri Chaitanya Deva also preach it to the masses. When the Khole (drum) is played upon, it makes the heart leap with such a transport that one feels inclined to dance.

Swamiji:—That is all right, but don't you think that *Kirtana* means dancing only. It means singing the glories of God, in whatever way that may be. That vehement stirring up of feeling and that dancing of the Vaishnavas are good and very catching no doubt, but there is also a danger in following them, from which you must save yourself. The danger lies here,—in the reaction. As on the one hand, the feelings are at once roused to the highest pitch, tears flow from the eyes, the head reels as it were under intoxication,—so on the other hand, as soon as the *Sankirtana* stops, that mass of feeling sinks down as precipitately as it rose. The higher the wave rises on the ocean, the lower it falls, with equal force. It is very difficult at that stage to contain oneself against the shock of reaction; unless one has proper discrimination, one is likely to succumb to the lower propensities of lust, etc. I have noticed the same thing in America also. Many would go to church, pray with much devotion, sing with great feeling, and even burst into tears when hearing the sermons; but after coming out of church, they would have a great reaction, and succumb to carnal tendencies.

Q.—Then, Sir, do instruct us which of the ideas preached by Sri Chaitanya we should take up as well-suited to us, so that we may not fall into errors?

Swamiji :—Worship God with Bhakti tempered with Jnana. Keep the spirit of discrimination along with Bhakti. Besides this, gather from Sri Chaitanya, His heart, His loving-kindness to all beings, His burning passion for God, and make His renunciation the ideal of your life.

The questioner now addressed the Swamiji with folded hands : "I beg your pardon, Sir. Now I come to see you are right. I could not at first understand the drift of your remarks, hence I took exception to them, seeing you criticise in a playful mood the Madhura love of the Vaishnavas."

Swamiji :—(Laughing and resuming his playful mood again) Well, see here, if we are to criticise at all, it is better to criticise God or God-men. If you abuse me I shall very likely get angry with you, and if I abuse you, you will try to retaliate. Isn't it so? But God or God-men will never return evil for evil.

The gentleman now left, after bowing down at the feet of Swamiji. I have already said, that such a gathering was an everyday occurrence when Swamiji used to stay in Calcutta. From early in the morning till eight or nine at night, men would flock to him at every hour of the day. This naturally occasioned much irregularity in the time of his taking his meals; so, many desiring to put a stop to this state of things, strongly advised Swamiji not to receive visitors except at appointed hours. But the loving heart of Swamiji, ever ready to go to any length to help others, was so melted with compassion at the sight of such a thirst for religion in the people, that in spite of ill health he did not comply with any request of the kind. His only reply was, "They take so much trouble to come walking all the way from their homes, and can I, for the consideration of risking my health a little, sit here and not speak a few words to them?"

At about 4 P.M. the general conversation came to a close, and the gathering dispersed, except for a few

gentlemen with whom Swamiji continued his talk on different subjects, such as England and America, and so on. In the course of conversation he said :—

"I had a curious dream on my return voyage from England. While our ship was passing through the Mediterranean Sea, in my sleep, a very old and venerable-looking person, Rishi-like in appearance, stood before me and said, 'Do ye come and effect our restoration. I am one of that ancient order of Theraputtas which had its origin in the teachings of the Indian Rishis. The truths and ideals preached by us have been given out by Christians as taught by Jesus; or for the matter of that, there was no such personality of the name of Jesus ever born. Various evidences testifying to this fact will be brought to light by excavating here.' 'By excavating which place can those proofs and relics you speak of be found?' I asked. The hoary-headed one, pointing to a locality in the vicinity of Turkey, said, 'See here.' Immediately after, I woke up, and at once rushed to the upper deck and asked the Captain, 'What neighbourhood is the ship in, just now?' 'Look yonder,' the Captain replied, 'there is Turkey and the Island of Crete.' "

Was it but a dream, or is there anything in the above vision? Who knows!

VI

REMINISCENCES——THE PROBLEM OF FAMINES IN INDIA, AND SELF-SACRIFICING WORKERS——EAST AND WEST——IS IT SATTVA OR TAMAS——A NATION OF MENDICANTS——THE "GIVE AND TAKE" POLICY——POINT OUT ONE'S DEFECTS DIRECTLY, AND PRAISE ONE'S VIRTUES BEFORE OTHERS—— VIVEKANANDA EVERYONE MAY BECOME——UNBROKEN BRAHMACHARYA IS THE SECRET OF POWER—— SAMADHI AND WORK.

[*Sri Priya Nath Sinha.*]

Our house was very close to Swamiji's, and being boys of the same section of the town, I and other boys often used to play with him. From my boyhood I had a special attraction for him, and I had a sincere belief that he would become a great man. When he became a Sannyâsin we thought that the promise of a brilliant career for such a man was all in vain.

Afterwards, when he went to America, I read in newspapers reports of his lectures at the Chicago Parliament of Religions and of others delivered in various places of America, and I thought that fire can never remain hidden under a cloth ; the fire that was within Swamiji has now burst into a flame; the bud after so many years has blossomed.

After a time I came to know that he had returned to India, and had been delivering fiery lectures at Madras. I read them and wondered that such sublime truths existed in the Hindu religion and that they could be explained so lucidly. What an extraordinary power he has! Is he a man or a god?

A great enthusiasm prevailed when Swamiji came to Calcutta, and we followed him to the Sil's garden-house, on the Ganges, at Cossipore. A few days later, at the residence of Raja Radhakanta Dev, the 'Calcutta boy'

delivered an inspiring lecture to a huge concourse of people in reply to an address of welcome, and Calcutta heard him for the first time and was lost in admiration. But these are facts known to all.

After his coming to Calcutta, I was very anxious to see him once alone and be able to talk freely with him as in our boyhood. But there was always a gathering of eager inquirers about him, and conversations were going on without a break; so I did not get an opportunity for some time until one day when we went for a walk in the garden on the Ganges side. He at once began to talk, as of old, to me, the playmate of his boyhood. No sooner had a few words passed between us than repeated calls came, informing him that many gentlemen had come to see him. He became a little impatient at last and told the messenger, "Give me a little respite, my son; let me speak a few words with this companion of my boyhood; let me stay in the open air for a while. Go and give a welcome to those who have come, ask them to sit down, offer them tobacco and request them to wait a little."

When we were alone again I asked him, "Well, Swamiji, you are a Sâdhu. Money was raised by subscription for your reception here, and I thought, in view of the famine in this country, that you would wire, before arriving in Calcutta, saying, 'Don't spend a single pice on my reception, rather contribute the whole sum to the famine relief fund'; but I found that you did nothing of the kind. How was that?"

Swamiji :—Why, I wished rather that a great enthusiasm should be stirred up, making me its centre. Don't you see, without some such thing how would the people be drawn towards Sri Ramakrishna and be fired in his name? Was this ovation done for me personally, or was not his name glorified by this? See, how much thirst has been created in the minds of men to know about him! From this time they will come to know of him

gradually, and will not that be conducive to the good of the country? If the people do not know him who came for the welfare of the country, how can good befall them? When they know what he really was, then MEN—real men—will be made, and when there be such MEN, how long will it take to drive away famines, etc., from the land? So I say, that I rather desired that there should be some bustle and stir in Calcutta, and a huge meeting be convened, making me its centre, so that the public may be inclined to believe in the mission of Sri Ramakrishna; otherwise what was the use of making so much fuss for my sake? What do I care for it? Have I become any greater now than when I used to play with you at your house? I am the same now as I was before. Tell me, do you find any change in me?

Though I said, "No, I do not find much change to speak of," yet in my mind I thought, "You have now, indeed, become a god."

Swamiji continued: "Famine has come to be a constant quantity in our country, and now it is, as it were, a sort of blight upon us. Do you find in any other country such frequent ravages of famine? No, because there are *men* in other countries, while in ours, men have become akin to dead matter, quite inert. Let the people first learn to renounce their selfish nature by studying him, by knowing him as he really was, and then will proceed from them real efforts trying to stop the frequently recurring famines. By and by I shall make efforts in that direction too, you will see."

Myself:—That will be good. Then you are going to deliver many lectures here, I presume; otherwise, how will his name be preached?

Swamiji:—What nonsense! Nothing of the kind! Has anything been left undone by which his name can be known? Enough has been done in that line. Lectures won't do any good in this country. Our educated

countrymen would hear them, and at best, would cheer and clap their hands saying 'well-done'; that is all. Then they would go home and digest, as we say, everything they have heard, with their meal! What good will hammering do on a piece of rusty old iron? It will only crumble into pieces. First, it should be made red-hot and then it can be moulded into any shape by hammering. Nothing will avail in our country without setting a glowing and living example before them. What we want are some young men who will renounce everything and sacrifice their lives for their country's sake. We should first form their lives and then some real work can be expected.

Myself:—Well, Swamiji, it has always puzzled me that, while men of our country, unable to understand their own religion, were embracing alien religions, such as Christianity, Mahommedanism, etc., you, instead of doing anything for them, went over to England and America to preach Hinduism.

Swamiji:—Don't you see, the thing is, that circumstances have changed now. Have the men of our country the power left in them to take up and practise true religion? What they have is only pride in themselves that they are very Sâttvika. Time was when they were Sâttvika, no doubt, but now they have fallen very low. The fall from Sattva brings one down headlong into Tamas! That is what has happened to them. Do you think that one who does not exert himself at all, who only takes the name of Hari, shutting himself up in a room, who remains quiet and indifferent even when seeing a huge amount of wrong and violence done to others before his very eyes, possesses the quality of Sattva? Nothing of the kind, he is only enshrouded in dark Tamas. How can the people of a country practise religion, who do not get even sufficient food to appease their hunger? How can renunciation come to the people of a country, in whose minds the desires of *Bhoga* (enjoyment) have not been in the least

satisfied? For this reason, find out, first of all, the ways and means by which men may get enough to eat, and have enough luxuries to enable them enjoy life a little; and then gradually, true Vairâgyam (dispassion) will come, and they will be fit and ready to realise religion in life. The people of England and America, how full of Rajas they are! They have become satiated with all sorts of worldly Bhogas. Moreover, Christianity being a religion of faith, and superstitious, occupies the same rank as our religion of the Puranas. With the spread of education and culture, the people of the West can no more find peace in that. Their present condition is such that, giving them one lift will make them reach the Sattva. Then again, in these days, would you accept the words of a Sannyâsin clad in rags, in the same degree as you would the words of a white-face (Westerner), who might come and speak to you on your own religion?

Myself :—Just so, Mahârâj! Mr. N. N. Ghose* also speaks exactly to the same effect.

Swamiji :—Yes, when my Western disciples after acquiring proper training and illumination will come in numbers here and ask you, "What are you all doing? why are you of so little faith? how are your rites and religion, manners, customs and morals in any way inferior? and we even regard your religion to be the highest,"—then, you will see that lots of our big and influential folks will hear them. Thus they will be able to do immense good to this country. Do not think for a moment that they will come to take up the position of teachers of religion to you. They will, no doubt, be your Guru regarding practical sciences, etc., for the improvement of material conditions, and the people of our country will be their Guru in everything pertaining to religion. This relation of Guru and disciple in

*A celebrated barrister, journalist and educationist of Calcutta, since dead.

the domain of religion will for ever exist between India and the rest of the world.

Myself :—How can that be, Swamiji? Considering the feeling of hatred with which they look upon us, it does not seem probable that they will ever do good to us, purely from an unselfish motive.

Swamiji :—They find many reasons to hate us, and so they may justify themselves in doing so. In the first place, we are a conquered race, and moreover there is nowhere in the world such a nation of mendicants as we are! The masses which comprise the lowest castes, through ages of constant tyranny of the higher castes and by being treated by them with blows and kicks at every step they took, have totally lost their manliness and become like professional beggars; and those who are removed one stage higher than these, having read a few pages of English, hang about on the thresholds of public offices, with petitions in their hands. In the case of a post of twenty or thirty rupees falling vacant, five hundred B. A.'s and M. A.'s will apply for it! And, dear me! how curiously worded these petitions are!—such as—"I have nothing to eat at home, Sir, my wife and children are starving; I most humbly implore you, Sir, to give me some means to provide for myself and my family, or we shall die of starvation!" Even when they enter into service, they cast all self-respect to the winds, and servitude in its worst form is what they practise. Such is the condition, then, of the masses. The highly-educated, prominent men among you, form themselves into societies and clamour at the top of their voices, "Alas, India is going to ruin, day by day! O English rulers, admit our countrymen to the higher offices of the State, relieve us from famines," and so on, thus rending the air, day and night, with the eternal cry of "Give" and "Give"! The burden of all their speech is, "Give to us, give more to us, O Englishmen!" Dear me! what more will they give to you? They have given railways, tele-

graphs, well-ordered administration to the country—have almost entirely suppressed robbers, have given education in science,—what more will they give? What does anyone give to others with perfect unselfishness? Well, they have given you so much; let me ask, what have you given to them in return?

Myself:—What have we to give, Mahârâj? We pay taxes.

Swamiji:—Do you, really? Do you give taxes to them of your own will, or do they exact them by compulsion because they keep peace in the country? Tell me plainly, what do you give them in return for all that they have done for you? You also have something to give them that they have not. You go to England, but that is also in the garb of a beggar,—praying for education. Some go and what they do there at the most, is, perchance, to applaud the Westerner's religion in some speeches and then come back;—what an achievement, indeed! Why! Have you nothing to give them? An inestimable treasure you have, which you can give,—give them your religion, give them your philosophy! Study the history of the whole world and you will see, that every high ideal you meet with anywhere had its origin in India. From time immemorial India has been the mine of precious ideas to human society; giving birth to high ideas herself, she has freely distributed them broadcast over the whole world. The English are in India to-day, to gather those higher ideals, to acquire a knowledge of the Vedanta, to penetrate into the deep mysteries of that eternal religion which is yours. Give those invaluable gems in exchange for what you receive from them. The Lord took me to their country to remove this opprobrium of the beggar that is attributed by them to us. It is not right to go to England for the purpose of begging only. Why should they always give us alms? Does anyone do so for ever? It is not the law of nature to be always taking gifts with outstretched

hands like beggars. To give and take is the law of nature. Any individual or class or nation that does not obey this law, never prospers in life. We also must follow that law. That is why I went to America. So great is now the thirst for religion in the people there, that there is room enough even if thoussands of men like me go. They have been for a long time giving you of what wealth they possess, and now is the time for you to share your priceless treasure with them. And you will see how their feelings of hatred will be quickly replaced by those of faith, devotion and reverence towards you, and how they will do good to your country even unasked. They are a nation of heroes,—never do they forget any good done to them.

Myself :—Well, Mahârâj, in your lectures in the West you have frequently and eloquently dwelt on our characteristic talents and virtues, and many convincing proofs you have put forward to show our whole-souled love of religion; but now you say that we have become full of Tamas; and at the same time you are accrediting us as the teachers of the eternal religion of the Rishis, to the world! How is that?

Swamiji :—Do you mean to say, that I should go about from country to country, expatiating on your failings before the public? Should I not rather hold up before them the characteristic virtues that mark you as a nation? It is always good to tell a man his defects in a direct way and in a friendly spirit to make him convinced of them, so that he may correct himself,—but you should trumpet forth his virtues before others. Sri Ramakrishna used to say that if you repeatedly tell a bad man that he is good, he turns in time to be good; similarly, a good man becomes bad if he is incessantly called so. There, in the West, I have said enough to the people of their shortcomings. Mind, up to my time, all who went over to the West from our country, have sung pæans to them in praise of their virtues and have trumpeted out only our blemishes to their ears.

Consequently, it is no wonder that they have learnt to hate us. For this reason I have laid before them your virtues, and pointed out to them their vices, just as I am now telling you of your weaknesses and their good points. However full of Tamas you might have become, something of the nature of the ancient Rishis, however little it may be, is undoubtedly in you still—at least the framework of it. But that does not show that one should be in a hurry to take up at once the role of a teacher of religion and go over to the West to preach it. First of all, one must completely mould his religious life in solitude, must be perfect in renunciation and must preserve Brahmacharya without a break. The Tamas has entered into you,—what of that? Cannot the Tamas be destroyed? It can be done in less than no time! It was for the destruction of this Tamas that Bhagavan Sri Ramakrishna came to us.

Myself :—But who can aspire to be like you, Swamiji?

Swamiji :—Do you think that there will be no more Vivekanandas after I die! That batch of young men who came and played music before me a little while ago, whom you all despise for being addicted to intoxicating drugs and look upon as worthless fellows, if the Lord wishes, each and everyone of them may become a Vivekananda! There will be no lack of Vivekanandas, if the world needs them,—thousands and millions of Vivekanandas will appear—from where, who knows! Know for certain, that the work done by me is not the work of Vivekananda, it is His work,—the Lord's own work! If one Governor-General retires, another is sure to be sent in his place by the Emperor. Enveloped in Tamas however much you may be, know, all that will clear away if you take refuge in Him by being sincere to the core of your heart. The time is opportune now, as the physician of the world-disease has come. Taking His name if you set yourself to work, He will accomplish everything Himself through

you. Tamas itself will be transformed into the highest Sattva!

Myself :—Whatever you may say, I cannot bring myself to believe in these words. Who can come by that oratorical power of expounding philosophy, as you have?

Swamiji :—You don't know! That power may come to all. That power comes to him who observes unbroken Brahmacharya for a period of twelve years, with the sole object of realising God. I have practised that kind of Brahmacharya myself, and so a screen has been removed, as it were, from my brain. For that reason, I need not any more think over or prepare myself for any lectures on such a subtle subject as philosophy. Suppose, I have to lecture to-morrow; it so happens, all that I shall speak about will pass to-night before my eyes like so many pictures; and the next day I put into words during my lecture all those things that I saw. So, you will understand now, that it is not any power which is exclusively my own. Whoever will practise unbroken Brahmacharya for twelve years, will surely have it. If you do so, you too will get it. Our Shâstras do not say that only such and such a person will get it and not others!

Myself :—Do you remember, Mahârâj, one day, before you took Sannyâsa, we were sitting in the house of——, and you were trying to explain the mystery of Samâdhi to us. And when I called in question the truth of your words, saying that Samâdhi was not possible in this Kali Yuga, you emphatically demanded: "Do you want to see Samâdhi or to have it yourself? I get Samâdhi myself, and I can make you have it!" No sooner had you finished saying so than a stranger came up and we did not pursue that subject any further.

Swamiji :—Yes, I remember the occasion.

Later, on my pressing him to make me get Samâdhi, he said, "You see, having continually lectured and worked hard for several years, the quality of Rajas has become too

predominant in me. Hence, that power is lying covered, as it were, in me now. If I leave all work and go to the Himalayas and meditate in solitude for some time, then that power will again come out in me."

VII

REMINISCENCES——PRANAYAMA——THOUGHT-READING ——KNOWLEDGE OF PREVIOUS BIRTHS.

[*Sri Priya Nath Sinha.*]

A day or two later, as I was coming out of my house intending to pay a visit to Swamiji, I met two of my friends who expressed a wish to accompany me, as they wanted to ask Swamiji something about Prânâyâma. As I had heard, that one should not visit a temple or a Sannyâsin without taking something as an offering, we took some fruit and sweets with us, and placed them before him. Swamiji took them in his hands, raised them to his head, and bowed to us before even we made our obeisance to him. One of the two friends with me, had been a fellow-student of his. Swamiji recognised him at once and asked about his health and welfare. Then he made us sit down by him. There were many others there who had come to see and hear him. After replying to a few questions put by some of the gentlemen, Swamiji in the course of his conversation, began to speak about Prânâyâma. First of all he explained through modern science the origin of matter from the mind, and then went on to show what Prânâyâma is. All three of us had carefully read beforehand his book called, "Râja-Yoga." But from what we heard from him that day about Prânâyâma, it seemed to me that very little of the knowledge that was in him had been recorded in that book. I understood also that what

he said was not mere book-learning, for who could explain so lucidly and elaborately all the intricate problems of religion, even with the help of science, without himself realising the Truth?

His conversation on Prânâyâma went on from half past three o'clock till half past seven in the evening. When the meeting dissolved and we came away, my companions asked me, how Swamiji could have known the questions that were in their hearts, and whether I had communicated him their desire for asking those questions.

A few days after this occasion, I saw Swamiji in the house of the late Priya Nath Mukherjee, at Baghbazar. There were present Swami Brahmananda, Swami Yogananda, Mr. G. C. Ghose, Atul Babu and one or two other friends. I said :—"Well, Swamiji, the two gentlemen who went to see you the other day, wanted to ask you some questions about Prânâyâma, which had been raised in their minds by reading your book on Râja-Yoga, sometime before you returned to this country, and they had then told me of them. But that day, before they asked you anything about them you yourself raised those doubts that had occurred to them, and solved them! They were very much surprised, and inquired of me if I had let you know of their doubts beforehand." Swamiji replied: "Similar occurrences having come to pass many times in the West, people often used to ask me, 'How could you know the questions that were agitating my mind?' This knowledge does not happen to me so often, but with Sri Ramakrishna it was almost always there."

In this connection Atul Babu asked him,—"You have said in 'Râja-Yoga,' that one can come to know all about his previous births. Do you know them yourself?"

Swamiji :—Yes, I do.

Atul Babu :—What do you know, have you any objection to say?

Swamiji :—I can know them—I do know them—but I prefer not to say anything in detail.

VIII

The Art and Science of Music, Eastern and Western.

[Sri Priya Nath Sinha.]

It was an evening in July, 1898, at the Math, in Nilambar Mukerjee's garden-house, Belur. Swamiji with all his disciples had been meditating, and at its close came out and sat in one of the rooms. As it was raining hard and a cold wind blowing, he shut the door, and began to sing to the accompaniment of *Tânpurâ*. The singing being over, a long conversation on music followed. Swami Shivananda asked him : "What is Western music like?"

Swamiji :—Oh, it is very good; there is in it a perfection of harmony, which we have not attained. Only, to our untrained ears it does not sound well, hence we do not like it, and we think that the singers howl like jackals. I also had the same sort of impression, but when I began to listen to their music with attention and study it minutely, I came more and more to understand it and I was lost in admiration. Such is the case with every art. In glancing at a highly finished painting we cannot understand where its beauty lies. Moreover, unless the eye is, to a certain extent, trained, one cannot appreciate the subtle touches and blendings, the inner genius of a work of art. What real music we have, lies in *Kirtan* and *Dhrupad*, the rest has been spoiled by being modulated according to the Islamic methods. Do you think that singing the short and light airs of *Tappâ* songs in a nasal voice, and flitting like lightning from one note to another by fits and starts, are the best things in the world of music? Not so. Unless

each note is given full play in every scale, all the science of music is marred. In painting, by keeping in touch with Nature you can make it as artistic as you like; there is no harm in doing that, and the result will be nothing but good. Similarly, in music, you can display any amount of skill by keeping to science and it will be pleasing to the ear. The Mahommedans took up the different *Râgs* and *Râginis,* only after coming into India. But they put such a stamp of their own colouring on the art of *Tappâ* songs, that all the science in music was destroyed.

Q.—Why, Mahârâj? Who has not a liking for music in *Tappa*?

Swamiji:—The chirping of crickets sounds very good to some. The Santâls think their music also to be the best of all. You do not seem to understand that when one note comes upon another in such quick succession, it not only robs music of all grace but, on the other hand, creates discordance rather. Do not the permutation and combination of the seven keynotes form one or other of the different melodies of music, known as *Râgs* and *Râginis*? Now, in *Tappâ,* if one slurs over a whole melody (*Râg*) and creates a new tune, and over and above that, if the voice is raised to the highest pitch by tremulous modulation, say, how can its own *Râg* be kept intact? Again, the poetry of music is completely destroyed if there be in it such profuse use of light and short strains just for effect. To sing, by keeping to the idea meant to be conveyed by a song, had totally disappeared from our country when *Tappâs* came into vogue. Nowadays, it seems, it is reviving a little, with the improvement in theatres, but, on the other hand, all regard for *Râgs* and *Râginis* is being more and more flung to the winds.

Accordingly, to those who are past-masters in the art of singing *Dhrupad,* it is painful to hear *Tappâs*. But in our music, the cadence, or a duly regulated rise and fall of voice or sound, is very good. The French detected

and appreciated this trait first, and tried to adapt and introduce it in their music. After their doing this, the whole of Europe has now thoroughly mastered it.

Q.—Mahârâj, their music seems to be pre-eminently martial, whereas that element appears to be altogether absent in ours.

Swamiji :—Oh, no, we have it also. In martial tune, harmony is greatly needed. We sadly lack harmony, hence it does not show itself so much. Our music was improving well and steadily. But when the Mahommedans came, they took possession of it in such a way that the tree of music could grow no further. Their (Westerners') music is much advanced. They have the sentiment of pathos as well as of heroism in their music, which is as it should be. But our antique musical instrument made with the gourd has been no further improved.

Q.—Which of the *Râgs* and *Râginis* are martial in tune?

Swamiji :—Every *Râg* may be made martial, if it is set in harmony and the instruments tuned accordingly. Some of the *Râginis* can be done likewise.

The conversation was then closed, as it was time for supper. After supper, Swamiji enquired as to the sleeping arrangements for the guests who had come from Calcutta to the Math to pass the night, and he then retired to his bed-room.

IX

THE OLD INSTITUTION OF LIVING WITH THE GURU——THE PRESENT UNIVERSITY SYSTEM——LACK OF SHRADDHA——WE HAVE A NATIONAL HISTORY——WESTERN SCIENCE COUPLED WITH VEDANTA——THE SO-CALLED HIGHER EDUCATION——THE NEED OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION AND EDUCATION ON NATIONAL LINES——THE STORY OF SATYAKAMA——THE MERE BOOK-LEARNING, AND EDUCATION UNDER TYAGIS——SRI RAMAKRISHNA AND THE PANDITS——ESTABLISHMENT OF MATHS WITH SADHUS IN CHARGE OF COLLEGES——HOW TEXT-BOOKS FOR BOYS TO BE COMPILED——STOP EARLY MARRIAGE!——PLAN OF SENDING UNMARRIED GRADUATES TO JAPAN——THE SECRET OF JAPAN'S GREATNESS——ART, ASIATIC AND EUROPEAN——ART AND UTILITY——STYLES OF DRESS——THE FOOD QUESTION AND POVERTY.

[*Sri Priya Nath Sinha.*]

It was about two years after the new Math had been constructed and while all the Swamis were living there, that I came one morning to pay a visit to my Guru. Seeing me, Swamiji smiled and after inquiring of my welfare, etc., said, "You are going to stay to-day, are you not?"

"Certainly," I said, and after various inquiries I asked, "Well, Mahârâj, what is your idea of educating our boys?"

Swamiji :—गुरुगृहवासः—Living with the Guru.

Question :—How?

Swamiji :—In the same way as of old. But with this education has to be combined modern Western science. Both these are necessary.

Q.—Why, what is the defect in the present University System?

Swamiji :—It is almost wholly one of defects. Why, it is nothing but a perfect machine for turning out clerks. I would even thank my stars if that were all. But no! See how men are becoming destitute of Shraddhâ and faith.

They would assert that the Gita was only an interpolation, and that the Vedas were but rustic songs! They would like to master every detail concerning things and nations outside of India, but, if you ask them they do not know even the names of their own forefathers up to the seventh generation, not to speak of the fourteenth!

Q.—But what does that matter? What if they do not know the names of their forefathers?

Swamiji:—Don't think that. A nation that has no history of its own has nothing in this world. Do you believe that one who has such faith and pride as to feel, "I come of such noble descent," can ever turn out to be bad? How could that be? That faith in himself would curb his actions and feelings, so much so that he would rather die than commit wrong. So, a national history keeps a nation well-restrained, and does not allow it to sink so low. O! I know you will say, but we have not such a history. No, there is not any, according to those who think like you. Neither is there any, according to your big University scholars; and so also think those, who having travelled in the West in one great rush, come back dressed in European style and assert, "We have nothing, we are barbarians." Of course we have no history exactly like that of other countries. Suppose, we take rice and the Englishmen do not. Would you for that reason imagine that they all die of starvation, and are going to be exterminated? They live quite well on what they can easily procure or produce in their own country and is suited to them. Similarly, we have our own history exactly as it ought to have been for us. Will that history be made extinct by shutting your eyes and crying, "Alas! we have no history!" Those who have eyes to see, find a luminous history there, and by the strength of that they know, the nation is still alive. But that history has to be rewritten. It should be restated and suited to the understanding and ways of thinking, which our men

have acquired in the present age, through Western education.

Q.—How has that to be done?

Swamiji:—That is too big a subject for a talk now. However, to bring that about, the old institution of 'living with the Guru,' and such like systems of imparting education are needed. What we want are, Western science coupled with Vedanta, Brahmacharya as the guiding motto, and also Shraddhâ and faith in one's own self. Another thing that we want is the abolition of that system which aims at educating our boys in the same manner as that of the man who battered his ass, being advised that it could thereby be turned into a horse.

Q.—What do you mean by that?

Swamiji:—You see, no one can teach anybody. The teacher spoils everything by thinking that he is teaching. Thus the Vedanta says, that within man is all knowledge—even in a boy it is so—and it requires only an awakening, and that much is the work of a teacher. We have to do only so much for the boys, that they may learn to apply their own intellect to the proper use of their hands, legs, ears, eyes, etc., and finally everything will become easy. But the root thing is religion. Religion is as the rice, and everything else, like the curries. Taking only curries causes indigestion, and so is the case with taking rice alone. They are making parrots of them, and ruining their brains by cramming a lot of subjects into them. Looking from one standpoint, you should rather be grateful to the Viceroy* for his proposal of reforming the University System, which means practically abolishing the Higher Education—the country will, at least, feel some relief by having breathing time. Goodness gracious! what a fuss

* Lord Curzon, who took steps to raise the standard of University education so high, as to make it too expensive and almost inaccessible to boys of the middle classes.

and fury about graduating, and after a few days all cooled down! And after all that, what is it they learn, but that what religion and customs we have are all bad, and what the Westerners have are all good! At last, they cannot keep the wolf from the door! What does it matter if this Higher Education remains or goes? It would be better if the people got a little Technical education so that they might find work and earn their bread, instead of dawdling about and crying for service.

Q.—Yes, the Marwaris are wiser as they do not accept service and most of them engage themselves in some trade.

Swamiji :—Nonsense! They are on the way to bring ruin to the country. They have little understanding of their own interests. You are much better, as you have more of an eye towards manufactures. If the money that they lay out in their business and with which they make only a small percentage of profit, were utilised in conducting a few factories and workshops, instead of filling the pockets of Europeans by letting them reap the benefit of most of the transactions, then, it will not only conduce to the well-being of the country but will bring by far the greater amount of profit to them, as well. It is only the Cabulis who do not care for service—the spirit of independence is in their very bone and marrow. Propose to anyone of them to take service, and you will see what follows!

Q.—Well, Mahârâj, in case the Higher Education is abolished, will not the men become as stupid as cows, as they were before?

Swamiji :—What nonsense! Can ever a lion become a jackal? What do you mean? Is it ever possible for the sons of the land that has nourished the whole world with knowledge from time immemorial, to turn as stupid as cows, because of the abolition of Higher Education by Lord Curzon?

Q.—But think, what our people were before the advent of the English, and what they are now.

Swamiji :—Does Higher Education mean mere study of material sciences and turning out things of everyday use by machinery? The use of Higher Education is to find out how to solve the problems of life, and this is what is engaging the profound thought of the modern civilised world, but which was solved in our country thousands of years ago.

Q.—But your Vedanta also was about to disappear?

Swamiji :—It might be so. In the efflux of time the light of Vedanta now and then seems as if about to be extinguished, and when that happens, the Lord has to incarnate Himself in this human body; He then infuses such life and strength into religion that it goes on again for some time with irresistible vigour. That life and strength has come into it again.

Q.—What proof is there, Mahârâj, that India has freely contributed her knowledge to the rest of the world?

Swamiji :—History itself bears testimony to the fact. All the soul-elevating ideas and the different branches of knowledge that exist in the world, are found out by proper investigation to have their roots in India.

Aglow with enthusiasm Swamiji dwelt at length on this topic. His health was very bad at the time, and moreover owing to the intense heat of summer he was feeling thirsty and drinking water too often. At last he said, "Dear Singhi, get a glass of iced water for me please, I shall explain everything to you clearly." After drinking the iced water he began afresh.

Swamiji :—What we need, you know, is to study, independent of foreign control, different branches of the knowledge that is our own, and with it the English language, and Western science ; we need technical education, and all else which may develop the industries, so that men, instead of seeking for service, may earn enough to

provide for themselves, and save something against a rainy day.

Q.—What were you going to say the other day about the *Tôl* (Sanskrit boarding school) system?

Swamiji:—Haven't you read the stories from the Upanishads? I will tell you one. Satyakama went to live the life of a Brahmacharin with his Guru. The Guru gave into his charge some cows and sent him away to the forest with them. Many months passed by, and when Satyakama saw that the number of cows were doubled he thought of returning to his Guru. On his way back, one of the bulls, the fire, and some animals gave him instructions about the Highest Brahman. When the disciple came back, the Guru at once saw by a mere glance at his face that the disciple had learnt the knowledge of the Supreme Brahman. Now, the moral this story is meant to teach is, that true education is gained by constant living in communion with Nature.

Knowledge should be acquired in that way, otherwise by educating yourself in the *Tol* of a Pandit you will be only a human ape all your life. One should live from his very boyhood with one whose character is like a blazing fire, and should have before him a living example of the highest teaching. Mere reading that it is a sin to tell a lie, will be of no use. Every boy should be trained to practise absolute Brahmacharya, and then, and then only, faith and *Shraddhâ* will come. Otherwise, why will not one who has no *Shraddhâ* and faith speak an untruth? In our country, the imparting of knowledge has always been through men of renunciation. Later, the Pandits, by monopolising all knowledge and restricting it to the *Tols,* have only brought the country to the brink of ruin. India had all good prospects so long as *Tyâgis* (men of renunciation) used to impart knowledge.

Q.—What do you mean, Mahârâj? There are no

Sannyâsins in other countries, but see how by dint of their knowledge India is laid prostrate under their feet!

Swamiji:—Don't talk nonsense, my dear, hear what I say. India will have to carry others' shoes for ever on her head if the charge of imparting knowledge to her sons does not again fall upon the shoulders of *Tyâgis*. Don't you know how an illiterate boy, possessed of renunciation, turned the heads of your great old Pandits? Once at the Dakshinesvar Temple the Brâhman who was in charge of the worship of Vishnu broke a leg of the image. Pandits were brought together at a meeting to give their opinions, and they after consulting old books and manuscripts declared, that the worship of this broken image could not be sanctioned according to the Shâstras and a new image would have to be consecrated. There was, consequently, a great stir. Sri Ramakrishna was called at last. He heard and asked, "Does a wife forsake her husband in case he becomes lame?" What followed? The Pandits were struck dumb, all their Shâstric commentaries and learned comments could not withstand the force of this simple statement. If that were true, why should Sri Ramakrishna come down to this earth, and why should he discourage mere book-learning so much? That new life-force which he brought with him has to be instilled into learning and education, and then the real work will be done.

Q.—But that is easier said than done.

Swamiji:—Had it been easy, it would not have been necessary for him to come. What you have to do now is to establish a *Math* in every town and in every village. Can you do that? Do something at least. Start a big *Math* in the heart of Calcutta. A well-educated *Sâdhu* should be at the head of that centre and under him there should be departments for teaching practical science and arts, with a specialist Sannyâsin in charge of each of these departments.

Q.—Where will you get such Sâdhus?

Swamiji :—We have to make and manufacture them. So, I always say that some young men with burning patriotism and renunciation are needed. None can master a thing perfectly in so short a time as the *Tyâgis* will.

After a short silence Swamiji said, "Singhi, there are so many things left to be done for our country that thousands like you and me are needed. What will mere talk do? See, to what a miserable condition the country is reduced; now do something! We haven't even got a single book well suited for the little boys.

Q.—Why, there are so many books of Ishwar Chandra Vidyasagar, for the boys?

No sooner had I said this than he laughed out and said: Yes, there you read "Ishvar Nirâkâr Chaitanya Svarup" (God is without form and of the essence of pure knowledge); "Dubâl ati subodh bâlak" (Dubâl is a very good, intelligent boy), and so on,—that won't do. We must compile some books in Bengali as well as in English with short stories from the Ramayana, Mahabharata, and the Upanishads, etc., in very easy and simple language, and these are to be given to our little boys to read.

It was about eleven o'clock by this time. The sky became suddenly overcast and a cold wind began to blow. Swamiji was greatly delighted at the prospect of rain. He got up and said, "Let us, Singhi, have a stroll by the side of the Ganges." We did so and he recited many stanzas from Meghaduta of Kalidasa, but the one undercurrent of thought that was all the time running through his mind was, the good of India. He exclaimed, "Look here, Singhi, can you do one thing? Can you put a stop to the marriage of our boys for some time?"

I said, "Well, Mahârâj, how can we think of that, when the Babus are trying, on the other hand, all sorts of means to make marriage cheaper."

Swamiji :—Don't trouble your head on that score; who can stem the tide of time! All such agitations will end

in empty sound, that is all. The dearer the marriages become, the better for the country. What a hurry-scurry of passing examinations and marrying right off! It seems as if no one is to be left a bachelor, but it is just the same thing again, next year!

After a short silence, Swamiji again said, "If I can get some unmarried graduates, I may try to send them over to Japan and make arrangements for their technical education there, so that when they come back, they may turn their knowledge to the best account for India. What a good thing will that be!

Q.—Why, Mahârâj, is it better for us to go to Japan than to England?

Swamiji:—Certainly! In my opinion, if all our rich and educated men once go and see Japan, their eyes will be opened.

Q.—How?

Swamiji:—There, in Japan, you find a fine assimilation of knowledge, and not its indigestion as we have here. They have taken everything from the Europeans, but they remain Japanese all the same, and have not turned European; while in our country, the terrible mania of becoming Westernised has seized upon us like a plague.

I said, "Mahârâj! I have seen some Japanese paintings; one cannot but marvel at their art. Its inspiration seems to be something which is their own, and beyond imitation.

Swamiji:—Quite so. They are great as a nation because of their art. Don't you see they are Asiatics, as we are; and though we have lost almost everything, yet what we still have is wonderful. The very soul of the Asiatic is woven with art. The Asiatic never uses a thing unless there be art in it. Don't you know that art is, with us, a part of religion? How greatly is a lady admired among us, who can nicely paint the floors and walls, on

auspicious occasions, with the paste of rice-powder! How great an artist was Sri Ramakrishna himself!

Q.—The English art is also good, is it not?

Swamiji :—What a stupid fool you are! But what is the use of blaming you, when that seems to be the prevailing way of thinking! Alas, to such a state is our country reduced! The people will look upon their own gold as brass, while the brass of the foreigner is gold to them! This is, indeed, the magic wrought by modern education! Know, that since the time Europeans have come into contact with Asia, they are trying to infuse art into their own life.

Myself :—If others hear you talk like this, Mahârâj, they will think that you take a pessimistic view of things.

Swamiji :—Naturally! What else can they think, who move in a rut! How I wish I could show you everything through my eyes! Look at their buildings, how commonplace, how meaningless, they are! Look at those big government buildings; can you, just by seeing their outsides, make out any meaning for which each of them stands? No, because they are all so unsymbolical. Take again their dress : their stiff coats and straight pants, fitting almost tightly to the body, are, in our estimation, hardly decent, is it not so? And, O, what beauty, indeed, in that! Now, go all over our motherland, and see if you cannot read aright from their very appearance, the meaning for which our buildings stand, and how much art there is in them! The glass is their drinking vessel, and ours is the metal *ghati* (pitcher-shaped); which of the two is artistic? Have you seen the farmers' homes in our villages?

Myself :—Yes, I have, of course.

Swamiji :—What have you seen of them?

I did not know what to say. However, I replied, "Mahârâj, they are faultlessly neat and clean, the yards and floors being daily well plastered over."

Swamiji :—Have you seen their granaries for keeping

paddy? What an art is there in them! What a variety of paintings even on their mud walls. And then, if you go and see how the lower classes live in the West, you would at once mark the difference. The thing is, theirs is utility, ours, art. The Westerner looks for utility in everything, whereas with us art is everywhere. With the Western education, those beautiful *ghatis* of ours have been discarded, and enamel glasses have usurped their place in our homes! Thus, the ideal of utility has been imbibed by us to such an extent as to make it look little short of the ridiculous. Now what we need is, the combination of art and utility. Japan has done that very quickly, and so she has advanced by giant strides. Now, in their turn, the Japanese are going to teach the Westerners.

Q.—Mahârâj, which nation in the world dresses best?

Swamiji:—The Aryans do; even the Europeans admit that. How picturesquely their dresses hang in folds! The royal costumes of most nations are, to some extent, a sort of imitation of the Aryan's—the same attempt is made there to keep them in folds, and those costumes bear a marked difference to their national style.

By the bye, Singhi, leave off that wretched habit of wearing those European shirts.

Q.—Why, Mahârâj?

Swamiji:—For the reason that they are used by the Westerners only as underwears. They never like to see them worn outside. How mistaken of the Bengalees to do so! As if one should wear anything and everything, as if there is no unwritten law about dress, as if there is no ancestral style to follow! Our people are outcasted by taking the food touched by the lower classes; it would have been very well if the same law applied to their wearing any irregular style of dress. Why can't you adapt your dress in some way to your own style? What sense is there for your going in for European shirts and coats?

It began to rain now, and the dinner-bell also rang.

So we went in to partake of the *Prasâdam* with others. During the meal Swamiji said, addressing me, "Concentrated food should be taken. To fill the stomach with a large quantity of rice is the root of laziness." A little while after he said again, "Look at the Japanese, they take rice with the soup of split-pulses, twice or thrice a day. But even the strongly-built take little at a time, though the number of meals may be more. Those who are well-to-do among them take meat daily. Twice a day we stuff ourselves up to the throat, as it were, and the whole of our energy is exhausted in digesting such a quantity of rice!"

Q.—Is it feasible for us, Bengalees, poor as we are, to take meat?

Swamiji:—Why not? You can afford to have it in small quantities. Half a pound a day is quite enough. The real evil is idleness, which is the principal cause of our poverty. Suppose, the head of a firm gets displeased with someone and decreases his pay; or, out of three or four bread-winning sons in a family one suddenly dies; what do they do? Why, they at once curtail the quantity of milk for the children, or live on one meal a day, having a little popped-rice or so at night!

Q.—But what else can they do, under the circumstances?

Swamiji:—Why, can they not exert themselves and earn more, to keep up their standard of food? But no! They must go to their local *Addás* (rendezvous) and idle hours away! O! if they did but know how they waste their time!

X

THE DISCRIMINATION OF THE FOUR CASTES ACCORDING TO JATI AND GUNA——BRAHMANAS AND KSHATRIYAS IN THE WEST ——THE KULA-GURU SYSTEM IN BENGAL.

[*Sri Priya Nath Sinha.*]

Once I went to see Swamiji while he was staying in Calcutta at the house of the late Balaram Basu. After a long conversation about Japan and America, I asked him, "Well, Swamiji, how many disciples have you in the West?"

Swamiji :—A good many.

Q.—Two or three thousand?

Swamiji :—May be more than that.

Q.—Are they all initiated by you with Mantrams?

Swamiji :—Yes.

Q.—Did you give them permission to utter *Pranava* (OM)?

Swamiji :—Yes.

Q.—How did you, Mahârâj? They say that the Sudras have no right to *Pranava*, and none have except the Brâhmanas. Moreover, the Westerners are Mlechchhas, not even Sudras.

Swamiji :—How do you know, that those whom I have initiated are not Brâhmanas?

Myself :—Where could you get Brâhmanas outside India, in the lands of the Yavanas and Mlechchhas?

Swamiji :—My disciples are all Brâhmanas! I quite admit the truth of the words, that none except the Brâhmanas have the right to *Pranava*. But the son of a Brâhmana is not necessarily always a Brâhmana ; though there is every possibility of his being one, he may not become so. Did you not hear that the nephew of Aghore Chakravarti of Baghbazar became a sweeper and actually used to do

all the menial services of his adopted caste? Was he not the son of a Brâhmana?

The Brâhmana caste and the Brâhmanya qualities are two distinct things. In India, one is held to be a Brâhmana by his caste, but in the West, one should be known as such by his Brâhmanya qualities. As there are three Gunas—Sattva, Rajas and Tamas,—so there are Gunas which show a man to be a Bráhmana, Kshatriya, Vaishya or a Sudra. The qualities of being a Brâhmana or a Kshatriya are dying out from the country, but in the West they have now attained to Kshatriyahood, from which the next step is Brâhmanahood, and many there are who have qualified themselves for that.

Q.—Then you call those Brâhmanas, who are *Sáttvika* by nature?

Swamiji:—Quite so. As there are Sattva, Rajas and Tamas, one or other of these Gunas more or less,—in every man, so the qualities which make a Bráhmana, Kshatriya, Vaishya or a Sudra are inherent in every man, more or less. But at times one or other of these qualities predominates in him in varying degrees and is manifested accordingly. Take a man in his different pursuits, for example: when he is engaged in serving another for pay, he is in Sudrahood; when he is busy transacting some piece of business for profit, on his own account, he is a Vaishya; when he fights to right wrongs, then the qualities of a Kshatriya come out in him; and when he meditates on God, or passes his time in conversation about Him, then he is a Brâhmana. Naturally, it is quite possible for one to be changed from one caste into another. Otherwise, how did Visvamitra become a Brâhmana and Parasurama a Kshatriya?

Q.—What you say seems to be quite right, but why then do not our Pandits and family-Gurus teach us the same thing?

Swamiji :—That is one of the great evils of our country. But let the matter go now.

Swamiji here spoke highly of the Westerners' spirit of practicality, and how, when they take up religion, that spirit also shows itself.

Myself :—True, Mahârâj, I have heard that their spiritual and psychic powers are very quickly developed when they practise religion. The other day Swami Saradananda showed me a letter written by one of his Western disciples, describing the spiritual powers highly developed in the writer through the *Sádhanás* practised for only four months.

Swamiji :—So you see! Now you understand whether there are Brâhmanas in the West or not. You have Brâhmanas here also, but they are bringing the country down to the verge of ruin by their awful tyranny, and consequently what they have naturally, is vanishing away by degrees. The Guru initiates his disciple with a Mantram, but that has come to be a trade with him. And then, how wonderful is the relation nowadays between a Guru and his disciple! Perchance, the Guru has nothing to eat at home, and his wife brings the matter to his notice and says, "Pray, go once again to your disciples, dear. Will your playing at dice all day long, save us from hunger?" The Bráḥmana in reply, says, "Very well, remind me of it to-morrow morning. I have come to hear that my disciple so-and-so is having a run of luck, and moreover, I have not been to him for a long time." This is what your Kula-Guru system has come to in Bengal! Priestcraft in the West is not so degenerated, as yet ; it is on the whole better than yours!

XI

INDIA WANTS NOT LECTURING BUT WORK——THE CRYING PROBLEM IN INDIA IS POVERTY——YOUNG SANNYASINS TO BE TRAINED BOTH AS SECULAR AND SPIRITUAL TEACHERS AND WORKERS FOR THE MASSES——EXHORTATIONS TO YOUNG MEN TO WORK FOR OTHERS.

(From the Diary of a disciple.)*

Disciple :—How is it, Swamiji, that you do not lecture in this country? You have stirred Europe and America with your lectures, but coming back here you have kept silence.

Swamiji :—In this country, the ground should be prepared first ; and then if the seed is sown, the plant will come out best. The ground in the West, in Europe and America, is very fertile and fit for sowing seeds. There, they have reached the climax of *Bhoga* (enjoyment). Being satiated with *Bhoga* to the full, their minds are not getting peace now, even in those enjoyments, and they feel as if they wanted some other thing. In this country you have not either *Bhoga* or *Yoga* (renunciation). When one is satisfied with *Bhoga,* then it is that one shall listen to and understand the teachings on *Yoga*. What good will lectures do in a country like India which has become the birthplace of disease, sorrow and affliction, and where men are emaciated through starvation, and weak in mind?

Disciple :—How is that? Do you not say that ours is the land of religion, and here, the people understand religion as they do nowhere else? Why then will not this country be animated by your inspiring eloquence and yield to the full the fruits thereof?

Swamiji :—Now understand what religion means. The first thing needed is the worship of the *Kurma* (tortoise) Incarnation and the belly-god is this *Kurma,* as it were.

* The disciple is Sri Sarat Chandra Chakravarti, B.A.

Until you pacify this, no one will welcome your words about religion. India is restless with the thought of how to face this spectre of hunger. The drainage of the best resources of the country by the foreigners, the unrestricted exports of merchandise, and, above all, the abominable jealousy natural to slaves, are eating into the very bones and marrow of India. First of all, you must remove this evil of hunger and starvation, this constant thought for bare existence, from those to whom you want to preach religion, otherwise, lectures and such things would be of no benefit.

Disciple :—What should we do then to remove that evil?

Swamiji :—First, some young men full of the spirit of renunciation are needed,—those who will be ready to sacrifice their lives for others, instead of devoting themselves to their own happiness. With this object in view I shall establish a Math to train young Sannyâsins, who will go from door to door and make the people realise their pitiable condition by means of facts and reasoning, and instruct them in the ways and means for their welfare, and at the same time will explain to them as clearly as possible, in very simple and easy language, the higher truths of religion. The mass of people in our country is like the sleeping Leviathan. The education imparted by the present University system reaches to one or two per cent. of the masses only. And even those who get that, do not succeed in their endeavours of doing any good to their country. But it is not their fault, poor fellows! As soon as they come out of their college, they find themselves the fathers of several children! Somehow or other they manage to secure the position of a clerk, or at the most, a deputy magistrate. This is the finale of education! With the burden of a family on their backs, they find no time to do anything great or think anything high. They do not find means enough to fulfil their personal wants and inter-

ests,—so what can be expected of them in the way of doing anything for others?

Disciple :—Is there then no way out for us?

Swamiji :—Certainly there is. This is the land of Religion Eternal. The country has fallen, no doubt, but will as surely rise again, and that upheaval will astound the world. The lower the hollows the billows make, the higher and with equal force will they rise again.

Disciple :—How would she rise again?

Swamiji :—Do you not see? The dawn has already appeared in the eastern sky, and there is little delay in the sun's rising. You all set your shoulders to the wheel! What is there in making the world the all in all, and thinking of "My *Samsâra,*" My *Samsára*"? Your duty at present is to go from one part of the country to another, from village to village, and make the people understand that mere sitting about idly won't do any more. Make them understand their real condition and say, "O ye brothers, all arise! Awake! How much longer would you remain asleep!" Go and advise them how to improve their own condition, and make them comprehend the sublime truths of the Shástras, by presenting them in a lucid and popular way. So long the Brâhmanas have monopolised religion, but as they could not hold their ground against the strong tide of time, go and take steps so that one and all in the land may get that religion. Impress upon their minds that they have the same right to religion as the Brâhmanas. Initiate all, even down to the *Chandâlas,* in these fiery Mantras. Also instruct them, in simple words, about the necessities of life, and in trade, commerce, agriculture, etc. If you cannot do this, then fie upon your education and culture, and fie upon your studying the Vedas and Vedanta!

Disciple :—But where is that strength in us? I should have felt myself blessed if I had had a hundredth part of your powers, Swamiji.

Swamiji :—How foolish! Power and things like that

will come by themselves. Put yourself to work and you will find such tremendous power coming to you that you will feel it hard to bear it. Even the least work done for others awakens the power within ; even thinking the least good of others gradually instils into the heart the strength of a lion. I love you all ever so much, but I would wish you all to die working for others,—I should be rather glad to see you do that!

Disciple :—What will become of those, then, who depend on me?

Swamiji :—If you are ready to sacrifice your life for others, God will certainly provide some means for them. Have you not read in the Gita the words of Sri Krishna? —न हि कल्याणकृत्कश्चित् दुर्गतिं तात गच्छति—"Never does a doer of good, O My beloved, come to grief."

Disciple :—I see, Sir.

Swamiji :—The essential thing is renunciation,—without renunciation none can pour out his whole heart in working for others. The man of renunciation sees all with an equal eye, and devotes himself to the service of all. Does not our Vedanta also teach us to see all with an equal eye—why then do you cherish the idea that the wife and children are your own, more than others? At your very threshold, Nârâyana Himself in the form of a poor beggar, is dying of starvation! Instead of giving him anything, would you only satisfy the appetites of your wife and children with delicacies? Why, that is beastly!

Disciple :—To work for others requires a good deal of money at times, and where shall I get that?

Swamiji :—Why not do as much as lies within your power? Even if you cannot give to others for want of money, surely you can at least breathe into their ears some good words, or impart some good instruction, can't you? Or does that also require money?

Disciple :—Yes, Sir, that I can do.

Swamiji :—But saying, "I can," won't do. Show me

through action what you can do, and then only shall I know that your coming to me is turned to some good account. Get up, and set your shoulder to the wheel,—how long is this life for? As you have come into this world, leave some mark behind. Otherwise, where is the difference between you and the trees and stones?—they, too, come into existence, decay and die. If you like to be born and to die like them, you are at liberty to do so. Show me by your actions that your reading the Vedanta has been fruitful of the highest good. Go and tell all, "In every one of you lies that Eternal Power," and try to wake It up. What will you do with individual salvation? That is sheer selfishness. Throw aside your meditation, throw away your salvation and such things! Put your whole heart and soul in the work to which I have consecrated myself.

With bated breath the disciple heard these inspiring words, and Swamiji went on with his usual fire and eloquence.

Swamiji:—First of all, make the soil ready, and thousands of Vivekanandas will in time be born into this world to deliver lectures on religion. You needn't worry yourself about that! Don't you see why I am starting orphanages, famine-relief works, etc.? Don't you see how Sister Nivedita, an English lady, has learnt to serve Indians so nicely, by doing even menial works for them? And can't you, being Indians, similarly serve your own fellow-countrymen? Go, all of you, wherever there is an outbreak of plague or famine, or wherever the people are in distress, and mitigate their sufferings. At the most you may die in the attempt, what of that? How many like you are taking birth and dying like worms, every day? What difference does that make to the world at large. Die you must, but have a great ideal to die for, and it is better to die with a great ideal in life. Preach this ideal from door to door and you will yourselves be benefited as well as, at the same time, be doing good to your country. On you lie

the future hopes of our country. I feel extreme pain to see you leading a life of inaction. Set yourselves to work —to work! Do not tarry,—the time of death is approaching day by day! Do not sit idle, thinking that everything will be done in time, later! Mind,—nothing will be done that way!

XII

RECONCILIATION OF JNANA AND BHAKTI——SAT-CHIT-ANANDAM ——HOW SECTARIANISM ORIGINATES——BRING IN SHRADDHA AND THE WORSHIP OF SAKTI AND AVATARAS—— THE IDEAL OF THE HERO WE WANT NOW, NOT THE MADHUR-BHAVA——SRI RAMAKRISHNA ——AVATARAS.

(*From the Diary of a disciple.**)

Disciple :—Pray, Swamiji, how can Jnána and Bhakti be reconciled? We see the followers of the path of devotion (Bhaktas) shut their ear-holes at the name of Sankara, and again, the followers of the path of knowledge (Jnânis) call the Bhaktas fanatics, seeing them weep in torrents, or sing and dance in ecstasy, in the name of the Lord.

Swamiji :—The thing is, all this conflict is in the preliminary (preparatory) stages of Jnána and Bhakti. Have you not heard Sri Ramakrishna's story about Shiva's demons and Rama's monkeys? †

Disciple :—Yes, Sir, I have.

*Sri Sarat Chandra Chakravarti, B.A.

† There was once a fight between Shiva and Rama. Shiva was the Guru of Rama, and Rama was the Guru of Shiva. They fought but became friendly again. But there was no end to the quarrels and wranglings between the demons of Shiva and the monkeys of Rama!

Swamiji :—But there is no difference between the supreme Bhakti and the supreme Jnána. The supreme Bhakti is to realise God as the form of Prema (Love) itself. If you see the loving form of God manifested everywhere and in everything, how can you hate or injure others? That realisation of Love can never come so long as there is the least desire in the heart, or what Sri Ramakrishna used to say, attachment for *'Kâma-Kânchana'* (sense-pleasure and wealth). In the perfect realisation of Love, even the consciousness of one's own body does not exist. Also, the supreme Jnâna is to realise the oneness everywhere, to see one's own self as the self in everything. That too cannot come so long as there is the least consciousness of the ego (Aham).

Disciple :—Then what you call Love, is the same as supreme Knowledge?

Swamiji :—Exactly so. Realisation of Love comes to none unless one becomes a perfect Jnâni. Does not the Vedanta say that the Brahman is *Sat-Chit-Anandam*—the Absolute Existence-Knowledge-Bliss?

Disciple :—Yes, Sir.

Swamiji :—The word *Sat-Chit-Ananda* means—*Sat*, i. e., Existence, *Chit*, i. e., Consciousness or Knowledge, and *Ananda*, i. e., Bliss, which is the same as Love. There is no controversy between the Bhakta and the Jnâni regarding the *'Sat'* aspect of Brahman. Only, the Jnânis lay greater stress on His aspect of *'Chit'* or Knowledge, while the Bhaktas keep the aspect of *'Ananda'* or Love more in view. But no sooner is the essence of *'Chit'* realised, than the essence of *'Anandam'* is also realised. Because what is *'Chit'* is verily the same as *'Anandam.'*

Disciple :—Why then is so much sectarianism prevalent in India? And why is there so much controversy between the scriptures on Bhakti and Jnânam?

Swamiji :—The thing is, all this waging of war and controversy is concerning the preliminary ideals, i.e., those

ideals which men take up to attain the real Jnânam or real Bhakti. But which do you think is the higher,—the end or the means? Surely, the means can never be higher than the end. Because the means to realise the same end must be numerous, as they vary according to the temperament or mental capacities of individual followers. The counting of beads, meditation, worship, offering oblations in the sacred fire,—all these and such other things are the limbs of religion ; they are but the means ; and to attain to supreme devotion (*Parâ-Bhakti*) or to the highest realisation of Brahman, is the pre-eminent end. If you look a little deeper you will understand what they are fighting about. One says, "If you pray to God facing the east, then you will reach Him"; "No," says another, "you will have to sit facing the west, and then only you will see Him." Perhaps someone realised God in meditation, ages ago, by sitting with his face to the east, and his disciples at once began to preach this attitude, asserting that none can ever see God unless he assumes this position. Another party comes forward and inquires,—"How is that? Such and such a person realised God, while facing the west, and we have seen this ourselves." In this way all these sects have originated. Someone might have attained supreme devotion by repeating the name of the Lord as Hari, and at once it entered into the composition of the Shâstra as :—

हरेर्नाम हरेर्नाम हरेर्नामैव केवलम् ।
कलौ नास्त्येव नास्त्येव नास्त्येव गतिरन्यथा ॥

"The name of the Lord Hari, the name of the Lord Hari, the name of the Lord Hari alone. Verily, there is no other, no other, no other path than this, in the age of Kali."

Someone, again, suppose, might have attained perfection with the name of Allah, and immediately another creed originated by him began to spread, and so on. But we have to see, what is the end to which all these worships

and other religious practices are intended to lead. The end is the *Shraddhâ*. We have not any synonym in our Bengali language to express the Sanskrit word *Shraddhâ*. The Upanishad says, that *Shraddhâ* entered into the heart of Nachiketa. Even with the word *Ekâgratâ* (one-pointedness) we cannot express the whole significance of the word *Shraddhâ*. The word *Ekâgra-nishthâ* (one-pointed devotion) conveys, to a certain extent, the meaning of the word *Shraddhâ*. If you meditate on any truth with steadfast devotion and concentration, you will see that the mind is more and more tending onwards to Oneness, i.e., taking you towards the realisation of the Absolute Existence-Knowledge-Bliss. The scriptures on Bhakti or Jnânam give special advice to men to take up in life the one or other of such a *Nishthâ* and make it their own. With the lapse of ages, these great truths become distorted and gradually transform themselves into *Deshâchâras*, or the prevailing customs of a country. It has happened, not only in India, but in every nation and every society in the world. And the common people, lacking in discrimination, make these the bone of contention, and fight among themselves. They have lost sight of the end, and hence sectarianism, quarrels and fights continue.

Disciple :—What then is the saving means, Swamiji?

Swamiji :—That true *Shraddhâ*, as of old, has to be brought back again. The weeds have to be taken up by the roots. In every faith and in every path, there are, no doubt, truths which transcend time and space, but a good deal of rubbish has accumulated over them. This has to be cleared away and the true eternal principles have to be held before the people ; and then only, our religion and our country will be really benefited.

Disciple :—How will that be effected?

Swamiji :—Why? First of all, we have to introduce the worship of the great saints. Those great-souled ones who have realised the eternal truths, are to be presented

before the people as the ideals to be followed ; as in the case of India,—Sri Ramachandra, Sri Krishna, Mahavira and Sri Ramakrishna, among others. Can you bring in the worship of Sri Ramachandra and Mahavira in this country? Keep aside for the present the Brindavan aspect of Sri Krishna, and spread far and wide the worship of Sri Krishna roaring the Gita out, with the voice of a lion. And bring into daily use the worship of Sakti,—the Divine Mother, the source of all power.

Disciple :—Is the divine play of Sri Krishna with the Gopis of Brindavan not good, then?

Swamiji :—Under the present circumstances, that worship is of no good to you. Playing on the flute and so on, will not regenerate the country. We now mostly need the ideal of a hero with the tremendous spirit of *Rajas* thrilling through his veins from head to foot,—the hero who will dare and die to know the Truth,—the hero whose armour is renunciation, whose sword is wisdom. We want now the spirit of the brave warrior in the battle-field of life, and not of the wooing lover who looks upon life as a pleasure-garden!

Disciple :—Is then the path of Love, as depicted in the ideal of the Gopis, false?

Swamiji :—Who says so? Not I! That is a very superior form of worship (*Sâdhanâ*). In this age of tremendous attachment to sense-pleasure and wealth, very few would be able even to comprehend those higher ideals.

Disciple :—Then are not those who are worshipping God as husband or lover (मधुर) following the proper path?

Swamiji :—I daresay, not. There may be a few honourable exceptions among them, but know, that the greater part of them are possessed of dark *Tâmasika* nature. Most of them are full of morbidity and affected with exceptional weakness! The country must be raised! The worship of Mahavira must be introduced ; the *Sakti-pujâ* must form a part of our daily practice ; Sri Ramachandra

must be worshipped in every home. Therein lies your welfare, therein lies the good of the country, there is no other way.

Disciple :—But I have heard that Bhagavan Sri Ramakrishna used to sing the name of God very much?

Swamiji :—Quite so, but his was a different case. What comparison can there be between him and ordinary men? He practised in his life all the different ideals of religion to show that each of them leads but to the One Truth. Can you or I ever be able to do all that he has done? None of us has understood him fully. So, I do not venture to speak about him anywhere and everywhere. He only knows what he himself really was ; his frame was only a human one, but everything else about him was entirely different from that of others.

Disciple :—Do you, may I ask, believe him to be an *Avatâra* (Incarnation of God)?

Swamiji :—Tell me first—what do you mean by an *Avatâra*?

Disciple :—Why, I mean one like Sri Ramachandra, Sri Krishna, Sri Gauranga, Buddha or Jesus, etc.

Swamiji :—I know the Bhagavan Sri Ramakrishna to be even greater than those you have just named. What to speak of 'believing,' which is a petty thing,—I *know*!

Let us however drop the subject now ; more of it another time.

After a pause Swamiji continued :—To re-establish the Dharma there come *Mahâpurushas* (great teachers of humanity), suited to the needs of the times and society. Call them what you will, either *Mahâpurushas* or *Avatâras*, it matters little. They reveal, each in his life, the Ideal. Then, by and by, shapes are moulded in their matrices—MEN are made! Gradually, sects arise and spread. As time goes on, these sects degenerate, and similar reformers come again,—this has been the law, flowing in uninterrupted succession, like a current, down the ages.

Disciple :—Why do you not preach Sri Ramakrishna as an *Avatâra*? You have, indeed, power, eloquence and everything else needed to do it.

Swamiji :—Truly, I tell you, I have understood him very little. He appears to me to have been so great that, whenever I have to speak anything of him, I am afraid lest I ignore or explain away the truth, lest my little power does not suffice, lest in trying to extol him I present his picture by painting him according to my lights and belittle him thereby!

Disciple :—But many are now preaching him as an *Avatâra*.

Swamiji :—Let them do so if they like. They are doing it in the light in which they have understood him. You too can go and do the same if you have understood him.

Disciple :—I cannot even grasp you, what to say of Sri Ramakrishna! I should consider myself blessed in this life, if I get a little of your grace.

XIII

BRAHMAN AND DIFFERENTIATION——PERSONAL REALISATION OF ONENESS——SUPREME BLISS IS THE GOAL OF ALL——THINK ALWAYS, I AM BRAHMAN——DISCRIMINATION AND RENUNCIATION ARE THE MEANS—— BE FEARLESS.

(From the diary of a disciple.)*

Disciple :—Pray, Swamiji, if the One Brahman is the only Reality, why then exists all this differentiation in the world?

*Sri Sarat Chandra Chakravarti, B.A.

Swamiji :—Are you not considering this question from the point of view of the phenomenal existence? Looking from the phenomenal side of existence, one can, through reasoning and discrimination, gradually arrive at the very root of Unity. But if you were firmly established in that Unity, how from that standpoint, tell me, could you see this differentiation?

Disciple :—True, if I had existed in the Unity, how should I be able to raise this question of 'why'? As I put this question, it is already taken for granted that I do so by seeing this diversity.

Swamiji :—Very well. To enquire the root of Oneness through the diversity of phenomenal existence, is said to be in the Shâstra as *Vyatireki* reasoning, or the process by which a proposition is considered in a converted way, that is, first taking for granted something that is non-existent or unreal as existing or real, and then showing through the course of reasoning, that that is not a substance existing or real. You are talking of the process of arriving at the truth through the means of assuming that which is not-true as true,—are you not?

Disciple :—To my mind, the state of the existing or the seen seems to be self-evident, and hence true, and that which is opposite to it seems, on the other hand, to be unreal.

Swamiji :—But the Veda says, "One only without a second." And if in reality there is the One only that exists—the Brahman,—then, your differentiation is false. You believe in the Vedas, I suppose?

Disciple :—Oh yes, for myself I hold the Veda as the highest authority ; but if, in argument, one does not accept it to be so, he must, in that case, have to be refuted by other means.

Swamiji :—That also can be done. Look here, a time comes when what you call differentiation vanishes and we

cannot perceive it at all. I have experienced that state in my own life.

Disciple :—When have you done so?

Swamiji :—One day in the temple-garden at Dakshineswar Sri Ramakrishna touched me at the heart, and first of all I began to see that the houses,—rooms, doors, windows, verandahs,—the trees, the sun, the moon,—all were flying off,—shattering to pieces as it were—reduced into atoms and molecules,—and ultimately became merged in the *Akâsha*. Gradually again, the *Akâsha* also vanished, and after that, my consciousness of the ego with it ; what happened next I did not recollect. I was at first frightened. Coming back from that state, again I began to see the houses, doors, windows, verandahs and other things. On another occasion, I had exactly the same realisation by the side of a lake in America.

The disciple was listening to this with amazement ; after a while he enquired : Might not this state as well be brought about by a derangement of the brain? And I do not understand what happiness there can be in realising such a state.

Swamiji :—A derangement of the brain! How can you call it so, when it comes neither as the result of delirium from any disease, nor of intoxication from drinking, nor as an illusion produced by various sorts of queer breathing exercises,—but when it comes to a normal man in full possession of his health and wits? Then again, this experience is in perfect harmony with the Vedas. It also coincides with the words of realisation of the inspired *Rishis* and *Achâryas* of old. "Do you take me, at last," said Swamiji with a smile, "to be a crack-brained man?"

Disciple :—Oh no, I did not mean that of course. When there are to be found hundreds of illustrations about such realisation of Oneness, in the Shástras, and when you say that it can be as directly realised as a fruit in the palm of one's hand, and when it has been your own personal

experience in life, perfectly coinciding with the words of the Vedas and other Shâstras—how dare I say that it is false? Sri Sankaracharya also realising that state has said :—"Where is the universe vanished?" and so on.

Swamiji :—Know,—this knowledge of Oneness is what the Shástras speak of as realisation of the Brahman, by knowing which, one gets rid of fear, and the shackles of birth and death break for ever. Having once realised that Supreme Bliss, one is no more overwhelmed by pleasure and pain of this world. Men being fettered by base lust-and-wealth cannot enjoy that Bliss of Brahman.

Disciple :—If it is so, and if we are really of the essence of the Supreme Brahman, then why do we not exert ourselves to gain that Bliss? Why do we again and again run into the jaws of death, being decoyed by this worthless snare of lust-and-wealth?

Swamiji :—You speak as if man does not desire to have that Bliss! Ponder over it and you will see that whatever anyone is doing, he is doing it in the hope of gaining that Supreme Bliss. Only, not all of them are conscious of it and so cannot understand it. That Supreme Bliss fully exists in all, from Brahmá down to the blade of grass. You are also that undivided Brahman. This very moment you can realise, if you think yourself truly and absolutely to be so. It is all mere want of direct perception. That you have taken service and work so hard for the sake of your wife, also shows that the aim of it is to ultimately attain to that Supreme Bliss of Brahman. Being again and again entangled in the intricate maze of delusion, and hard hit by sorrows and afflictions, the eye will turn of itself to one's own real nature, the Inner Self. It is owing to the presence of this desire for bliss in the heart, that man, getting hard shocks, one after another, turns his eye inwards,—to his own Self. A time is sure to come to everyone, without exception,

when he will do so,—to one it may be in this life, to another, after thousands of incarnations.

Disciple :—It all depends upon the blessings of the Guru and the grace of the Lord!

Swamiji :—The wind of grace of the Lord is blowing on, for ever and ever. Do you spread your sail. Whenever you do anything, do it with your whole heart concentrated on it. Think day and night,—"I am of the essence of that Supreme Existence-Knowledge-Bliss,—what fear and anxiety have I? This body, mind and intellect are all transient, and That which is beyond these, is myself."

Disciple :—Thoughts like these come only for a while now and then, but quickly vanish, and I think all sorts of trash and nonsense.

Swamiji :—It happens like that in the initial stage, but gradually it is overcome. But from the beginning, intensity of desire in the mind is needed. Think always,—"I am ever-pure, ever-knowing and ever-free ; how can I do anything evil? Can I ever be befooled like ordinary men with the insignificant charms of lust and wealth?" Strengthen the mind with such thoughts. This will surely bring real good.

Disciple :—Once in a while strength of mind comes! But then again I think, that I would appear at the Deputy Magistrateship examination—wealth and name and fame would come and I would live well and happy.

Swamiji :—Whenever such thoughts come in the mind, —discriminate within yourself between the real and the unreal. Have you not read the Vedanta? Even when you sleep, keep the sword of discrimination at the head of your bed, so that covetousness cannot approach you even in dream. Practising such strength, renunciation will gradually come, and then you will see,—the portals of Heaven are wide open to you.

Disciple :—If it is so, Swamiji, how is it then that the

texts on Bhakti say that, too much of renunciation kills the feelings that make for tenderness?

Swamiji :—Throw away, I say, texts which teach things like that! Without renunciation, without burning dispassion for sense-objects, without turning away from wealth and lust as from filthy abominations—न सिध्यति ब्रह्मशतान्तरेऽपि—"Never can one attain salvation even in hundreds of Brahmâ's cycles." Repeating the names of the Lord, meditation, worship, offering libations in sacred fire, penance,—all these are for bringing forth renunciation. One who has not gained renunciation, know his efforts to be like unto the man's, who is pulling at the oars all the while that the boat is at anchor! न प्रजया धनेन त्यागेनैके अमृतत्वमानशुः—"Neither by progeny nor by wealth, but by renunciation alone some attained immortality."

Disciple :—Will mere renouncing of wealth and lust accomplish everything?

Swamiji :—There are other hindrances on the path even after renouncing those two ; then, for example, comes name and fame. Very few men, unless of exceptional strength, can keep their balance under that. People shower honours upon them, and various enjoyments creep in by degrees. It is owing to this that three-fourths of the *Tyâgis* are debarred from further progress! For establishing this Math and other things, who knows but that I may have to come back again!

Disciple :—If you say things like that, then we are undone!

Swamiji :—What fear? अभीरभीरभीः—"Be fearless, be fearless, be fearless!" You have seen Nâg Mahâshaya? How even while living the life of a householder, he is more than a Sannyâsin! This is very uncommon ; I have rarely seen one like him. If anyone wants to be a householder, let him be like Nâg Mahâshaya. He shines like a brilliant luminary in the spiritual firmament of East

Bengal. Ask the people of that part of the country to visit him often ; that will do much good to them.

Disciple :—Nâg Mahâshaya, it seems, is the living personification of humility in the play of Sri Ramakrishna's divine drama on earth.

Swamiji :—Decidedly so, without a shadow of doubt! I have a wish to go and see him once. Will you like to go with me? I love to see fields flooded over with water in the rains. Will you write to him?

Disciple :—Certainly, I will. He is always mad with joy when he hears about you, and says that East Bengal will be sanctified into a place of pilgrimage by the dust of your feet.

Swamiji :—Do you know, Sri Ramakrishna used to speak of Nâg Mahâshaya as a 'flaming fire'?

Disciple :—Yes, so I have heard.

At the request of Swamiji, the disciple partook of some *Prasâda,* and left for Calcutta late in the evening ; he was deeply thinking over the message of fearlessness that he had heard from the lips of the inspired teacher,—"I am free!" "I am free!"

XIV

RENUNCIATION OF KAMA-KANCHANA——GOD'S MERCY FALLS ON THOSE WHO STRUGGLE FOR REALISATION——UNCONDITIONAL MERCY AND BRAHMAN ARE ONE.

(From the Diary of a Disciple.)*

Disciple :—Sri Ramakrishna used to say, Swamiji, that a man cannot progress far towards religious realisation unless he first relinquish *Kâma-Kânchana* (lust and

*Sri Sarat Chandra Chakravarti, B.A.

wealth). If so, what will become of householders? For their whole minds are set on these two things.

Swamiji :—It is true that the mind can never turn to God until the desire for lust and wealth has gone from it, be a man householder or Sannyâsin. Know this for a fact, that as long as the mind is caught in these, so long true devotion, firmness and *Shraddhâ* (faith) can never come.

Disciple :—Where will the householders be then? What way are they to follow?

Swamiji :—To satisfy our smaller desires and have done with them for ever, and to relinquish the greater by discrimination—that is the way. Without renunciation God can never be realised—यदि ब्रह्मा स्वयं वदेत्—Even if Brahmâ Himself enjoined otherwise!

Disciple :—But does renunciation of everything come as soon as one becomes a monk?

Swamiji :—Sannyâsins are at least struggling to make themselves ready for renunciation, whereas householders are in this matter like boatmen, who work at their oars while the boat lies at anchor. Is the desire for enjoyment ever appeased? भूय एवाभिवर्द्धते—"It increases ever and ever."

Disciple :—Why? May not world-weariness come, after enjoying the objects of the senses over and over for a long time?

Swamiji :—To how many does that come? The mind becomes tarnished by constant contact with the objects of the senses, and receives a permanent moulding and impress from them. Renunciation, and renunciation alone, is the real secret, the *Mülamantram,* of all Realisation.

Disciple :—But there are such injunctions of the seers in the scriptures as these :—गृहेषु पञ्चेन्द्रियनिग्रहस्तपः—"To restrain the five senses while living with one's wife and children is *Tapas.*" निवृत्तरागस्य गृहं तपोवनं—"For him whose desires are under control, living in the midst of his family is the same as retiring into a forest for *Tapasyâ.*"

Swamiji :—Blessed indeed are those who can renounce *Káma-Kánchana,* living in their homes with their family! But how many can do that?

Disciple :—But then, what about the Sannyâsins? Are they all able to relinquish lust and love for riches fully?

Swamiji :—As I said just now, Sannyâsins are on the path of renunciation, they have taken the field, at least, to fight for that goal ; but householders, on the other hand, having no knowledge as yet of the danger that comes through lust and gold, do not even attempt to realise the Self ; that they must struggle to get rid of these, is an idea that has not yet entered their minds.

Disciple :—Why? Many of them are struggling for it.

Swamiji :—Oh, yes, and those who are doing so will surely renounce by and by ; their inordinate attachment for *Kâma-Kânchana* will diminish gradually. But for those who procrastinate, saying, "Oh, not so soon! I shall do it when the time comes," Self-realisation is very far off. "Let me realise the Truth this moment! in this very life!" —these are the words of a hero. Such heroes are ever ready to renounce the very next moment, and to such the scripture says, यदहरेव विरजेत् तदहरेव प्रव्रजेत्—"The moment you feel digust for the vanities of the world, leave it all and take to the life of a monk."

Disciple :—But was not Sri Ramakrishna wont to say, 'All these attachments vanish through the grace of God when one prays to Him"?

Swamiji :—Yes, it is so, no doubt, through His mercy, but one needs to be pure first before he can receive this mercy,—pure in thought, word and deed, then it is that His grace descends on one.

Disciple :—But of what necessity is grace to him who can control himself in thought, word and deed? For then one would be able to develop himself in the path of spirituality by means of his own exertions!

Swamiji :—The Lord is very merciful to him whom

He sees struggling heart and soul for Realisation. But remain idle, without any struggle, and you will see, that His grace will never come.

Disciple :—Everyone longs to be good, and yet the mind, for some inscrutable reasons, turns to evil! Say, does not everyone wish to be good—to be perfect—to realise God?

Swamiji :—Know them to be already struggling, who desire this. God bestows His mercy when this struggle is maintained.

Disciple :—In the history of the Incarnations, we find many persons,—who, we should say, had led very dissipated lives,—who were able to realise God without much trouble and without performing any *Sâdhana* or devotion. How is this accounted for?

Swamiji :—Yes, but a great restlessness must already have come upon them ; long enjoyment of the objects of the senses must already have created in these deep disgust. Want of peace must have been consuming their very hearts. So deeply they had already felt this void in their hearts, that life even for a moment had seemed unbearable to them unless they could gain that peace which follows in the train of the Lord's mercy. So God was kind to them. This development took place in them direct from *Tamas* to *Sattva*.

Disciple :—Then, whatever was the path, they may be said to have realised God truly in that way?

Swamiji :—Yes, why not? But is it not better to enter into a mansion by the main entrance than by its doorway of dishonour?

Disciple :—No doubt that is true. Yet, the point is established that through mercy alone one can realise God.

Swamiji :—Oh yes, that one can, but few indeed are there who do so!

Disciple :—It appears to me that those who seek to realise God by restraining their senses and renouncing lust and wealth, hold to the (free-will) theory of self-exertion

and self-help ; and that those who take the name of the Lord and depend on Him, are made free by the Lord Himself of all worldly attachments, and led by Him to the supreme stage of Realisation.

Swamiji :—True, those are the two different standpoints, the former held by the Jnánis, and the latter by the Bhaktas. But the ideal of renunciation is the keynote of both.

Disciple :—No doubt about that! But Srijut Giris Chandra Ghose* once said to me that there could be no condition in God's mercy ; there could be no law for it! If there were, then it could no longer be termed mercy. The realm of grace or mercy must transcend all law.

Swamiji :—But there must be some higher law at work in the sphere alluded to by G. C., of which we are ignorant. Those are words, indeed, for the last stage of development, which alone is beyond time, space and causation. But, when we get there, who will be merciful, and to whom, where there is no law of causation? There the worshipper and the worshipped, the meditator and the object of meditation, the knower and the known, all become one—call that Grace, or Brahman, if you will. It is all one uniform homogeneous entity!

Disciple :—Hearing these words from you, O Swamiji, I have come to understand the essence of all philosophy and religion (Vedas and Vedanta) ; it seems as if I had hitherto been living in the midst of high-sounding words without any meaning.

---

* The great Bengalee actor-dramatist, a staunch devotee of Sri Ramakrishna.

XV

THE DOCTRINE OF AHIMSA AND MEAT-EATING——THE SATTVA, RAJAS, AND TAMAS IN MAN——DISCRIMINATION OF FOOD AND SPIRITUALITY——'AHARA'——THE THREE DEFECTS IN FOOD——DON'T-TOUCHISM AND CASTE-PREJUDICES——PLAN OF RESTORING THE OLD CHATURVARNYAM AND THE LAWS OF THE RISHIS.

(*From the diary of a disciple.**)

Disciple :—Pray, Swamiji, do tell me if there is any relation between the discrimination of food taken, and the development of spirituality in man.

Swamiji :—Yes, there is, more or less.

Disciple :—Is it proper or necessary to take fish and meat?

Swamiji :—Aye, take them, my boy! And if there be any harm in doing so, I will take care of that. Look at the masses of our country! What a look of sadness on their faces, and want of courage and enthusiasm in their hearts, with large stomachs and no strength in their hands and feet,—a set of cowards frightened at every trifle!

Disciple :—Does the taking of fish and meat give strength? Why do Buddhism and Vaishnavism preach अहिंसा परमो धर्मः—"Non-killing is the highest virtue"?

Swamiji :—Buddhism and Vaishnavism are not two different things. During the decline of Buddhism in India, Hinduism took from her a few cardinal tenets of conduct and made them her own, and these have now come to be known as Vaishnavism. The Buddhist tenet, "Non-killing is supreme virtue," is very good, but in trying to enforce it upon all by legislation without paying any heed to the capacities of the people at large, Buddhism has brought

* Sri Sarat Chandra Chakravarti, B.A.

ruin upon India. I have come across many a 'religious heron'* in India, who fed ants with sugar, and at the same time would not hesitate to bring ruin on his own brother for the sake of 'filthy lucre'!

Disciple :—But in the Vedas as well as in the laws of Manu, there are injunctions to take fish and meat.

Swamiji :—Aye, and injunctions to abstain from killing as well. For the Vedas enjoin मा हिंस्यात् सर्वभूतानि—"Cause no injury to any being," and Manu also has said निवृत्तिस्तु महाफला—"Cessation of desire brings great results." Killing and non-killing have both been enjoined, according to the individual capacity, or fitness and adaptability, of those who will observe the one practice or the other.

Disciple :—It is the fashion here nowadays to give up fish and meat as soon as one takes to religion, and to many it is more sinful not to do so than to commit such great sins as adultery. How, do you think, such notions came into existence?

Swamiji :—What's the use of your knowing how they came, when you see clearly—do you not?—that such notions are working ruin to our country and our society? Just see,—the people of East Bengal eat much fish, meat and turtle, and they are much healthier than those of this part of Bengal. Even the rich men of East Bengal have not yet taken to *loochis* or *châpâtis* at night, and they do not suffer from acidity and dyspepsia like us. I have heard that in the villages of East Bengal, the people have not the slightest idea of what dyspepsia means!

Disciple :—Quite so, Swamiji. We never complain of dyspepsia in our part of the country. I first heard of it

* Meaning, religious hypocrite. The heron, so the story goes, gave it out to the fishes that he had forsaken his old habit of catching fish, and turned highly religious. So he took his stand by the brink of the water and feigned to be meditating, while in reality he was always watching his opportunity to catch the unwary fish.

after coming to these parts. We take fish with rice, mornings and evenings.

Swamiji :—Aye, take as much of that as you can, without fearing criticism. The country has been flooded with dyspeptic *Babâjis* living on vegetables only. That is no sign of *Sattva*, but of deep *Tamas*—the shadow of death. Brightness in the face, undaunted enthusiasm in the heart, and tremendous activity—these result from *Sattva ;* whereas idleness, lethargy, inordinate attachment and sleep are the signs of *Tamas*.

Disciple :—But do not fish and meat increase *Rajas* in man?

Swamiji :—That is what I want you to have. *Rajas* is badly needed just now! More than ninety per cent. of those whom you now take to be men with the *Sattva* quality, are only steeped in the deepest *Tamas*. Enough if you find one-sixteenth of them to be really *Sâttvika*! What we want now is, an immense awakening of *Râjasika* energy, for the whole country is wrapped in the shroud of *Tamas*. The people of this land must be fed and clothed,—must be awakened,—must be made more fully active. Otherwise they will become inert,—as inert as trees and stones. So, I say, eat large quantities of fish and meat, my boy!

Disciple :—Does a liking for fish and meat remain when one has fully developed the *Sattva* quality?

Swamiji :—No, it does not. All liking for fish and meat disappears when pure *Sattva* is highly developed, and these are the signs of its manifestation in a soul :—Sacrifice of everything for others, perfect non-attachment to lust and wealth, want of pride and egoism. The desire for animal food goes when these things are seen in a man. And where such indications are absent, and yet you find men siding with the non-killing party, know it for a certainty that here there is either hypocrisy or a show of

religion. When you yourself come to that stage of pure *Sattva,* give up fish and meat, by all means.

Disciple :—But in the Chhândogya Upanishad there is this passage—आहारशुद्धौ सत्त्वशुद्धिः—"Through pure food the *Sattva* quality in a man becomes pure."

Swamiji :—Yes, I know. Sankaracharya has said that the word *'Ahâra'* there means, 'objects of the senses,' whereas Sri Ramanuja has taken the meaning of *'Ahâra'* to be 'food.' In my opinion we should take that meaning of the word, which reconciles both these points of view. Are we to pass our lives discussing all the time about the purity and impurity of food only, or are we to practise the restraining of our senses? Surely, the restraining of the senses is the main object ; and the discrimination of good and bad, pure and impure foods, only helps one, to a certain extent, in gaining that end. There are, according to our scripture, three things which make food impure. (1) *Jâti-dosha,* or natural defects of a certain class of foods, like onions, garlic, etc. (2) *Nimitta-dosha,* or defects arising from the presence of external impurities in it, such as the dead insects, or the dust, etc., that attach to sweetmeats bought in shops. (3) *Ashraya-dosha,* or defects that arise by the food coming from evil sources, as when it has been touched and handled by wicked persons. Special care should be taken to avoid the first and second classes of defects. But in this country men pay no regard to these very two, and go on fighting for the third alone, the very one that none but a Yogi could really discriminate ! The country from end to end is being bored to extinction by the cries, 'Don't touch,' 'Don't touch,' of the non-touchism party. In that exclusive circle of theirs, too, there is no discrimination of good and bad men, for their food may be taken from the hands of anyone who wears a thread round his neck and calls himself a Brâhmana ! Sri Ramakrishna was quite unable to take food in this indiscriminate way from the hands of any and all such. It

happened many a time that he would not accept food touched by a certain person or persons, and on rigorous investigation it would turn out that these had some particular stain to hide. Your religion seems nowadays to be confined to the cooking-pot alone. You put on one side the sublime truths of religion, and fight, as they say, for the skin of the fruit and not for the fruit itself!

Disciple :—Do you mean, then, that we should eat the food handled by anyone and everyone?

Swamiji :—Why so? Now look here—you being a Brâhmana of a certain class, say, of the Bhattâcharya class, why should you not eat rice cooked by Brâhmanas of all classes? Why should you, who belong to the *Rârhi* section, object to take the rice cooked by a Brâhmana of the *Bârendra* section, and why should not a *Bârendra* do the same? Again, why should not the other sub-castes in the west and south of India,—e. g., the Mahratti, Telingi, Kanouji, do the same? Do you not see that hundreds of Brâhmanas and Kayasthas in Bengal now go secretly to eat dainties in public restaurants, and when they come out of those places pose as leaders of society, and frame rules to support non-touchism! Must our society really be guided by laws dictated by such hypocrites? No, I say. On the contrary, we must turn them out! The laws laid down by the great *Rishis* of old must be brought back, and be made to rule supreme once more. Then alone can national well-being be ours.

Disciple :—Then, do not the laws laid down by the *Rishis* rule and guide our present society?

Swamiji :—Vain delusion! Where indeed is that the case nowadays? Nowhere have I found the laws of the *Rishis* current in India, even when during my travels I searched carefully and thoroughly. The blind and not unoften meaningless customs sanctioned by the people, local prejudices and ideas, and the usages and ceremonials prevalent amongst women, are what really govern society

everywhere! How many care to read the *Shâstras*, or to lead society according to their ordinances after careful study?

Disciple :—What are we to do, then?

Swamiji :—We must revive the old laws of the *Rishis*. We must initiate the whole people into the codes of our old Manu and Yajnavalkya, with a few modifications here and there to adjust them to the changed circumstances of the time. Do you not see that nowhere in India now are the original four castes (*Châturvarnyam*) to be found? We have to redivide the whole Hindu population, grouping it under the four main castes of Brâhmanas, Kshatriyas, Vaishyas and Sudras, as of old. The numberless modern subdivisions of the Brâhmanas that split them up into so many castes, as it were, have to be abolished and a single Brâhmana caste to be made by uniting them all. Each of the three remaining castes also, will have to be brought similarly into single groups, as was the case in Vedic times. Without this, will the Motherland be really benefited, by your simply crying, as you do nowadays, "We won't touch you," "We won't take him back into our caste"? Never my boy!

SAYINGS AND UTTERANCES—I

1. Man is born to conquer nature and not to follow it.

2. When you think you are a body, you are apart from the universe ; when you think you are a soul, you are a spark from the great Eternal Fire ; when you think you are the "Atman," you are All.

3. The will is not free—it is a phenomenon bound by cause and effect—but there is something behind the will which is free.

4. Strength is in goodness, in purity.

5. The universe is—objectified God.

6. You cannot believe in God until you believe in yourself.

7. The root of evil is the illusion that we are bodies. This, if any, is the original sin.

8. One party says, thought is caused by matter, and the other says, matter is caused by thought. Both statements are wrong ; matter and thought are co-existent. There is a third something, of which both matter and thought are products.

9. As particles of matter combine in space, so mind-waves combine in time.

10. To define God is—grinding the already ground, for He is the only being we know.

11. Religion is the idea which is raising the brute unto man, and man unto God.

12. External nature is only internal nature writ large.

13. The motive is the measure of your work. What motive can be higher than that you are God, and that the lowest man is also God?

14. The observer in the psychic world needs to be very strong and scientifically trained.

15. To believe that mind is all, that thought is all, is only a higher materialism.

16. This world is the great gymnasium where we come to make ourselves strong.

17. You cannot teach a child any more than you can grow a plant. All you can do is on the negative side—you can only help. It is a manifestation from within ; it develops its own nature, you can only take away obstructions.

18. As soon as you make a sect you protest against universal brotherhood. Those who really feel universal brotherhood do not talk much, but their very actions speak aloud.

19. Truth can be stated in a thousand different ways, yet each one can be true.

20. You have to grow from inside out. None can teach you, none can make you spiritual There is no other teacher but your own soul.

21. If in an infinite chain a few links can be explained, by the same method all can be explained.

22. That man has reached immortality, who is disturbed by nothing material.

23. Everything can be sacrificed for truth, but truth cannot be sacrificed for anything.

24. The search for truth is the expression of strength —not the groping of a weak, blind man.

25. God has become man ; man will become God again.

26. It is child's talk that a man dies and goes to heaven. We never come nor go. We are where we are. All the souls that have been, are, and will be, are on one geometrical point.

27. He whose book of the heart has been opened

needs no other books. Their only value is to create a desire in us. They are merely the experiences of others.

28. Have charity towards all beings. Pity those who are in distress. Love all creatures. Do not be jealous of anyone. Look not to the faults of others.

29. Man never dies, nor is he ever born ; bodies die, but he never dies.

30. No one is born into a religion, but each one is born for a religion.

31. There is really but one Self in the universe, all the rest are but Its manifestations.

32. All the worshippers are divided into the common masses and the brave few.

33. If it is impossible to attain perfection here and now, there is no proof that we can attain perfection in any other life.

34. If I know one lump of clay perfectly, I know all the clay there is. This is the knowledge of principles, but their adaptations are various. When you know yourself you know all.

---

35. Personally I take as much of the Vedas as agrees with reason. Parts of the Vedas are apparently contradictory. They are not considered as inspired in the Western sense of the word, but as the sum-total of the knowledge of God, omniscience. This knowledge comes out at the beginning of a cycle and manifests itself ; and when the cycle ends, it goes down into minute form. When the cycle is projected again, that knowledge is projected again with it. So far the theory is all right. But that only these books which are called the Vedas are this knowledge is mere sophistry. Manu says in one place that that part of the Vedas which agrees with reason is the Vedas, and nothing else. Many of our philosophers have taken this view.

36. Of all the scriptures of the world, it is the Vedas alone that declare that even the study of the Vedas is secondary. The real study is that "by which we *realise* the Unchangeable." And that is neither reading, nor believing, nor reasoning, but super-conscious perception or Samadhi.

37. We have been low animals once. We think they are something different from us. I hear Western people say: "The world was created for us." If tigers could write books, they would say man was created for them, and that man is a most sinful animal, because he does not allow him (the tiger) to catch him easily. The worm that crawls under your feet to-day is a God to be.

---

38. "I should very much like our women to have your intellectuality, but not if it must be at the cost of purity," said Swami Vivekananda in New York. "I admire you for all that you know, but I dislike the way that you cover what is bad with roses and call it good. Intellectuality is not the highest good. Morality and spirituality are the things for which we strive. Our women are not so learned, but they are more pure. To all women every man save her husband should be as her son.

"To all men every woman save his own wife should be as his mother. When I look about me and see what you call gallantry, my soul is filled with disgust. Not until you learn to ignore the question of sex and to meet on a ground of common humanity will your women really develop. Until then they are playthings, nothing more. All this is the cause of divorce. Your men bow low and offer a chair, but in another breath they offer compliments. They say, 'Oh, madam, how beautiful are your eyes!' What right have they to do this? How dare a man venture so far, and how can you women permit it? Such things

develop the less noble side of humanity. They do not tend to nobler ideals.

"We should not think that we are men and women, but only that we are human beings, born to cherish and to help one another. No sooner are a young man and a young woman left alone than he pays compliments to her, and perhaps before he takes a wife he has courted 200 women. Bah! If I belonged to the marrying set I could find a woman to love without all that!

"When I was in India and saw these things from the outside I was told it is all right, it is mere pleasantry, and I believed it. But I have travelled since then, and I know it is not right. It is wrong, only you of the West shut your eyes and call it good. The trouble with the nations of the West is that they are young, foolish, fickle and wealthy. What mischief can come of one of these qualities, but when all three, all four, are combined, beware!"

But severe as the Swami was upon all, Boston received the hardest blow:

"Of all, Boston is the worst. There the women are all faddists, all fickle, merely bent on following something new and strange."

39. "Where is the spirituality one would expect in a country," he said in America, "which is so boastful of its civilisation?"

---

40. 'Here' and 'hereafter' are words to frighten children. It is all "here." To live and move in God, even here, even in this body; all self should go out; all superstition should be banished. Such persons live in India. Where are such in this country (America)? Your preachers speak against dreamers. The people of this country will be better off if there were more dreamers. There is a good deal of difference between dreaming and the brag of the nineteenth century. The whole world is

full of God and not of sin. Let us help each other, let us love each other.

41. Let me die a true Sannyâsin as my Master did, heedless of money, of women, and of fame! And of these the most insidious is the love of fame!

42. I have never spoken of revenge, I have always spoken of strength. Do we dream of revenging ourselves on this drop of sea-spray? But it is a great thing to a mosquito!

43. "This is a great land," said Swamiji on one occasion in America, "but I would not like to live here. Americans think too much of money. They give it preference over everything else. Your people have much to learn. When your nation is as old as ours, you will be wiser."

44. It may be that I shall find it good to get outside of my body—to cast it off like a disused garment. But I shall not cease to work! I shall inspire men everywhere, until the world shall know that it is one with God.

45. All that I am, all that the world itself will someday be, is owing to my Master, Sri Ramakrishna, who incarnated and experienced and taught this wonderful unity which underlies everything, having discovered it alike in Hinduism, in Islam, and in Christianity.

46. Give the sense of taste (tongue) a free rein, and the other organs will also run on unbridled.

47. Jnanam, Bhakti, Yoga and Karma—these are the four paths which lead to salvation. One must follow the path for which he is best suited ; but in this age special stress should be laid on Karma Yoga.

48. Religion is not a thing of imagination but of direct perception. He who has seen even a single spirit, is greater than many a book-learned Pandit.

49. Once Swamiji was praising someone very much ; at this, one sitting near by said to him, "But he does not believe in you." Hearing this, Swamiji at once replied, "Is

there any legal affidavit that he should have to do so? He is doing good work, and so he is worthy of praise."

50. In the domain of true religion, book-learning has no right to enter.

51. The downfall of a religious sect begins from the day that the worship of the rich enters into it.

52. If you want to do anything evil, do it before the eyes of your superiors.

53. By the grace of the Guru, a disciple becomes a Pandit (scholar) even without reading books.

54. There is no sin or virtue : there is only ignorance. By realisation of non-duality this ignorance is dispelled.

55. Religious movements come in groups. Each one of them tries to rear itself above the rest. But as a rule only one of them really grows in strength, and this, in the long run, swallows up all the contemporary movements.

---

56. When Swamiji was at Ramnad, he said in the course of a conversation, that Sri Rama was the Paramatman and that Sita was the Jivatman and each man's or woman's body was the Lanka (Ceylon). The Jivatman which was enclosed in the body, or captured in the island of Lanka, always desired to be in affinity with the Paramatman or Sri Rama. But the Rakshasas would not allow it, and Rakshasas represented certain traits of character. For instance, Bibhishana represented Sattva Guna ; Ravana, Rajas ; and Kumbhakarna, Tamas. Sattva Guna means goodness ; Rajas means lust and passions, and Tamas darkness, stupor, avarice, malice, and its concomitants. These Gunás keep back Sita or Jivatman which is in the body, or Lanka, from joining Paramatman or Rama. Sita, thus imprisoned and trying to unite with her Lord, receives a visit from Hanuman, the Guru or divine teacher, who shows her the Lord's ring, which is Brahma Jnana, the supreme wisdom that destroys all illusions, and thus Sita

finds the way to be at one with Sri Rama, or, in other words, the Jivatman finds itself one with the Paramatman.

57. A true Christian is a true Hindu, and a true Hindu is a true Christian.

---

58. All healthy social changes are the manifestations of the spiritual forces working within, and if these are strong and well adjusted, society will arrange itself accordingly. Each individual has to work out his own salvation ; there is no other way, and so also nations. Again, the great institutions of every nation are the conditions of its very existence, and cannot be transformed by the mould of any other race. Until higher institutions have been evolved, any attempt to break the old ones will be disastrous. Growth is always gradual.

It is very easy to point out the defects of institutions, all such being more or less imperfect, but he is the real benefactor of humanity, who helps the individual to overcome his imperfections, under whatever institutions the latter may live. The individuals being raised, the nation and its institutions are bound to rise. Bad customs and laws become ignored by the virtuous, and unwritten but mightier laws of love, sympathy and integrity take their place. Happy is the nation which can rise to the necessity of but few law books, and needs no longer to bother its head about this or that institution. Good men rise beyond all laws, and will help their fellows to rise under whatever conditions they live.

The salvation of India, therefore, depends on the strength of the individual, and the realisation by each man of the divinity within.

WRITINGS—PROSE AND POEMS—II

THE MESSAGE OF DIVINE WISDOM

The following three chapters were discovered among Swami Vivekananda's papers. He evidently intended to write a book and jotted down some points for the work.

I Bondage. II The Law.
III The Absolute and the attainment of Freedom.

I

BONDAGE

1. Desire is infinite, its fulfilment limited. Desire is unlimited in everyone, the power of fulfilment varies. Thus, some are more successful than others in life.

2. This limitation is the bondage we are struggling against, all our lives.

3. We desire only the pleasurable, not the painful.

4. The objects of desire are all complex—pleasure-giving or pain-bringing mixed up.

5. We do not or cannot see the painful parts in objects, we are charmed with only the pleasurable portion, and thus grasping the pleasurable, we unwittingly draw in the painful.

6. At times we vainly hope, that in our case only the pleasurable will come leaving the painful aside, which never happens.

7. Our desires also are constantly changing—what we would prize to-day we would reject to-morrow. The pleasure of the present will be the pain of the future, the loved hated, and so on.

8. We vainly hope that in the future life, we shall be able to gather in only the pleasurable, to the exclusion of the painful.

9. The future is only the extension of the present. Such a thing cannot be!

10. Whosoever seeks pleasure in objects, will get it, but he must take the pain with it.

11. All objective pleasure in the long run must bring pain, because of the fact of change or death.

12. Death is the goal of all objects, change is the nature of all objective things.

13. As desire increases, so increases the power of pleasure, so the power of pain.

14. The finer the organism, the higher the culture—the greater is the power to enjoy pleasure, and the sharper are the pangs of pain.

15. Mental pleasures are greatly superior to physical joys. Mental pains are more poignant than physical tortures.

16. The power of thought, of looking far away into the future, the power of memory, of recalling the past to the present, make us live in heaven ; they make us live in hell also.

17. The man who can collect the largest amount of pleasurable objects around him, is as a rule too unimaginative to enjoy them. The man of great imagination is thwarted by the intensity of his feeling of loss, or fear of loss, or perception of defects.

18. We are struggling hard to conquer pain, succeeding in the attempt and yet creating new pains at the same time.

19. We achieve success and we are overthrown by failure, we pursue pleasure and we are pursued by pain.

20. We say we do, we are made to do ; we say we work, we are made to labour. We say we live, we are made to die every moment. We are in the crowd, we

cannot stop, must go on—it needs no cheering. Had it not been so, no amount of cheering would make us undertake all this pain and misery for a grain of pleasure,—which alas! in most cases is only a hope!

21. Our pessimism is a dread reality, our optimism is a faint cheering, making the best of a bad job.

II

THE LAW

1. The law is never separate from the phenomena, the principle from the person.

2. The law is the method of action or pose of every single phenomenon within its scope.

3. We get our knowledge of law from the massing and welding of changes that occur. We never see law beyond these changes. The idea of law as something separate from phenomena is a mental abstraction, a convenient use of words and nothing more. Law is a part of every change within its range, a manner which resides in the things governed by the law. The power resides in the thing, is a part of our idea of that thing—its action upon something else is in a certain manner—this is our law.

4. Law is in the actual state of things,—it is in how they act towards each other and not in how they should. It might have been better if fire did not burn, or water wet, but that they do—and this is the law, and if it is a true law, a fire that does not burn, or water that does not wet, is neither fire nor water.

5. Spiritual laws, ethical laws, social laws, national laws—would be laws if they are parts of existing spiritual and human units and the unfailing experience of the action of every unit said to be bound by such laws.

6. We are, by turn, made by law as well as its maker. A generalisation of what man does invariably under certain circumstances, is a law with regard to man in that particular aspect. It is the invariable, universal human action that is law for man—and which no individual can escape—and yet the summation of the action of each individual is the universal Law. The sum-total, or the universal, or the infinite, is fashioning the individual, while the individual is keeping by its action, the Law alive. Law in this sense is another name for the universal. The universal is dependent upon the individual, the individual dependent upon the universal. It is an infinite made up of finite parts, an infinite of number, though involving the difficulty of assuming an infinity summed up of finites—yet for all practical purposes, it is a fact before us. And as the law, or whole, or the infinite, cannot be destroyed—and the destruction of a part of an infinite is an impossibility, as we cannot either add or subtract anything from the infinite —each part persists for ever.

7. Laws regarding the materials of which the body of man is composed have been found out, and also the persistence of these materials through time has been shown. The elements which composed the body of a man a hundred thousand years ago, have been proved to be still existing in some place or other. The thoughts which have been projected also are living in other minds.

8. But the difficulty is to find a law about the man beyond the body.

9. The spiritual and ethical laws are not the method of action of every human being. The systems of ethics, of morality, even of national laws, are honoured more in the breach than in the observance. If they were laws how could they be broken?

10. No man is able to go against the laws of nature. How is it that we always complain of his breaking the moral laws, national laws?

11. The national laws at best are the embodied will of a majority of the nation—always a state of things wished for, not actually existing.

12. The ideal law may be that no man should covet the belongings of others, but the actual law is that a very large number do.

13. Thus the word law used in regard to laws of nature, has a very different interpretation when applied to ethics and human actions generally.

14. Analysing the ethical laws of the world and comparing them with the actual state of things, two laws stand out supreme. The one, that of repelling everything from us—separating ourselves from everyone—which leads to self-aggrandisement even at the cost of everyone else's happiness. The other, that of self-sacrifice—of taking no thought of ourselves—only of others. Both spring from the search for Happiness—one, of finding happiness in injuring others and the ability of feeling that happiness only in our own senses. The other, of finding happiness in doing good to others—the ability of feeling happy, as it were, through the senses of others. The great and good of the world were those who had the latter power predominating. Yet both these are working side by side conjointly ; in almost everyone they are found in mixture, one or the other predominating. The thief steals, perhaps, for someone he loves.

III

THE ABSOLUTE AND THE ATTAINMENT OF FREEDOM

1. Om Tat Sat—that Being—Knowing—Bliss.

(*a*) The only real Existence, which alone is,—everything else exists in as much as it reflects that real Existence.

(*b*) It is the only Knower—the only Self-luminous—the Light of consciousness. Everything else shines by light borrowed from It. Everything else knows in as much as it reflects Its knowing.

(*c*) It is the only Blessedness—as in It there is no want. It comprehends all,—is the essence of all.

It is *SAT-CHIT-ANANDA.*

(*d*) It has no parts, no attributes, neither pleasure nor pain, nor is it matter or mind. It is the Supreme, Infinite, Impersonal Self in everything, the Infinite Ego of the Universe.

(*e*) It is the Reality in me, in thee, and in everything —therefore,

"THAT THOU ART"—TATTVAMASI.

2. The same Impersonal is conceived by the mind as the Creator, the Ruler and the Dissolver of this universe, its material as well as its efficient cause, the Supreme Ruler—the Living, the Loving, the Beautiful, in the highest sense.

(*a*) The Absolute Being is manifested in Its Highest in Isvara, or the Supreme Ruler, as the Highest and Omnipotent Life or Energy.

(*b*) The Absolute Knowledge is manifesting Itself in Its Highest as Infinite Love, in the Supreme Lord.

(*c*) The Absolute Bliss is manifested as the Infinite Beautiful, in the Supreme Lord. He is the greatest attraction of the soul.

THE SATYAM-SIVAM-SUNDARAM.

The Absolute or Brahman, the Sat-Chit-Ananda, is Impersonal and the real Infinite.

Every existence from the Highest to the lowest, all manifest according to their degree as—energy (in the higher-life), attraction (in the higher-love), and struggle for

equilibrium (in the higher-happiness). This Highest Energy-Love-Beauty is a person, an individual, the Infinite Mother of this universe,—the God of gods,—the Lord of lords, Omnipresent yet separate from the universe,—the Soul of souls, yet separate from every soul,—the Mother of this universe, because She has produced it, its Ruler as She guides it with the greatest love, and in the long run brings everything back to Herself. Through Her command the sun and moon shine, the clouds rain, and death stalks upon the earth.

She is the power of all causation. She energises every cause unmistakably to produce the effect. Her will is the only law, and as She cannot make a mistake, Nature's laws—Her will—can never be changed. She is the life of the Law of Karma or causation,—She is the fructifier of every action. Under Her guidance we are manufacturing our lives through our deeds or Karma.

Freedom is the motive of the universe, Freedom its goal. The laws of nature are the methods through which we are struggling to reach that freedom, under the guidance of Mother.

This universal struggle for freedom attains its highest expression in man in the conscious desire to be free.

This freedom is attained by the threefold means of—work, worship and knowledge.

(*a*) Work—constant unceasing effort to help others and loving others.

(*b*) Worship—consists in prayer, praise and meditation.

(*c*) Knowledge—that follows meditation.

THE BELUR MATH: AN APPEAL

The success which attended the labours of the disciples of Sri Ramakrishna Paramahamsa in diffusing the principles of Hindu religion and obtaining some respect for our much abused faith in the West, gave rise to the hope of training a number of young Sannyásins to carry on the propaganda, both in and out of India. And an attempt is being made to educate a number of young men according to the Vedic principle of students living in touch with the Guru.

A *Math* has already been started on the Ganges near Calcutta, through the kindness of some European and American friends.

The work, to produce any visible results in a short time, requires funds and hence this appeal to those who are in sympathy with our efforts.

It is intended to extend the operations of the *Math*, by educating in the *Math*, as many young men as the funds can afford, in both Western science and Indian spirituality, so that in addition to the advantages of an University education, they will acquire a manly discipline by living in contact with their teachers.

The central *Math* near Calcutta will gradually start branches in other parts of the country as men become ready and the means are forthcoming.

It is a work which will take time to bring forth any permanent result and requires a great deal of sacrifice on the part of our young men and on those who have the means of helping this work.

We believe the men are ready, and our appeal therefore is to those who really love their religion and their country and have the means to show their sympathy practically by helping the cause.

VIVEKANANDA.

THE ADVAITA ASHRAMA, HIMALAYAS

The following lines were sent in a letter, March, 1899, by Swamiji, for embodying them in the prospectus of the Advaita Ashrama, *Mayavati, Almora, Himalayas.*

In Whom is the Universe, Who is in the Universe, Who is the Universe ; in whom is the Soul, Who is in the Soul, Who is the Soul of man ; knowing Him—and therefore the Universe as our Self, alone extinguishes all fear, brings an end to misery and leads to Infinite Freedom. Wherever there has been expansion in love or progress in well-being, of individuals or numbers, it has been through the perception, realisation and the practicalisation of the Eternal Truth,—THE ONENESS OF ALL BEINGS. "Dependence is misery. Independence is happiness." The Advaita is the only system which gives unto man complete possession of himself, takes off all dependence and its associated superstitions, thus making us brave to suffer, brave to do, and in the long run attain to Absolute Freedom.

Hitherto it has not been possible to preach this Noble Truth entirely free from the settings of dualistic weakness ; this alone, we are convinced, explains why it has not been more operative and useful to mankind at large.

To give this ONE TRUTH a freer and fuller scope in elevating the lives of individuals and leavening the mass of mankind, we start this Advaita Ashrama on the Himalayan heights, the land of its first expiration.

Here it is hoped to keep Advaita free from all superstitions and weakening contaminations. Here will be taught and practised nothing but the Doctrine of Unity, pure and simple ; and though in entire sympathy with all other systems, this Ashrama is dedicated to Advaita and Advaita alone.

THE RAMAKRISHNA HOME OF SERVICE, BENARES: AN APPEAL*

DEAR——,

We beg your acceptance of the past year's Report of the Ramakrishna Home of Service, Benares, embodying a short statement of our humble efforts towards the amelioration, however little, of the miserable state into which a good many of our fellow-beings, generally old men and women, are cast in this city.

In these days of intellectual awakening and steadily asserting public opinion, the holy places of the Hindus, their condition, and method of work, have not escaped the keen eye of criticism ; and this city, being the holy of holies to all Hindus, has not failed to attract its full share of censure.

In other sacred places people go to purify themselves from sin, and their connection with these places is casual, and of a few days' duration. In this, the most ancient and living centre of Aryan religious activity, there come men and women, and as a rule, old and decrepit, waiting to pass unto Eternal Freedom, through the greatest of all sanctifications, death under the shadow of the temple of the Lord of the Universe.

And then there are those who have renounced everything for the good of the world, and have for ever lost the helping hands of their own flesh and blood, and childhood's associations.

They too are overtaken by the common lot of humanity, physical evil in the form of disease.

It may be true that some blame attaches to the management of the place. It may be true that the priests deserve a good part of the sweeping criticism generally

* Letter written by Swamiji, to accompany the First Report of the Ramakrishna Home of Service, Benares, February 1902.

heaped upon them ; yet we must not forget the great truth —like people like priests. If the people stand by with folded hands and watch the swift current of misery rushing past their doors, dragging men, women and children, the Sannyásin and the householder, into one common whirlpool of helpless suffering, and make not the least effort to save any from the current, only waxing eloquent at the misdoings of the priests of the holy places, not one particle of suffering can ever be lessened, not one ever be helped.

Do we want to keep up the faith of our forefathers in the efficacy of the Eternal City of Shiva towards salvation?

If we do, we ought to be glad to see the number of those increase from year to year, who come here to die.

And blessed be the name of the Lord that the poor have this eager desire for salvation, the same as ever.

The poor who come here to die have voluntarily cut themselves off from any help they could have received in the places of their birth, and when disease overtakes them, their condition we leave to your imagination and to your conscience as a Hindu, to feel and to rectify.

Brother, does it not make you pause and think of the marvellous attraction of this wonderful place of preparation for final rest? Does it not strike you with a mysterious sense of awe—this age-old and never-ending stream of pilgrims marching to salvation through death?

If it does,—come and lend us a helping hand.

Never mind if your contribution is only a mite, your help only a little ; blades of grass united into a rope will hold in confinement the maddest of elephants,—says the old proverb.

Ever yours in the Lord of the Universe,

VIVEKANANDA.

WHO KNOWS HOW MOTHER PLAYS!

Perchance a prophet thou—
Who knows? Who dares touch
The depths where Mother hides
Her silent failless bolts!

Perchance the child had glimpse
Of shades, behind the scenes,
With eager eyes and strained,
Quivering forms—ready
To jump in front and be
Events, resistless, strong.
Who knows but Mother, how,
And where, and when, they come?

Perchance the shining sage
Saw more than he could tell;
Who knows, what soul, and when,
The Mother makes Her throne?
What law would freedom bind?
What merit guide Her will,
Whose freak is great'st order,
Whose will resistless law?

To child may glories ope
Which father never dreamt;
May thousandfold in daughter
Her powers Mother store.

TO THE FOURTH OF JULY

[It is well known that the Swami Vivekananda's death (or resurrection, as some of us would prefer to call it!) took place on the 4th of July 1902. On the 4th of July 1898, he was travelling, with some American disciples, in Kashmir, and as part of a domestic conspiracy for the celebration of the day—the anniversary of the American

Declaration of Independence—he prepared the following poem, to be read aloud at the early breakfast. The poem itself fell to the keeping of *Sthirá Mátá*.]

Behold, the dark clouds melt away,
That gathered thick at night, and hung
So like a gloomy pall, above the earth!
Before thy magic touch, the world
Awakes. The birds in chorus sing.
The flowers raise their star-like crowns,
Dew-set, and wave thee welcome fair.
The lakes are opening wide in love,
Their hundred thousand lotus-eyes,
To welcome thee, with all their depth.
All hail to thee, thou Lord of Light!
A welcome new to thee, to-day,
Oh Sun! To-day thou sheddest *Liberty*!

Bethink thee how the world did wait,
And search for thee, through time and clime.
Some gave up home and love of friends,
And went in quest of thee, self-banished,
Through dreary oceans, through primeval forests,
Each step a struggle for their life or death;
Then came the day when work bore fruit,
And worship, love, and sacrifice,
Fulfilled, accepted, and complete.
Then thou, propitious, rose to shed
The light of *Freedom* on mankind.

Move on, Oh Lord, in thy resistless path!
Till thy high noon o'erspreads the world,
Till every land reflect thy light,
Till men and women, with uplifted head,
Behold their shackles broken, and
Know, in springing joy, their life renewed!

TRANSLATION OF WRITINGS —PROSE AND POEMS—II

THE EAST AND THE WEST

(Translated from a Bengali contribution by Swamiji to the Udbodhan.)

Vast and deep rivers, swelling and impetuous, charming pleasure-gardens by the river-banks, putting to shame the celestial *Nandana-Kânana* ; amidst these pleasure-gardens rise towering to the sky beautiful marble palaces, decorated with the most exquisite workmanship of fine art ; on the sides, in front, and behind, clusters of huts, with crumbling mud-walls and dilapidated roofs, the bamboos of which, forming their skeletons as it were, are exposed to view ; moving about here and there emaciated figures of young and old in tattered rags, whose faces bear deep-cut lines of the despair and poverty of hundreds of years ; cows, bullocks, buffaloes common everywhere—aye, the same melancholy look in their eyes, the same feeble physique ; on the way-side, refuse and dirt ;—this is our present-day India !

Worn-out huts by the very side of palaces, piles of refuse in the near proximity of temples, the Sannyâsin clad with only a little loin-cloth, walking by the gorgeously dressed, the pitiful gaze of lustreless eyes of the hunger-stricken at the well-fed and the amply-provided ;—this is our native land !

Devastation by violent plague and cholera ; malaria eating into the very vitals of the nation ; starvation and semi-starvation as second nature ; death-like famine often dancing its tragic dance ; the Kurukshetra (battle-field) of malady and misery ; the huge cremation-ground, strewn

with the dead bones of her lost hope, activity, joy and courage, and in the midst of that, sitting in august silence the Yogin, absorbed in deep communion with the Spirit, with no other goal in life than Moksha ;—this is what meets the eye of the European traveller in India.

A conglomeration of three hundred million souls, resembling men only in appearance ;—crushed out of life by being down-trodden by their own people and foreign nations, by people professing their own religion, and by others of foreign faiths ;—patient in labour and suffering, and devoid of an initiative, like the slave ;—without any hope, without any past, without any future ;—desirous only of maintaining the present life anyhow, however precarious ;—of a malicious nature befitting a slave, to whom the prosperity of their fellowmen is unbearable ;—bereft of Shraddhâ, like one with whom all hope is dead, faithless ; —whose weapon of defence is base trickery, treachery and slyness like that of a fox ;—the embodiment of selfishness ; —licking the dust of the feet of the strong, withal dealing a death-blow to those who are comparatively weak ;—full of ugly, diabolical superstitions which come naturally to those who are weak, and hopeless of the future ;—without any standard of morality as their backbone ;—three hundred millions of souls such as these are swarming on the body of India, like so many worms on a rotten, stinking carcase,—this is the picture concerning us, which naturally presents itself to the English official !

Maddened with the wine of ever-acquiring powers ; devoid of discrimination between right and wrong ; fierce like wild beasts, hen-pecked, lustful ; drenched in liquor, having no idea of chastity or purity, and of cleanly ways and habits ; believing in matter only, whose civilisation rests on matter and its various applications ; addicted to the aggrandisement of self by exploiting others' countries, others' wealth, by force, trick and treachery ; having no faith in the life hereafter, whose Atman is the body, whose

whole life is only in the senses and creature comforts ;—thus, to the Indian, the Westerner is the veriest demon (Asura).

These are the views of observers, on both sides,—views born of mutual indiscrimination, and superficial knowledge or ignorance. The foreigners, the Europeans, come to India, live in palatial buildings in the perfectly clean and healthy quarters of our towns, and compare our "native" quarters with their neat and beautifully laid-out cities at home ; the Indians with whom they come in contact are only of one class,—those who hold some sort of employment under them. And, indeed, distress and poverty are nowhere else to be met with as in India ; besides that, there is no gainsaying that dirt and filth are everywhere. To the European mind, it is inconceivable that anything good can possibly be amidst such dirt, such slavery and such degradation.

We, on the other hand, see that the Europeans eat without discrimination whatever they get, have no idea of cleanliness as we have, do not observe caste distinctions, freely mix with women, drink wine and shamelessly dance at a ball, men and women held in each other's arms ;—and we ask ourselves in amazement: What good can there be in such a nation?

Both these views are derived from without, and do not look within, and below the surface. We do not allow foreigners to mix in our society, and we call them Mlechchhas ; they also in their turn hate us as slaves, and call us "niggers."

In both of these views there must be some truth, though neither of the parties has seen the real thing behind each.

Within every man, there is an idea ; the external man is only the outward manifestation, the mere language of this idea within. Likewise, every nation has a corresponding national idea. This idea is working for the world and is necessary for its preservation. The day when the necessity

of an idea as an element for the preservation of the world is over, that very day the receptacle of that idea, whether it be an individual or a nation, will meet destruction. The reason that we Indians are still living, in spite of so much misery, distress, poverty and oppression from within and without is, that we have a national idea, which is yet necessary for the preservation of the world. The Europeans too have a national idea of their own, without which the world will not go on ; therefore they are so strong. Does a man live a moment, if he loses all his strength? A nation is the sum-total of so many individual men ; will a nation live if it has utterly lost all its strength and activity? Why did not this Hindu race die out, in the face of so many troubles and tumults of a thousand years? If our customs and manners are so very bad, how is it that we have not been effaced from the face of the earth by this time? Have the various foreign conquerors spared any pains to crush us out? Why, then, were not the Hindus blotted out of existence, as happened with men in other countries which are uncivilised? Why was not India depopulated and turned into a wilderness? Why, then foreigners would have lost no time to come and settle in India, and till her fertile lands in the same way as they did and are still doing in America, Australia and Africa. Well then, my foreigner, you are not so strong as you think yourself to be ; it is a vain imagination. First understand that India has strength as well, has a substantial reality of her own yet. Furthermore, understand that India is still living, because she has her own quota yet to give to the general store of the world's civilisation. And you too understand this full well, I mean those of our countrymen who have become thoroughly Europeanised both in external habits and in ways of thought and ideas, and who are continually crying their eyes out, and praying to the Europeans to save them,—"We are degraded, we have come down to the level of brutes ; oh ye European people! you are our saviours, have pity on us

and raise us from this fallen state." And you too understand this, who are singing *Te Deums* and raising a hue and cry that Jesus is come to India, and are seeing the fulfilment of the divine decree in the fulness of time. Oh dear! No! neither Jesus is come nor Jehovah ; nor will they come ; they are now busy in saving their own hearths and homes and have no time to come to our country. Here is the self-same Old Siva seated as before, the bloody Mother Kali worshipped with the self-same paraphernalia, the pastoral Shepherd of Love, Sri Krishna, playing on His flute. Once this Old Siva, riding on His bull and taboring on His *Damaru* travelled from India, on the one side, to Sumatra, Borneo, Celebes, Australia, as far as the shores of America, and on the other side, the Old Siva battened His bull in Thibet, China, Japan and as far up as Siberia, and is still doing the same. The Mother Kali is still exacting Her worship even in China and Japan ; it is She whom the Christians metamorphosed into the Virgin Mary, and worship as the mother of Jesus the Christ. Behold the Himalayas, there to its north is the Kailas, the main abode of the Old Siva ; that throne the ten-headed, twenty-armed, mighty Ravana could not shake ;—now for the Missionaries to attempt the task?—Bless my soul!! Here in India will ever be the Old Siva taboring on His *Damaru,* the Mother Kali worshipped with animal sacrifice, and the lovable Sri Krishna playing on His flute. Firm as the Himalayas they are ; and no attempts of anyone, Christian and other missionaries, will ever be able to remove them. If you cannot bear them,—avaunt! For a handful of you, shall a whole nation be wearied out of all patience and bored to death? Why don't you make your way somewhere else where you may find fields to freely graze upon,—the wide world is open to you? But no, that they won't do! Where is that strength to do it? They would eat the salt of that Old Siva and play Him false, slander Him, and sing the glory of a foreign Saviour

—dear me!! To such of our countrymen who go whimpering before foreigners—"We are very low, we are mean, we are degraded, everything we have is diabolical,"—to them we say, "Yes, that may be the truth, forsooth, because you profess to be truthful and we have no reason to disbelieve you; but why do you include the whole nation in that "*We*"? Pray, Sirs, what sort of good manners is that?"

First, we have to understand that there are not any good qualities which are the privileged monopoly of one nation only. Of course, as with individuals, so with nations, there may be a prevalence of certain good qualities, more or less in one nation than in another.

With us, the prominent idea is Mukti; with the Westerners, it is Dharma. What we desire is—Mukti; what they want is—Dharma. Here the word "*Dharma*" is used in the sense of the *Mimamsakas*. What is Dharma? Dharma is that which makes man seek for happiness in this world or the next. Dharma is established on work; Dharma is impelling man day and night to run after, and work for, happiness.

What is Mukti? That which teaches that even the happiness of this life is slavery, and the same is the happiness of the life to come, because neither this world nor the next is beyond the laws of nature; only, the slavery of this world is to that of the next, as an iron chain is to a golden one. Again, happiness, wherever it may be, being within the laws of nature, is subject to death and will not last *ad infinitum*. Therefore, man must aspire to become Mukta, he must go beyond the bondage of the body; slavery will not do. This Moksha-path is only in India and nowhere else. Hence is true the oft-repeated saying that Mukta souls are only in India and in no other country. But it is equally true that in future they will be in other countries as well;—that is well and good, and a thing of great pleasure to us. There was a time in India, when

Dharma was compatible with Mukti. There were worshippers of Dharma, such as Yudhisthira, Arjuna, Duryodhana, Bhishma and Karna, side by side with the aspirants of Mukti, such as Vyasa, Suka and Janaka. On the advent of Buddhism, Dharma was entirely neglected, and the path of Moksha alone became predominant. Hence, we read in the Agni Purana, in the language of similes, that the demon Gayâsura—that is, Buddha*—tried to destroy the world, by showing the path of Moksha to all ; and therefore, the Devas held a council, and by stratagem set him at rest for ever. However, the central fact is, that the fall of our country, of which we hear so much spoken, is due to the utter want of this Dharma. If the whole nation practises and follows the path of Moksha, that is well and good; but is that possible? Without enjoyment, renunciation can never come ; first enjoy and then you can renounce. Otherwise, if the whole nation, all of a sudden, takes up Sannyâsa, it does not gain what it desires, but it loses what it had into the bargain,—the bird in the hand is fled, nor is that in the bush caught. When, in the heyday of Buddhistic supremacy, thousands of Sannyâsins lived in every monastery, then it was that the country was just on the verge of its ruin! The Bauddhas, the Christians, the Musalmans and the Jains prescribe, in their folly, the same law and the same rule for all. That is a great mistake ; education, habits, customs, laws and rules should be different for different men and nations, in conformity with their difference of temperament. What will it avail, if it is tried to make them all uniform, by compulsion? The Bauddhas declared, "Nothing is more desirable in life than Moksha ; whoever you are, come one and all to take it." I ask, "Is that ever possible?" "You are a householder,

* Swamiji afterwards changed this view with reference to Buddha, as is evident from the letter he wrote from Benares to one of his Sannyasin disciples. See page 113, "The story in the Agni Purana .....pre-existence of the place." (Epistle no. LXIX).

you must not concern yourself much with things of that sort, you do your *Svadharma*"—thus say the Hindu scriptures. Exactly so! He who cannot leap over one foot, is going to jump across the ocean to Lankâ in one bound! Is it reason? You cannot feed your own family, or dole out food to two of your fellowmen, you cannot do even an ordinary piece of work for the common good, in harmony with others, and you are running after Mukti!! The Hindu scriptures say, "No doubt, Moksha is far superior to Dharma ; but, Dharma should be finished first of all." The Bauddhas were confounded just there and brought about all sorts of mischief. Non-injury is right. 'Resist not evil' is a great thing,—these are indeed grand principles ; but the Shâstras say, Thou art a householder, if anyone smite thee on thy cheek, and thou dost not return him an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth, thou wilt verily be a sinner. Manu says, "When one has come to kill you, there is no sin in killing him, even though he is a Brâhmana." (*Manu*, VIII. 350.) This is very true, and this is a thing which should not be forgotten. Heroes only enjoy the world—show your heroism, apply, according to circumstances, the fourfold political maxims of conciliation, bribery, sowing dissensions and open war, to win over your adversary, and enjoy the world, —then you will be Dhârmika. Otherwise, you live a disgraceful life if you pocket your insults, when you are kicked and trodden down by anyone who takes it into his head to do so ; your life is a veritable hell here, and so is the life hereafter. This is what the Shâstras say. Do your *Svadharma*—this is truth, the truth of truths. This is my advice to you, my beloved co-religionists. Of course, do not do any wrong, do not injure or tyrannise over anyone, but try to do good to others as much as you can. But to passively submit to wrong done by others is a sin,—with the householder ; he must try to pay them back in their own coin then and there. The householder must earn money

with great effort and enthusiasm, and by that must support and bring comforts to his own family and to others, and perform good works as far as possible. If you cannot do that, how do you profess to be a man? You are not a householder even,—what to talk of Moksha for you!!

We have said before that Dharma is based on work. The nature of the Dhârmika is constant performance of action with efficiency. Why, even the opinion of some *Mimâmsakas* is, that those parts of the Vedas which do not enjoin work are not, properly speaking, Vedas at all. One of the aphorisms of Jaimini runs thus,—आम्नायस्य क्रियार्थत्वादानर्थक्यमतदर्थानाम्—"The purpose of the Vedas being work, those parts of the Vedas that do not deal with work miss the mark."

"By constant repetition of the letter *Om* and by meditating on its meaning, everything can be obtained"; "All sins are washed away by uttering the name of the Lord"; "He gets all, who resigns himself to the Will of God";—yes, these words of the Shâstras and the sages are, no doubt, true. But, do you see, thousands of us are, for our whole life, meditating on Om, are getting ecstatic in devotion in the name of the Lord, and are crying, "Thy Will be done, I am fully resigned to Thee," and what are they actually getting in return?—absolutely nothing! How do you account for this? The reason lies here, and it must be fully understood,—Whose meditation is real and effective? Who can really resign himself to the Will of God? Who can utter with power irresistible, like that of a thunderbolt, the name of the Lord? It is he who has earned *Chittasuddhi*, that is, whose mind has been purified by work, or in other words, he who is the 'Dhârmika.'

Every individual is a centre for the manifestation of a certain force. This force has been stored up as the resultant of our previous works, and each one of us is born with this force at his back. So long as this force has not worked itself out, who can possibly remain quiet and give

up work? Until then, he will have to enjoy or suffer according to the fruition of his good or bad work, and will be irresistibly impelled to do work. When enjoyment and work cannot be given up till then, is it not better to do good rather than bad works,—to enjoy happiness rather than suffer misery? Sri Ramprasad* used to say, "They speak of two words, 'good' and 'bad'; of them it is better to do the good."

Now what is that good which is to be pursued? The good for him who desires Moksha is one, and the good for him who wants Dharma is another. This is the great truth which the Lord Sri Krishna, the revealer of the Gita, has tried therein so much to explain, and upon this great truth is established the *Varnâshrama* system and the doctrine of *Svadharma*, &c., of the Hindu religion.

अद्वेष्टा सर्वभूतानां मैत्रः करुण एव च ।
निर्ममो निरहंकारः समदुःखसुखः क्षमी ॥ (Gita XII. 13.)

"He who has no enemy, and is friendly and compassionate towards all, who is free from the feelings of 'me and mine,' even-minded in pain and pleasure, and forbearing," and other words of like nature, are for him whose one goal in life is Moksha. And,—

क्लैब्यं मास्म गमः पार्थ नैतत्त्वय्युपपद्यते ।
क्षुद्रं हृदयदौर्बल्यं त्यक्त्वोत्तिष्ठ परन्तप ॥ (Gita II. 3.)

"Yield not to unmanliness, O son of Prithâ! Ill doth it befit thee. Cast off this mean faintheartedness and arise, O scorcher of thine enemies," as also,—

तस्मात्त्वमुत्तिष्ठ यशो लभस्व जित्वा शत्रून्भुंक्ष्व राज्यं समृद्धम् ।
मयैवैते निहताः पूर्वमेव निमित्तमात्रं भव सव्यसाचिन् ॥ (Gita XI. 33.)

* Sri Ramprasad was a native of Bengal and a great sage. He was a devotee of the Goddess Kâli and an inspired poet. He composed many songs in praise of the Deity and, in them, expressed the highest truths of religion in the most simple words. His songs are so popular and full of life and devotion that, from the lowest peasant to the most educated gentleman of Bengal, all find a great religious fervour aroused in singing them.

"Therefore do thou arise and acquire fame. After conquering thy enemies, enjoy unrivalled dominion ; verily, by Myself have they been already slain ; be thou merely the instrument, O Savyasâchin (Arjuna)," and other similar words in the Gita are those by which the Lord is showing the way to Dharma. Of course, work is always mixed with good and evil, and to work, one has to incur sin, more or less. But what of that? Let it be so. Is not something better than nothing? Is not insufficient food better than going without any? Is not doing work, though mixed with good and evil, better than doing nothing and passing an idle and inactive life, and being like stones? The cow never tells a lie, and the stone never steals, but nevertheless, the cow remains a cow, and the stone a stone. Man steals and man tells lies, and again it is man that becomes a god. With the prevalence of the *Sâttvika* essence, man becomes inactive and rests always in a state of deep *Dhyâna* or contemplation ; with the prevalence of the *Rajas,* he does bad as well as good works ; and, with the prevalence of the *Tamas* again, he becomes inactive and inert. Now, tell me, looking from outside, how are we to understand, whether you are in a state wherein the *Sattva* or the *Tamas* prevails? Whether we are in the state of *Sâttvika* calmness, beyond all pleasure and pain, and past all work and activity, or, whether we are in the lowest *Tâmasika* state, lifeless, passive, dull as dead matter, and doing no work, because there is no power in us to do it, and are, thus, silently and by degrees, getting rotten and corrupted within,—I seriously ask you this question and demand an answer. Ask your own mind and you shall know what the reality is. But, what need to wait for the answer?—The tree is known by its fruit. The *Sattva* prevailing, the man is inactive, he is calm, to be sure ; but, that inactivity is the outcome of the centralisation of great powers, that calmness is the mother of tremendous energy. That highly *Sâttvika* man, that great

soul, has no longer to work as we do with hands and feet, —by his mere willing only, all his works are immediately accomplished to perfection. That man of predominating *Sattva* is the Brâhmana, the worshipped of all. Has he to go about from door to door, begging others to worship him? The Almighty Mother of the universe writes with Her own hand, in golden letters on his forehead, "Worship ye all, this great one, this son of Mine," and the world reads and listens to it and humbly bows down its head before him in obedience. That man is really—

अद्वेष्टा सर्वभूतानां मैत्रः करुण एव च।
निर्ममो निरहंकारः समदुःखसुखः क्षमी॥

"He who has no enemy, and is friendly and compassionate towards all, who is free from the feelings of 'me and mine,' even-minded in pain and pleasure, and forbearing." And mark you, those things which you see in pusillanimous, effeminate folks who speak in a nasal tone chewing every syllable, whose voice is as thin as of one who has been starving for a week, who are like a tattered wet-rag, who never protest or are moved even if kicked by anybody,— those are the signs of the lowest *Tamas*, those are the signs of death—not of *Sattva*,—all corruption and stench. It is because Arjuna was going to fall into the ranks of these men, that the Lord is explaining matters to him so elaborately in the Gita. Is that not the fact? Listen to the very first words that came out of the mouth of the Lord—क्लैब्यं मास्म गमः पार्थ नैतत्त्वय्युपपद्यते। "Yield not to unmanliness, O Pârtha! Ill doth it befit thee!" and then later—तस्मात्त्वमुत्तिष्ठ यशो लभस्व—"Therefore do thou arise and acquire fame." Coming under the influence of the Jains, Bauddhas and others, we have joined the lines of those *Tâmasika* people;—during these last thousand years, the whole country is filling the air with the name of the Lord, and is sending its prayers to Him ; and the Lord is never lending His ears to them. And why should He? When even man never hears the cries of the fool, do you think

God will? Now the only way out is to listen to the words of the Lord in the Gita,—क्लैब्यं मास्म गमः पार्थ—"Yield not to unmanliness, O Pârtha!" तस्मात्त्वमुत्तिष्ठ यशो लभस्व—"Therefore do thou arise and acquire fame."

Now let us go on with our subject-matter—The East and the West. First see the irony of it. Jesus Christ, the God of the Europeans has taught: Have no enemy, bless them that curse you; whosoever shall smite thee on thy right cheek, turn to him the other also; stop all your work and be ready for the next world, the end of the world is near at hand. And our Lord in the Gita is saying: Always work with great enthusiasm, destroy your enemies and enjoy the world. But, after all, it turned out to be exactly the reverse of what Christ or Krishna implied. The Europeans never took the words of Jesus Christ seriously. Always of active habits, being possessed of a tremendous *Râjasika* nature, they are gathering with great enterprise and youthful ardour the comforts and luxuries of the different countries of the world, and enjoying them to their hearts' content. And we are sitting in a corner, with our bag and baggage, pondering on death day and night, and singing नलिनीदलगतजलमतितरलं तद्वज्जीवनमतिशयचपलं—"Very tremulous and unsteady is the water on the lotus-leaf, so is the life of man frail and transient,"—with the result that it is making our blood run cold and our flesh creep with the fear of Yama, the god of death; and Yama, too, alas, has taken us at our word, as it were,—plague and all sorts of maladies have entered into our country. Who are following the teachings of the Gita?—The Europeans! And who are acting according to the will of Jesus Christ?—The descendants of Sri Krishna!! This must be well understood. The Vedas were the first to find and proclaim the way to *Moksha*, and from that one source, the Vedas, was taken whatever any great Teacher, say, Buddha, or Christ, afterwards taught. Now, they were *Sannyâsins*, and therefore they "had no enemy and were friendly and com-

passionate towards all." That was well and good for them. But why this attempt to compel the whole world to follow the same path to *Moksha*? "Can beauty be manufactured by rubbing and scrubbing? Can anyone be made one's own, by entreaties or by force?" What does Buddha or Christ prescribe for the man who neither wants *Moksha*, nor is fit to receive it?—Nothing! Either you must have *Moksha* or you are doomed to destruction—these are the only two ways held forth by them, and there is no middle course. You are tied hand and foot in the matter of trying for anything other than Moksha. There is no way shown how you may enjoy the world a little for a time ; not only all openings to that are hermetically sealed to you, but, in addition, there are obstructions put at every step. It is only the Vedic religion which considers ways and means and lays down rules for the fourfold attainment of man, comprising *Dharma, Artha, Kâma* and *Moksha*. Buddha ruined us, and so did Christ ruin Greece and Rome! Then, in due course of time, fortunately, the Europeans became Protestants, shook off the teachings of Christ as represented by Papal authority, and heaved a sigh of relief. In India, Kumarilla again brought into currency the *Karma-Mârga*, the way of Karma only, and Sankara and Râmânuja firmly re-established the Eternal Vedic religion, harmonising and balancing in due proportions *Dharma, Artha, Kâma* and *Moksha*. Thus the nation was brought to the way of regaining its lost life ; but, India has three hundred million souls to awake, and hence the delay. To revive three hundred millions, can it be done in a day?

The aims of the Buddhistic and the Vedic religions are the same, but the means adopted by the Buddhistic are not right. If the Buddhistic means were correct, then why have we been thus hopelessly lost and ruined? It will not do to say, that the efflux of time has naturally wrought this. Can time work, transgressing the laws of cause and effect?

Therefore, though the aims are the same, the Bauddhas

for want of right means have degraded India. Perhaps my Bauddha brothers will be offended at this remark, and fret and fume ; but there's no help for it ; the truth ought to be told and I do not care for the result. The right and correct means is that of the Vedas,—the *Jâti Dharma*, that is, the *Dharma* enjoined according to the different castes, —the *Svadharma*, that is, one's own *Dharma*, or set of duties prescribed for man according to his capacity and position,—which is the very basis of Vedic religion and Vedic society. Again, perhaps, I am offending many of my friends, who are saying, I suppose, that I am flattering my own countrymen. Here let me ask them once for all, —What do I gain by such flattery? Do they support me with any money or means? On the contrary, they try their best to get possession of the money which I secure by begging from outside of India for feeding the famine-stricken and the orphans, and if they don't get it, they abuse and slander!! Such then, O my educated countrymen, are the people of my country. I know them too well to expect anything from them by flattery. I know they have to be treated like the insane, and anyone who administers medicine to a mad man must be ready to be rewarded with kicks and bites ; but he is the true friend who forces the medicine down the throats of such and bears with them in patience.

Now, this *Jâti Dharma*, this *Svadharma*, is the path of welfare of all societies in every land, the ladder to ultimate freedom. With the decay of this *Jâti Dharma*, this *Svadharma*, has been the downfall of our land. But the *Jâti Dharma* or *Svadharma* as commonly understood at present by the higher castes is rather a new evil, which has to be guarded against. They think they know everything of *Jâti Dharma*, but really they know nothing of it. Regarding their own village customs as the eternal customs laid down by the Vedas, and appropriating to themselves all privileges, they are going to their doom! I am not

talking of caste as determined by qualitative distinction, but of the hereditary caste system. I admit that the qualitative caste system is the primary one ; but the qualities become hereditary in two or three generations. That vital point of our national life has been touched ; otherwise, why should we sink to this degraded state? Read in the Gita,—संकरस्य च कर्ता स्यामुपहन्यामिमाः प्रजाः—"I should then be the cause of the admixture of races, and I should thus ruin these beings." How came this terrible *Varna-Shâmkarya* —this confounding mixture of all castes and disappearance of all qualitative distinctions? Why has the white complexion of our forefathers now become black? Why did the *Sattvaguna* give place to the prevailing *Tamas* with a sprinkling, as it were, of *Rajas* in it? That is a long story to tell, and I reserve my answer for some future occasion. For the present, try to understand this, that if the *Jâti Dharma* be rightly and truly preserved, the nation shall never fall. If this is true, then what was it that brought our downfall? That we have fallen is the sure sign that the basis of the *Jâti Dharma* has been tampered with. Therefore, what you call the *Jâti Dharma* is quite contrary to what the reality is. First, read your own *Shâstras* through and through and you will easily see that what the *Shâstras* define as caste-Dharma, has disappeared almost everywhere from the land. Now try to bring back the true *Jâti Dharma* and then it will be a real and sure boon to the country. What I have learnt and understood, I am telling you plainly. I have not been imported from some foreign land to come and save you, that I should countenance all your foolish customs and give scientific explanations for them ; it does not cost our foreign friends anything, they can well afford to do so. You cheer them up and heap applause upon them, and that is the acme of their ambition. But do you know, if dirt and dust be flung at your faces, it falls on mine too? What of that?

I have said elsewhere, that every nation has a national

purpose of its own. Either in obedience to the Law of Nature, or by virtue of the superior genius of the great ones, the social manners and customs of every nation are being moulded into shape, so as to bring that purpose to fruition. In the life of every nation, besides that purpose and those manners and customs that are essentially necessary to effect that purpose, all others are superfluous. It does not matter much whether those superfluous customs and manners grow or disappear ; but a nation is sure to die, when the main purpose of its life is hurt.

When we were children, we heard the story of a certain ogress, who had her soul living in a small bird, and unless the bird was killed, the ogress would never die. The life of a nation is also like that. Again another thing you will observe, that a nation will never greatly grudge if it be deprived of those rights which have not much to do with its national purpose, nay, even if all of such are wrested from them ; but when the slightest blow is given to that purpose on which rests its national life, that moment it reacts with tremendous power.

Take for instance the case of the three living nations, of whose history you know more or less, viz., the French, the English and the Hindu. Political independence is the backbone of the French character. French subjects bear calmly all oppressions. Burden them with heavy taxes, they will not raise the least voice against them ; compel the whole nation to join the army, they never complain ; but the instant anyone meddles with that political independence, the whole nation will rise as one man and madly react. No one man shall be allowed to usurp authority over us ; whether learned or ignorant, rich or poor, of noble birth or of the lower classes, we have equal share in the government of our country, and in the independent control of our society ;—this is the root-principle of the French character. He must suffer, who will try to interfere with this freedom.

In the English character, the "give and take" policy, the business principle of the trader, is principally inherent. To the English, equity, equal partition of privileges, is of essential interest. The Englishman humbly submits to the king and to the privileges of the nobility ; only if he has to pay a farthing from his pocket, he must demand an account of it. There is the king ; that is all right ; he is ready to obey and honour him ; but if the king wants money, the Englishman says : All right, but first let me understand why it is needed, what good it will bring ; next, I must have my say in the matter of how it is to be spent, and then I shall part with it. The king, once trying to exact money from the English people by force, brought about a great revolution. They killed the king.

The Hindu says, that political and social independence is well and good, but the real thing is spiritual independence,—Mukti. This is our national purpose ; whether you take the Vaidika, the Jaina or the Bauddha, the Advaita, the Visishtâdvaita or the Dvaita,—there, they are all of one mind. Leave that point untouched and do whatever you like, the Hindu is quite unconcerned and keeps silence ; but if you run foul of him there, beware, you court your ruin. Rob him of everything he has, kick him, call him a "nigger" or any such name, he does not care much ; only keep that one gate of religion free and unmolested. Look here, how in the modern period the Pathan dynasties were coming and going, but could not get a firm hold of their Indian Empire, because they were all along attacking the Hindu's religion. And see, how firmly based, how tremendously strong was the Mogul Empire. Why? —because the Moguls left that point untouched. In fact, the Hindus were the real prop of the Mogul Empire ; don't you know that Jahangir, Shajahan and Dara Sako, were all born of Hindu mothers? Now then observe—as soon as the ill-fated Aurangzeb again touched that point, the vast Mogul Empire vanished in an instant like a dream. Why

is it that the English throne is so firmly established in India? Because it never touches the religion of the land in any way. The sapient Christian missionaries tried to tamper a little with this point, and the result was the Mutiny of 1857. So long as the English understand this thoroughly and act accordingly, their throne in India will remain unsullied and unshaken. The wise and far-seeing among the English also comprehend this and admit it,—read Lord Roberts's "Forty-one Years in India."*

Now you understand clearly where the soul of this ogress is ;—it is in religion. Because no one was able to destroy that, therefore the Hindu nation is still living, having survived so many troubles and tribulations. Well, one Indian scholar asks, "What is the use of keeping the soul of the nation in religion? Why not keep it in social or political independence, as is the case with other nations?" It is very easy to talk like that. If it be granted, for the sake of argument, that religion and spiritual independence, and soul, God and *Mukti* are all false, even then see how the matter stands. As the same fire is manifesting itself in different forms, so the same one great Force is manifesting itself as political independence with the French, as mercantile genius and expansion of the sphere of equity with the English, and as the desire for Mukti or spiritual independence with the Hindu. Be it noted that by the impelling of this great Force, has been moulded the French and the English character, through several centuries of vicissitudes of fortune ; and also by the inspiration of that great Force, with the rolling of thousands of centuries, has been the present evolution of the Hindu national character. I ask in all seriousness,—Is it easier to give up our national character evolved out of thousands of centuries, or your grafted foreign character of a few hundred years? Why do not the English forget their warlike habits

* *Vide* 30th and 31st chapters.

and give up fighting and bloodshed, and sit calm and quiet, concentrating their whole energy on making religion the sole aim of their life?

The fact is, that the river has come down a thousand miles from its source in the mountains ; does it, or can it go back to its source? If it ever tries to trace back its course, it will simply dry up by being dissipated in all directions. Anyhow the river is sure to fall into the ocean, sooner or later, either by passing through open and beautiful plains, or struggling through grimy soil. If our national life of these ten thousand years has been a mistake, then there is no help for it ; and if we try now to form a new character, the inevitable result will be, that we shall die.

But, excuse me if I say that it is sheer ignorance and want of proper understanding to think like that, namely, that our national ideal has been a mistake. First go to other countries and study carefully their manners and conditions with your own eyes—not with others',—and reflect on them with a thoughtful brain, if you have it ; then read your own scriptures, your ancient literature, travel throughout India and mark the people of her different parts and their ways and habits with the wide-awake eye of an intelligent and keen observer—not with a fool's eye,—and you will see as clear as noonday that the nation is still living intact and its life is surely pulsating. You will find there also, that hidden under the ashes of apparent death, the fire of our national life is yet smouldering and that the life of this nation is religion, its language religion and its idea religion ; and your politics, society, municipality, plague-prevention work and famine-relief work,—all these things will be done as they have been done all along here, viz., only through religion ; otherwise all your frantic yelling and bewailing will end in nothing, my friend!

Besides, in every country, the means is the same after all, that is, whatever only a handful of powerful men dictates, becomes the *fait accompli ;* the rest of the men only

follow like a flock of sheep, that's all. I have seen your 'Parliament,' your 'Senate,' your 'vote,' 'majority,' 'ballot'; it is the same thing everywhere, my friend. The powerful men in every country are moving society whatever way they like, and the rest are only like a flock of sheep. Now the question is this, who are these men of power in India? —they who are giants in religion. It is they who lead our society ; and it is they again, who change our social laws and usages when necessity demands it ; and we listen to them silently and do what they command. The only difference with ours is, that we have not that superfluous fuss and bustle of 'majority,' 'vote,' 'ballot' and similar concomitant tugs-of-war as in other countries. That is all.

Of course we do not get that education which the common people in the West do, by the system of vote and ballot, &c.; but, on the other hand, we have not also amongst us that class of people who, in the name of politics, rob others and fatten themselves by sucking the very life-blood of the masses in all European countries. If you ever saw, my friend, that shocking sight behind the scene of acting of these politicians,—that revelry of bribery, that robbery in broad daylight, that dance of the Devil in man, which are practised on such occasions,—you would be hopeless about man! "Milk goes a-begging from door to door, while the grog-shop is crowded ; the chaste woman seldom gets the wherewithal to hide her modesty, while the woman of the town flutters about in all her jewelry!"* They that have money, have kept the government of the land under their thumb, are robbing and drying up all the sap out of the people, and sending them as soldiers to fight and be slain on foreign shores, so that, in case of victory, their coffers may be full of gold bought by the blood of the subject-people on

* Here the poet depicts a picture of the Samsâra, which cares not for purity or goodness but runs after what tickles the senses.

the field of battle. And the subject-people?—well, theirs is only to shed their blood. This is politics! Don't be startled, my friend ; don't be lost in its mazes.

First of all, try to understand this,—Does man make laws, or laws make man? Does man make money, or does money make a man? Does man make name and fame, or name and fame make man?

Be a man first, my friend, and you will see how all those things and the rest will follow of themselves after you. Give up that hateful malice, that dog-like bickering and barking at one another, and take your stand on good purpose, right means, righteous courage, and be brave. When you are born a man, leave some indelible mark behind you. "When you first came to this world, Oh Tulsi,* the world rejoiced and you cried ; now live your life in doing such acts, that when you will leave this world, the world will cry for you and you will leave it laughing." If you can do that, then you are a man ; otherwise, what good are you for?

Next, you must understand this, my friend, that we have many things to learn from other nations. The man who says he has nothing more to learn, is already at his last gasp. The nation that says it knows everything, is on the very brink of destruction! "As long as I live, so long do I learn." But, one point to note here is, that when we shall take anything from others we must mould it after our own way. We shall add to our stock what others have to teach, but we must always be careful to keep intact what is essentially our own. For instance, suppose, I want to have my dinner cooked in the European fashion. The Europeans sit on chairs, and we are accustomed to squat on the floor, when taking our food. To imitate the Euro-

* Tulsi was a poet and a devotee,—the author of Hindi Ramayana. Here the poet is addressing himself, and the verse translated is one of the many, full of spiritual thoughts as well as sound advice to worldly-minded people.

peans, if I order my dinner to be served on a table and have to sit on the chair more than an hour, my feet will be on a fair way of going to Yama's door, as they say, and I shall writhe in torture ; what do you say to that? So I must squat on the floor in our own style, while having their dishes. Similarly, whenever we learn anything from others, we must mould it after our own fashion, always preserving in full our characteristic nationality. Let me ask, "Does man wear clothes or do clothes make the man?" The man of genius in any dress commands respect ; but nobody cares for fools like me, though carrying, like the washerman's ass, a load of clothes on my back.

The foregoing, in the way of an introduction, has come to be rather long ; but after all this talk it will be easier for us to compare the two nations. They are good,—and we are also good. "You can neither praise the one nor blame the other ; both the scales are equal." Of course, there are gradations and varieties of good, that is all.

According to us, there are three things in the make-up of man. There is the body, there is the mind, and there is the soul. First let us consider the body, which is the most external thing about man.

First, see how various are the differences with respect to the body. How many varieties of nose, face, hair, height, complexion, breadth, &c., there are!

The modern ethnologists hold, that variety of complexion is due to intermixture of blood. Though the hot or cold climate of the place to a certain extent affects the complexion, no doubt, yet the main cause of its change is hereditary. Even in the coldest parts of the world, people with dark complexions are seen, and again in the hottest countries white men are seen to live. The complexion of the aboriginal tribes of Canada, in America, and of the Eskimos of the Northern Polar regions, is not white, while Islands, such as Borneo, Celebes, &c., situated in the equatorial regions are peopled by white aborigines.

According to the Hindu *Shâstras*, the three Hindu castes, *Brâhmana, Kshatriya*, and *Vaishya*, and the several nations outside India, to wit, *Cheen, Hun, Darad, Pahlava, Yavana* and *Khash* are all Aryas. This *Cheen* of our *Shâstras* is not the modern Chinaman. Besides, in those days, the Chinamen did not call themselves *Cheen* at all. There was a distinct, powerful nation, called *Cheen*, living in the north-eastern parts of Kashmir, and the *Darads* lived where are now seen the hill-tribes between India and Afghanistan. Some remnants of the ancient *Cheen* are yet to be found in very small numbers, and Daradisthan is yet in existence. In the Rajatarangini, the history of Kashmir, references are often made to the supremacy of the powerful *Darad-Raj*. The ancient tribe of *Huns* reigned for a long period in the north-western parts of India. The Thibetans now call themselves *Hun*, but this *Hun* is perhaps "*Hune.*" The fact is, that the *Huns* referred to in Manu are not the modern Thibetans, but it may be quite probable that the modern Thibetans are the product of a mixture of the ancient Aryan *Huns* and some other Mogul tribes that came to Thibet from Central Asia. According to Prjevalski and the Duc d' Orleans, the Russian and French travellers, there are still found in some parts of Thibet, tribes with faces and eyes of the Aryan type. *Yavana* was the name given to the Greeks. There has been much dispute about the origin of this name. Some say that the name *Yavana* was first used to designate a tribe of the Greeks inhabiting the place, called "*Ionia,*" and hence, in the Pali writs of the Emperor Asoka, the Greeks are named as "*Yonas,*" and afterwards from this "*Yona,*" the Sanskrit word, *Yavana*, was derived. Again, according to some of our Indian antiquarians, the word *Yavana* does not stand for the Greeks. But all these views are wrong. The original word is *Yavana* itself, for not only the Hindus but the ancient Egyptians and the Babylonians as well, called the Greeks by that name. By the

word *Pahlava* is meant the ancient Parsees, speaking the Pahlavi tongue. Even now, *Khash* denotes the semi-civilised Aryan tribes living in mountainous regions and in the Himalayas, and the word is still used in this sense. In that sense, the present Europeans are the descendants of the *Khash ;* in other words, those Aryan tribes that were uncivilised in ancient days are all *Khash.*

In the opinion of modern *Savants,* the Aryans had reddish-white complexions, black or red hair, and straight noses and well-drawn eyes, &c.; and the formation of the skull varied a little according to the colour of the hair. Where the complexion is dark, there, the change has come to pass owing to the mixture of the pure Aryan blood with black races. They hold that there are still some tribes to the west of the Himalayan borders, who are of pure Aryan blood, and that the rest are all of mixed blood, otherwise, how could they be dark? But the European Pandits ought to know by this time that, in the southern parts of India, many children are born with red hair, which after two or three years changes into black, and that in the Himalayas many have red hair and blue or gray eyes.

Let the Pandits fight among themselves ; it is the Hindus who have all along called themselves Aryas. Whether of pure or mixed blood, the Hindus are Aryas ; there it rests. If the Europeans do not like us, Aryas, because we are dark, let them take another name for themselves ;—what is that to us?

Whether black or white, it does not matter ; but of all the nations of the world, the Hindus are the handsomest and finest in feature. I am not bragging or saying anything in exaggeration because they belong to my own nationality, but this fact is known all over the world. Where else can one find a higher percentage of fine-featured men and women than in India? Besides, it has to be taken into consideration, how much more is required in our country to make us look handsome, than in other countries, because

our bodies are so much more exposed. In other countries, the attempt is always to make ugly persons appear beautiful under cover of elaborate dresses and clothes.

Of course, in point of health, the Westerners are far superior to us. In the West, men of forty years old and women of fifty years, are still young. This is, no doubt, because they take good food, dress well, and live in a good climate, and, above all, the secret is, they do not marry at an early age. Ask those few strong tribes among ourselves and see what their marriageable age is. Ask the hilly tribes, such as, the Goorkhas, the Punjabees, the Jats and the Afridis, what their marriageable age is. Then read your own Shâstras,—thirty is the age fixed for the Brâhmana, twenty-five for the Kshatriya, and twenty for the Vaishya. In point of longevity and physical and mental strength, there is a great difference between the Westerners and ourselves. As soon as we attain to forty, our hope and physical and mental strength are on the decline, while, at that age, full of youthful vigour and hope, they have only made a start.

We are vegetarians,—most of our diseases are of the stomach ; our old men and women generally die of stomach complaints. They of the West take meat,—most of their diseases are of the heart ; their old men and women generally die of heart, or lung, diseases. A learned doctor of the West observes, that the people who have chronic stomach complaints generally tend to a melancholy and renouncing nature, and the people suffering from complaints of the heart and the upper parts of the body, have always hope and faith to the last ; the cholera patient is from the very beginning afraid of death, while the consumptive patient hopes to the last moment that he will recover ; is it owing to this, my doctor friend may with good reasoning ask, that the Indians always talk and think of death and renunciation? As yet, I have not been able to find a satisfactory answer to this ; but the question seems

to have an air of truth about it, and demands serious consideration.

In our country, people suffer little from diseases of the teeth and hair ; in the West, few people have natural, healthy teeth, and baldness is met with everywhere. Our women bore their noses and ears for wearing ornaments ; in the West, among the higher classes, the women do not do those things much, nowadays ; but by squeezing their waist, making their spine crooked, and thus displacing their liver and spleen and disfiguring their form, they suffer the torment of death to make themselves shapely in appearance, and added to that is the burden of dress, over which they have to show their features to the best advantage. Their Western dress is, however, more suited for work. With the exception of the dress worn in society by the ladies of the wealthy classes, the dress of the women in general is ugly. The *sári* of our women, and the *chogâ*, *châpkán* and turban of our men defy comparison as regards beauty in dress. The tight dresses cannot approach in beauty the loose ones that fall in natural folds. But all our dresses being flowing, and in folds, are not suited for doing work ; in doing work, they are spoiled and done for. There is such a thing as fashion, in the West. Their fashion is in dress, ours in ornaments, though nowadays it is entering a little into clothes also. Paris is the centre of fashion for ladies' dress, and London for men's. The actresses of Paris often set the fashions. What new fashion of dress a distinguished actress of the time would wear, the fashionable world would greedily imitate. The big firms of dressmakers set the fashions, nowadays. We can form no idea of the millions of pounds that are spent every year in the making of dress, in the West. The dress-making business has become a regular science,—what colour of dress will suit with the complexion of the girl and the colour of her hair, what special feature of her body should be disguised, and what displayed to the best advantage,

—these and many other like important points, the dressmakers have to seriously consider. Again, the dress that ladies of very high position wear, others have to wear also, otherwise they lose their caste!! This is FASHION. Then again, this fashion is changing every day, so to say ; it is sure to change four times with the four seasons of the year, and besides, many other times as well. The rich people have their dresses made, after the latest fashion, by expert firms ; those who belong to the middle classes, have them often done at home by women-tailors, or do them themselves. If the new fashion approaches very near to their latest one, then they just change or adjust them accordingly ; otherwise, they buy new ones. The wealthy classes give away their dresses which have gone out of fashion, to their dependants and servants. The ladies'-maids and valets sell them, and those are exported to the various colonies established by the Europeans in Africa, Asia and Australia, and there they are used again. The dresses of those who are immensely rich are all ordered from Paris ; the less wealthy have them copied in their own country by their own dressmakers. But the ladies' hats must be of French make. As a matter of fact, the dress of the English, and the German, women is not good ; they do not generally follow the Paris fashions—except, of course, a few of the rich and the higher classes. So, the women of other countries indulge in jokes at their expense. But men in England mostly dress very well. The American men and women, without distinction, wear very fashionable dress. Though the American Government imposes heavy duties on all dresses imported from London or Paris, to keep out foreign goods from the country,—yet, all the same, the women order their dress from Paris, and men, from London. Thousands of men and women are employed in daily introducing into the market woollen and silk fabrics of various kinds and colours, and thousands, again, are manufacturing all sorts of dresses out

of them. Unless the dress is exactly up to date, ladies and gentlemen cannot walk in the street without being remarked upon by the fashionable. Though we have not all this botheration of the fashion in dress in our country, we have, instead, a fashion in ornaments, to a certain extent. The merchants dealing in silk, woollen and other materials in those countries, have their watchful eyes always fixed on the way the fashion changes, and what sort of things people have begun to like ; or, they hit upon a new fashion out of their own brain, and try to draw the attention of the people thereto. When once a merchant succeeds in gaining the eyes of the people to the fashion brought into the market by him, he is a made man for life. At the time of the Emperor Napoleon III, of France, his wife, the Empress Eugenie, was the universally-recognised Avatâr of fashion of the West. The *shawls* of Cashmere were her special favourites, and therefore *shawls* worth millions of rupees, used to be exported every year, in her time, from Cashmere to Europe. With the fall of Napoleon III, the fashion has changed, and Cashmere *shawls* no longer sell. And as for the merchants of our country, they always walk in the old rut. They could not opportunely hit upon any new style to catch the fancy of the West, under the altered circumstances, and so the market was lost to them. Cashmere received a severe shock and her big and rich merchants all of a sudden failed. This world, if you have the eyes to see, is yours,—if not, it is mine ; do you think that anyone waits for another? The Westerners are devising new means and methods to attract the luxuries and the comforts of different parts of the world. They watch the situation with ten eyes, and work with two hundred hands, as it were ; while we will never do what the authors of Shástras have not written in books, and thus we are moving in the same old groove and there is no attempt to seek anything original and new,—and the capacity to do that is lost to us now. The whole nation

is rending the skies with the cry for food, and dying of starvation. Whose fault is it? Ours. What means are we taking in hand to find a way out of the pitiable situation? —zero! Only making great noise by our big and empty talk! That is all that we are doing. Why not come out of your narrow corner and see with your eyes open, how the world is moving onwards? Then the mind will open and the power of thinking and of timely action will come of itself. You certainly know the story of the *Devas* and the *Asuras*. The *Devas* have faith in their soul, in God, and in the after-life, while the *Asuras* give importance to this life, and devote themselves to enjoying this world and trying to have bodily comforts in every possible way. We do not mean to discuss here whether the *Devas* are better than the *Asuras*, or the *Asuras* than the *Devas*, but, reading their descriptions in the Puranas, the *Asuras* seem to be, truth to tell, more like MEN, and far more manly than the *Devas*; the *Devas* are inferior, without doubt, to the *Asuras*, in many respects. Now, to understand the East and the West, we cannot do better than interpret the Hindus as the sons of the *Devas* and the Westerners as the sons of the *Asuras*.

First, let us see about their respective ideas of cleanliness of the body. Purity means cleanliness of mind and body; the latter is effected by the use of water, &c. No nation in the world is as cleanly in the body as the Hindu, who uses water very freely. Taking a plunge bath is well nigh scarce in other nations, with a few exceptions. The English have introduced it into their country after coming in contact with India. Even now, ask those of our students who have resided in England for education, and they will tell you how insufficient the arrangements for bathing are there. When the Westerners bathe—and that is once a week—they change their inner clothing. Of course, nowadays, among those who have means, many bathe daily, and among Americans the number is larger; the Germans

once in a way, the French and others very rarely! Spain and Italy are warm countries, but there it is still less! Imagine their eating of garlic in abundance, profuse perspiration day and night, and yet no bath! Ghosts must surely run away from them, what to say of men! What is meant by bath in the West?—"Why, the washing of face, head and hands, i.e., only those parts which are exposed. A millionaire friend of mine once invited me to come over to Paris: Paris, which is the capital of modern civilisation,—Paris, the heaven of luxury, fashion and merriment on earth,—the centre of arts and sciences. My friend accommodated me in a huge palatial hotel, where arrangements for meals were in a right royal style, but, for bath—well, no name of it. Two days I suffered silently —till at last I could bear it no longer, and had to address my friend thus: Dear brother, let this royal luxury be with you and yours! I am panting to get out of this situation. Such a hot weather, and no facility of bathing; if it continues like this, I shall be in the imminent danger of turning mad like a rabid dog. Hearing this, my friend became very sorry for me and annoyed with the hotel authorities, and said: I won't let you stay here anymore, let us go and find out a better place. Twelve of the chief hotels were seen, but no place for bathing was there in any of them. There are independent bathing-houses, where one can go and have a bath for four or five rupees. Good heavens! That very afternoon I read in a paper, that an old lady entered into the bath-tub and died then and there!! Whatever the doctors may say, I am inclined to think that perhaps that was the first occasion in her life to come into contact with so much water, and the frame collapsed by the sudden shock!! This is no exaggeration. Then, the Russians and some others are awfully unclean in that line. Starting from Thibet, it is about the same all over those regions. In every boarding-

house in America, of course, there is a bath-room, and an arrangement of pipe-water.

See, however, the difference here. Why do we Hindus bathe?—because of the fear of incurring sin ; the Westerners wash their hands and face—for cleanliness' sake. Bathing with us means pouring water over the body, though the oil and the dirt may stick on and show themselves. Again, our Southern Indian brothers decorate themselves with such long and wide caste-marks, that it requires, perchance, the use of a pumice-stone to rub them off. Our bath, on the other hand, is an easy matter—to have a plunge in, anywhere ; but not so, in the West. There they have to put off a load of clothes, and how many buttons and hooks and eyes are there! We do not feel any delicacy to show our body ; to them it is awful, but among men, say, between father and son, there is no impropriety ; only before women you have to cover yourself *cap-a-pie*.

This custom of external cleanliness, like all other customs, sometimes turns out to be, in the long run, rather a tyranny or the very reverse of *âchâra* (cleanliness). The European says that all bodily matters have to be attended to in private. Well and good. "It is vulgar to spit before other people. To rinse your mouth before others is disgraceful." So, for fear of censure, they do not wash their mouth after meals, and the result is that the teeth gradually decay. Here is non-observance of cleanliness for fear of society or civilisation. With us, it is the other extreme,—to rinse and wash the mouth before all men, or sitting in the street, making a noise as if you were sick—this is rather tyranny. Those things should, no doubt, be done privately and silently, but, not to do them for fear of society is also equally wrong.

Again, society patiently bears and accommodates itself to those customs which are unavoidable in particular climates. In a warm country like ours, we drink glass after

glass of water ; now, how can we help eructating ; but in the West, that habit is very ungentlemanly. But there, if you blow the nose and use your pocket handkerchief at the time of eating—that is not objectionable, but with us it is disgusting. In a cold country like theirs, one cannot avoid doing it now and then.

We Hindus hold dirt in abomination very much, but, all the same, we are, in point of fact, frequently dirty ourselves. Dirt is so repugnant to us that if we touch it we bathe ; and so to keep ourselves away from it we leave a heap of it to rot near the house,—the only thing to be careful about is not to touch it ; but, on the other hand, do we ever think that we are living virtually in hell? To avoid one uncleanliness, we court another and a greater uncleanliness ; to escape from one evil, we follow on the heels of another and a greater evil. He who keeps dirt heaped in his house is a sinner, no doubt about that. And for his retribution he has not to wait for the next life, it recoils on his head betimes—in this very life.

The grace of both Lakshmi (goddess of fortune) and Sarasvati (goddess of learning) now shines on the peoples of the Western countries. They do not stop at the mere acquisition of the objects of enjoyment, but in all their actions they seek for a sort of beauty and grace. In eating and drinking in their homes and surroundings, in everything, they want to see an all-round elegance. We also had that trait once,—when there was wealth and prosperity in the land. We have now too much poverty but to make matters worse, we are courting our ruin in two ways,—namely, we are throwing away what we have as our own, and labouring in vain to make others' ideals and habits ours. Those national virtues that we had are gradually disappearing, and we are not acquiring any of the Western ones either! In sitting, walking, talking, etc., there was in the olden days a traditional, specific trait of our own,—that is now gone,—and withal we have not the ability to take in

the Western modes of etiquette. Those ancient religious rites, practices, studies, etc., that were left to us, you are consigning to the tide-waters to be swept away,—and yet something new and suitable to the exigencies of the time, to make up for them, is not striking its roots and becoming stable with us still. In oscillating between these two lines, all our present distress lies. The Bengal that is to be has not as yet got a stable footing. It is our arts that have fared the worst of all. In the days gone by, our old women used to paint the floors, doors and walls of their houses with a paste of rice-powder, drawing various beautiful figures ; they used to cut plantain leaves in an artistic manner, to serve the food on ; they used to lavish their art in nicely arranging the different comestibles on the plates. Those arts, in these days, have gradually disappeared, or are doing so.

Of course new things have to be learnt, have to be introduced and worked out ; but is that to be done by sweeping away all that are old, just because they are old? What new ones have you learnt?—not any,—save and except a jumble of words!! What really useful science or art have you acquired? Go and see, even now, in the distant villages, the old woodwork and brickwork. The carpenters of your towns cannot even turn out a decent pair of doors. Whether they are made for a hut or a mansion, is hard to make out!! They are only good at buying foreign tools, as if that is all of carpentry!! Alas! That state of things has come upon all matters in our country. What we possessed as our own are all passing away, and yet, all that we have learnt from freigners is the art of speechifying. Merely reading and talking, eh!! Bengalees, and the Irish in Europe, are races cast in the same mould,—only talking and talking, and bandying words. These two nations are adepts in making grandiloquent speeches. They are nowhere, when a jot of real practical work is required,—

over and above that, they are barking at each other and fighting among themselves all the days of their life!

In the West, they have a habit of keeping everything about themselves neat and clean, and even the poorest have an eye towards it. And this regard for cleanliness has to be observed, for, unless the people have clean suits of clothes, none will employ them in their service. Their servants, maids, cooks, etc., are all dressed in spotlessly clean clothes. Their houses are kept trim and tidy by being daily brushed, washed and dusted. A part of good breeding consists in not throwing things about, but keeping them in their proper places. Their kitchens look clean and bright,—vegetable peelings and such other refuse are placed, for the time being, in a separate receptacle, and taken, later on, by a scavenger to a distance and thrown away in a proper place set apart for the purpose. They do not throw such things about in their yards or on the roads.

The houses and other buildings of those who are wealthy are really a sight worth seeing,—these are, night and day, a marvel of orderliness and cleanliness! Over and above that, they are in the habit of collecting art-treasures from various countries, and adorning their rooms with them. As regards ourselves, we need not, of course, at any rate for the present, go in for collecting works of art as they do; but should we, or should we not at least preserve those which we possess from going to ruin? It will take us a long time yet to become as good and efficient as they are in the arts of painting and sculpture. We were never very skilful in those two departments of art. By imitating the Europeans we at the utmost can only produce one or two Ravi Varmas among us!! But far better than such artists are our *Patuas* (painters) who do the *Châlchitras** of our Goddesses, in Bengal. They

* The Châlchitra is an arch-shaped frame put over the Images

display in their work at least a boldness in the brilliancy of their colours. The paintings of Ravi Varma and others, make one hide one's face from shame!! Far better are those gilded pictures of Jeypur, and the *Châlchitra* of the Goddess Durga, that we have had from old times. I shall reserve my reflections on the European arts of sculpture and painting, for some future occasion. That is too vast a subject to enter upon here.

Now hear something about the Western art of cooking. There is greater purity observed in our cooking than in any other country ; on the other hand, we have not that perfect regularity, method and cleanliness of the English table. Every day our cook first bathes and changes his clothes before entering the kitchen ; he neatly cleanses all the utensils and the hearth with water and earth, and if he chances to touch his face, nose or any other part of his body, he washes his hands before he touches again any food. The Western cook scarcely bathes ; moreover, he tastes with a spoon the cooking he is engaged in, and does not think much of redipping the spoon into it. Taking out his handkerchief he blows his nose vigorously, and again with the same hand he, perchance, kneads the dough. He never thinks of washing his hands when he comes from outside, and begins his cooking at once. But all the same, he has snow-white clothes and cap. May be, he is dancing on the dough,—why, because he may knead it thoroughly well with the whole pressure of his body, no matter if the sweat of his brow gets mixed with it. (Fortunately nowadays, machines are widely in use for the task.) After all this sacrilege, when the bread is finished, it is placed on a porcelain dish covered with a snow-white napkin and is carried by the servant dressed in a spotless suit of clothes with the white gloves on ;

of Gods and Goddesses, in which are painted various pictures of Pauranika legends, bordered with ornamental workmanship.

then it is laid on the table spread over with a clean table-cloth. Mark here, the gloves—lest the man touches anything with his bare fingers!

Observe ours on the other hand. Our Brâhmana cook has first purified himself with bath, and then cooked the dinner in thoroughly cleansed utensils, but he serves it to you on a plate on the bare floor which has been pasted over with earth and cow-dung; and his cloth, albeit daily washed, is so dirty that it looks as if it were never washed. And if the plantain-leaf, which sometimes serves the purpose of a plate, is broken, there is a good chance of the soup being mixed up with the moist floor and cow-dung paste and giving rise to a wonderful taste!!

After taking a nice bath we put on a dirty-looking cloth, almost sticky with oil; and in the West, they put on a perfectly clean suit, on a dirty body, without having had a proper bath. Now, this is to be understood thoroughly—for here is the point of essential difference between the orient and the occident. That inward vision of the Hindu and the outward vision of the West, are manifest in all their respective manners and customs. The Hindu always looks inside, and the Westerner outside. The Hindu keeps diamonds wrapped in a rag, as it were; the Westerner preserves a lump of earth in a golden casket! The Hindu bathes to keep his body clean, he does not care how dirty his cloth may be; the Westerner takes care to wear clean clothes, what matters it if dirt remains on his body! The Hindu keeps neat and clean the rooms, doors, floors, and everything inside his house, what matters it if a heap of dirt and refuse lies outside his entrance door! The Westerner looks to covering his floors with bright and beautiful carpets, the dirt and dust under them is all right if concealed from view!! The Hindu lets his drains run open over the road, the bad smell does not count much! The drains in the West are underground—the hot-bed

of typhoid fever!! The Hindu cleanses the inside, the Westerner cleanses the outside.

What is wanted is, a clean body with clean clothes. Rinsing the mouth, cleansing the teeth and all that must be done,—but in private. The dwelling-houses must be kept clean, as well as the streets and thoroughfares and all outlying places. The cook must keep his clothes clean as well as his body. Moreover, the meals must be partaken of in spotless cups and plates, sitting in a neat and tidy place. *Achâra* or observance of the established rules of conduct in life, is the first step to religion, and of that again, cleanliness of body and mind, cleanliness in everything, is the most important factor. Will one devoid of *Achâra* ever attain to religion? Don't you see before your very eyes the miseries of those who are devoid of *Achâra*? Shouldn't we, thus paying dearly for it, learn the lesson? Cholera, malaria and plague have made their permanent home in India, and are carrying away their victims by millions. Whose fault is it? Ours, to be sure. We are sadly devoid of *Achâra*!!

All our different sects of Hinduism admit the truth of the celebrated saying of the Srutis—आहारशुद्धौ सत्त्वशुद्धिः सत्त्वशुद्धौ ध्रुवा स्मृतिः, *i.e.*, "When the food is pure, then the inner-sense gets purified ; on the purification of the inner-sense, memory (of the soul's perfection) becomes steady." Only, according to Sankarâchârya, the word *Ahára* means the *Indriyas* (senses), and Râmânuja takes the word to mean food. But what is the solution? All sects agree that both are necessary, and both ought to be taken into account. Without pure food, how can the *Indriyas* perform their respective functions properly? Everyone knows by experience that impure food weakens the power of receptivity of the *Indriyas*, or makes them act in opposition to his will. It is a well-known fact that indigestion distorts the vision of things and makes one thing appear as another, and that want of food makes the

eye-sight and other powers of the senses dim and weak. Similarly, it is often seen that some particular kind of food brings on some particular state of the body and the mind. This principle is at the root of those many rules which are so strictly enjoined in Hindu society,—that we should take this sort, and avoid that sort, of food,—though, in many cases, forgetting their essential substance, the kernel, we are now busy only with quarrelling about the shell and keeping watch and ward over it.

Ramanujacharya asks us to avoid three sorts of defects which, according to him, make food impure. The first defect is that of the *Játi*, *i.e.*, the very nature, or the species to which the food belongs, as onion, garlic, and so on. These have an exciting tendency and, when taken, produce restlessness of the mind, or in other words, perturb the intellect. The next is that of *Ashraya*, *i.e.*, the nature of the person from whom the food comes. The food coming from a wicked person will make one impure and think wicked thoughts, while the food coming from a good man will elevate one's thoughts. Then the other is *Nimitta-dosha*, *i.e.*, impurity in food due to such agents in it as dirt and dust, worms or hair ; taking such food also makes the mind impure. Of these three defects, anyone can eschew the *Jâti* and the *Nimittá*, but it is not easy for all to avoid the *Ashraya*. It is only to avoid this *Ashraya-dosha*, that we have so much of "Don't-touchism" amongst us nowadays. "Don't touch me!" "Don't touch me!" But in most cases, the cart is put before the horse, and the real meaning of the principle being misunderstood, it becomes in time a queer and hideous superstition. In these cases, the *áchâras* of the great *Acharyas*, the teachers of mankind, should be followed instead of the *Lokáchâras*, *i.e.*, the customs followed by the people in general. One ought to read the lives of such great Masters as Sri Chaitanya Deva and other similarly great religious teachers, and see how they behaved

themselves with their fellowmen in this respect. As regards the *Jâti-dosha* in food, no other country in the world furnishes a better field for its observation than India. The Indians, of all nations, take the purest of foods and, all over the world, there is no other country where the purity as regards the *Játi* is so well observed as in India. We had better attend to the *Nimitta-dosha* a little more now in India, as it is becoming a source of serious evil with us. It has become too common with us to buy food from the sweets-vendor's shop in the Bazaar, and you can judge for yourselves how impure these confections are from the point of view of the *Nimitta-dosha*,—for, being kept exposed, the dirt and dust of the roads as well as dead insects adhere to them, and how stale and polluted they must sometimes be. All this dyspepsia that you notice in every home, and the prevalence of diabetes from which the towns-people suffer so much nowadays, are due to the taking of impure food from the Bazaars ; and that the village-people are not as a rule so subject to these complaints, is principally due to the fact that they have not these Bazaars near them, where they can buy at their will such poisonous food as *loochi*, *kachoori*, &c. I shall dwell on this in detail later on.

This is, in short, the old general rule about food. But there were, and still are, many differences of opinion about it. Again, as in the old, so in the present day, there is a great controversy, whether it is good or bad to take animal food or live only on a vegetable diet, whether we are benefited or otherwise by taking meat. Besides, the question whether it is right or wrong to kill animals, has always been a matter of great dispute. One party says. that to take away life is a sin, and on no account should it be done. The other party replies, "A fig for your opinion! It is simply impossible to live without killing." The Shâstras also differ, and rather confuse one, on this point. In one place the Shâstra dictates, "Kill animals in

Yajnas," and again, in another place it says, "Never take away life." The Hindus hold that it is a sin to kill animals except in *Yajnas,* but one can with impunity enjoy the pleasure of eating meat after the animal is sacrificed in a *Yajna.* Indeed, there are certain rules prescribed for the householder, in which he is required to kill animals on certain occasions, such as *Shráddha* and so on, and if he omits to kill animals at those times, he is condemned as a sinner. Manu says that if those that are invited to *Shráddha* and certain other ceremonies, do not partake of the animal food offered there, they take birth in an animal body in their next life. On the other hand, the Jains, the Buddhists and the Vaishnavas protest, saying, "We do not believe in the dictates of such Hindu Shâstras ; on no account should the taking away of life be tolerated." Asoka, the Buddhist emperor, we read, punished those who would perform *Yajnas,* or offer meat to the invited at any ceremony. The position in which the modern Vaishnavas find themselves is rather one of difficulty. Instances are found in the Ramayana* and the Mahabharata† of the drinking of wine and the taking of meat

* सीतामादाय बाहुभ्यां मधुमैरेयकं शुचि।
पाययामास काकुत्स्थः शचीमिन्द्रो यथामृतं॥
मांसानि च सुमृष्टानि विविधानि फलानि च।
रामस्याभ्यवहारार्थं किंकरास्तूर्णमाहरन्॥

Embracing Sita with both his arms, Kákutstha (Rama) made her drink pure Maireya wine, even as Indra makes Sachi partake of nectar.

Servants quickly served flesh-meat variously dressed, and fruits of various kinds for the use of Rama.

सुराघटसहस्रेण मांसभूतौदनेन च।
यक्ष्ये त्वां प्रीयतां देवि पुरीं पुनरुपागता॥

Be merciful to us, O Goddess, and I shall, on my return home, worship Thee with a thousand jars of arrack (spirituous liquor) and rice well-dressed with flesh-meat.

—Ramayana

† उभौ मध्वासवक्षीवावुभौ चन्दनरूषितौ।
स्रग्विनौ वरवस्त्रौ तौ दिव्याभरणभूषितौ॥

by Rama and Krishna, whom they worship as God, Sita Devi vows meat, rice and a thousand jars of wine to the river-goddess, Gangâ!

In the West, the contention is whether animal food is injurious to health or not, whether it is more strengthening than vegetable diet or not, and so on. One party says, that those that take animal food suffer from all sorts of bodily complaints. The other contradicts this and says, "That is all fiction. If that were true, then the Hindus would have been the healthiest race, and the powerful nations, such as the English, the Americans and others, whose principal food is meat, would have succumbed to all sorts of maladies and ceased to exist by this time. One says, that the flesh of the goat makes the intellect like that of the goat, the flesh of the swine like that of the swine, and fish like that of the fish. The other declares, that it can as well be argued then, that the potato makes a potato-like brain, that vegetables make a vegetable-like brain,—resembling dull and dead matter. Is it not better to have the intelligence of a living animal than to have the brain dull and inert like dead matter? One party says, that those things which are in the chemical composition of vegetable food, are also equally present in the animal. The other ridicules it and exclaims, "Why, they are in the air too. Go then and live on air only." One argues that the vegetarians are very painstaking and can go through hard and long-sustained labour. The other says, "If that were true, then, the vegetarian nations would occupy the foremost rank, which is not the case, the strongest and foremost nations being always those that take animal food." Those who advocate animal food contend, "Look

(I saw) both of them (Krishna and Arjuna) drunk with Madhvâsava (sweet spirituous liquor made from honey), both adorned with sandal paste, garlanded, and wearing costly garments and beautiful ornaments.

—Mahabharata, Udyoga Parva, chap. 58, 5.

at the Hindus and the Chinamen, how poor they are. They do not take meat, but like somehow on the scanty diet or rice and all sorts of vegetables. Look at their miserable condition. And the Japanese were also in the same plight, but since they commenced taking meat, they have turned over a new leaf. In the Indian regiments there are about a lac and a half of native sepoys ; see how many of them are vegetarians. The best parts of them, such as the Sikhs and the Goorkhas, are never vegetarians." One party says, "Indigestion is due to animal food." The other says, "That is all stuff and nonsense. It is mostly the vegetarians who suffer from stomach complaints." Again, "It may be that vegetable food acts as an effective purgative to the system. But is that any reason that you should induce the whole world to take to it?"

Whatever one or the other may say, the real fact, however, is that the nations who take animal food are always, as a rule, notably brave, heroic and thoughtful. The nations who take animal food also assert, that in those days when the smoke from *Yajnas* used to rise in the Indian sky, and the Hindus used to take the meat of animals sacrificed, then only great religious geniuses and intellectual giants were born among them ; but since the drifting of the Hindus into the *Bâbaji's* vegetarianism, not one great, original man arose from amidst them. Taking this view into account, the meat-eaters in our country are afraid to give up their habitual diet. The Arya Samâjists are divided amongst themselves on this point, and a controversy is raging within their fold,—one party holding that animal food is absolutely necessary, and the opposite party denouncing it as extremely wrong and unjust.

In this way, discussions of a conflicting character, giving rise to mutual abuses, quarrels and fights, are going on. After carefully scrutinising all sides of the question, and setting aside all fanaticism that is rampant on this

delicate question of food, I must say that my conviction tends to confirm this view,—that the Hindus are, after all right ;—I mean, that injunction of the Hindu Shástras, which lays down the rule that food, like many other things, must be different according to the difference of birth and profession ; this is the sound conclusion. But the Hindus of the present day will neither follow their Shâstras, nor listen to what their great Acharyas taught.

To eat meat is surely barbarous and vegetable food is certainly purer,—who can deny that? For him surely is a strict vegetarian diet, whose one end is to lead solely a spiritual life. But he who has to steer the boat of his life with strenuous labour through the constant life-and-death struggles and the competition of this world, must of necessity take meat. So long as there will be in human society such a thing as 'the triumph of the strong over the weak,' animal food is required, or some other suitable substitute for it has to be discovered ; otherwise, the weak will naturally be crushed under the feet of the strong. It will not do to quote solitary instances of the good effect of vegetable food on some particular person or persons ;—compare one nation with another and then draw conclusions.

The vegetarians, again, are also divided amongst themselves. Some say that rice, potatoes, wheat, barley, maize and other starchy foods are of no use ; these have been produced by man, and are the source of all maladies. Starchy food which generates sugar in the system is most injurious to health. Even horses and cows become sickly and diseased, if kept within doors and fed on wheat and rice ; but they get well again if allowed to graze freely on the tender and growing herbage in the meadows. There is very little starchy substance in grass and other green edible herbs. The orang-outang eats grass and nuts, and does not usually eat potato and wheat, but if he ever does so, he eats them before they are ripe, i. e., when there is not

much starch in them. Others say, that taking roast meat, plenty of fruit and milk is best suited to the attainment of longevity. More especially, they who take much fruit regularly, do not so soon lose their youth, as the acid of fruit dissolves the foul crust formed on the bones which is mainly the cause of bringing on old age.

All these contentions have no end ; they are going on unceasingly. Now, the judicious view admitted by all in regard to this vexed question is, to take such food as is substantial and nutritious, and at the same time, easily digested. The food should be such as contains the greatest nutriment in the smallest compass, and be at the same time quickly assimilable ; otherwise, it has necessarily to be taken in large quantity, and consequently the whole day is required only to digest it. If all the energy is spent only in digesting food, what will there be left to do other works?

All fried things are really poisonous. The sweets-vendor's shop is Death's door. In hot countries, the less oil and clarified butter (*ghee*) are taken, the better. Butter is more easily digested than *ghee*. There is very little substance in snow-white flour ; whole-wheat flour is good as food. For Bengal, the style and preparation of food that are still in vogue in our distant villages are commendable. What ancient Bengali poet do you find singing the praise of *loochi* and *kachoori*? These *loochis* and *kachooris* have been introduced into Bengal from the North-Western Provinces ; but even there, people take them only occasionally. I have never seen even there anyone who lives mainly on things fried in *ghee*, day after day. The *Chaube* wrestlers of Muttra are, no doubt, fond of *loochis* and sweetmeats, but in a few years, the *Chaubeji's* power of digestion is ruined, and he then has to drug himself with the appetising preparations, called *Churans*.

The poor die of starvation because they can get nothing to eat, and the rich die of starvation because what they

take is not food. Any and every stuff eaten is not food ; that is real food which, when eaten, is well assimilated. It is better to fast rather than stuff oneself with anything and everything. In the delicacies of the sweetmeat shops, there is hardly anything nourishing ; on the other hand, there is—poison ! Of old, people used to take those injurious things only occasionally ; but now, the townspeople, especially those who come from villages to live in towns, are the greatest sinners in this respect, as they take them every day. What wonder is there that they die prematurely of dyspepsia ! If you are hungry, throw away all sweets and things fried in ghee into the ditch, and buy a pice worth of *moorhi* (popped rice),—that will be cheaper and more nutritious food. It is sufficient food to have rice, *dâl* (lentils), whole-wheat *châpátis* (unfermented bread), fish vegetables and milk. But *dâl* has to be taken prepared as the Southern Indians do, that is the soup of it only, the rest of the preparation give to the cattle. He may take meat who can afford it, but not making it too rich with heating spices, as the North-Western people do. The spices are no food at all ; to take them in abundance is only due to a bad habit. *Dál* is a very substantial food but hard to digest. Pea-soup prepared of tender peas is easily digested and pleasant to the taste. In Paris this pea-soup is a favourite dish. First, boil the peas well, then make a paste of them and mix them with water. Now strain the soup through a wire-strainer, like that in which milk is strained and all the outer skin will be separated. Then add some spices, such as turmeric, black pepper, &c., according to taste, and broil it with a little *ghee* in the pan—and you get a pleasant and wholesome *dál*. The meat-eaters can make it delicious by cooking it with the head of a goat or fish.

That we have so many cases of diabetes in India, is chiefly due to indigestion ; of course there are solitary instances in which excessive brain work is the cause, but

with the majority it is indigestion. Pot-belly is the foremost sign of indigestion. Does eating mean stuffing oneself? That much which one can assimilate is proper food for him. Growing thin or fat is equally due to indigestion. Don't give yourself up as lost because some symptoms of diabetes are noticeable in you ; those are nothing in our country and should not be taken seriously into account. Only, pay more attention to your diet so that you may avoid indigestion. Be in the open air as much as possible, and take good long walks and work hard. The muscles of the leg should be as hard as iron. If you are in service take leave, when possible, and make a pilgrimage to the Badarikâshrama in the Himalayas. If the journey is accomplished on foot through the ascent and descent of two hundred miles in the hills, you will see that this ghost of diabetes will depart from you. Don't let the doctors come near you ; most of them will harm you more than do any good ; and so far as possible, never take medicines, which in most cases kill the patient sooner than the illness itself. If you can, walk all the way from town to your native village every year during the Puja vacation. To be rich in our country has come to be synonymous with being the embodiment of laziness and dependence. One who has to walk being supported by another, or one who has to be fed by another, is doomed to be miserable,—is a veritable invalid. He who eats cautiously only the finer coating of the *loochi*, for fear that the whole will not agree with him, is already dead in life. Is he a man or a worm, who cannot walk twenty miles at a stretch? Who can save one who invites illness and premature death of his own will?

And as for fermented bread, it is also poison, don't touch it at all! Flour mixed with yeast becomes injurious. Never take any fermented thing ; in this respect the prohibition in our Shâstras of partaking of any such article of food is a fact of great importance. Any sweet thing which

has turned sour is called in the Shâstras "*Shukta,*" and that is prohibited to be taken, excepting curd, which is good and beneficial. If you have to take bread, toast it well over the fire.

Impure water and impure food are the cause of all maladies. In America, nowadays, it has become a craze to purify the drinking water. The filter has had its day and is now discredited, because it only strains the water through, while all the finer germs of diseases, such as cholera, plague, etc., remain intact in it ; moreover, the filter itself gradually becomes the hotbed of these germs. When the filter was first introduced in Calcutta, for five years, it is said, there was no outbreak of cholera ; since then it has become as bad as ever, for the reason that the huge filter itself has now come to be the vehicle of cholera germs. Of all kinds, the simple method that we have of placing three earthen jars one over another on a three-footed bamboo frame, is the best ; but every second or third day the sand and charcoal should be changed, or used again after heating them. The method of straining water through a cloth containing a lump of alum in it, that we find in vogue in the villages along the banks of the Ganges in the vicinity of Calcutta, is the best of all. The particles of alum taking with them all earth and impurities and the disease germs, gradually settle at the bottom of the deep jar as sediment ; this simple system brings into disrepute pipe-water, and excels all your foreign filters. Moreover, if the water is boiled it becomes perfectly safe. Boil the water when the impurities are settled down by the alum, and then drink it, and throw away filters and such other things into the ditch. Now in America, the drinking water is first turned into vapour by means of huge machines, then, the vapour is cooled down into water again, and through another machine pure air is pressed into it, to substitute that air which goes out during the

process of vaporization. This water is very pure and is used in every home.

In our country, he who has some means, feeds his children with all sorts of sweets and *ghee*-fried things, because, perchance, it is a shame—just think what the people will say!—to let them have only rice and *châpâtis*! What can you expect children fed like that to be, but disproportionate in figure, lazy, worthless idiots, with no backbone of their own? The English people, who are so strong a race, who work so hard day and night, and whose native-place is a cold country,—even they hold in dread the very name of sweetmeats and food fried in butter! And we, who live in the zone of fire as it were, who do not like to move from one place to another,—what do we eat?—*loochis*, *kachooris*, sweets, and other things, all fried in *ghee* or oil! Formerly, our village zemindars in Bengal would think nothing of walking twenty or thirty miles, and would eat twice-twenty *Koi*-fish, bones and all—and they lived to a hundred years. Now their sons and grandsons come to Calcutta and put on airs, wear spectacles, eat the sweets from the Bazaars, hire a carriage to go from one street to another, and then complain of diabetes—and their life is cut short ; this is the result of their being "civilised, Calcutta-ised" people. And doctors and *Vaidyas* hasten their ruin too. They are all-knowing, they think they can cure anything with medicine. If there is a little flatulence, immediately some medicine is prescribed. Alas, it never enters into the heads of these *Vaidyas* to advise them to keep away from medicine, and go and have a good walk of four or five miles, or so.

I am seeing many countries, and many ways and preparations of food ; but none of them approach the admirable cooking of our various dishes of Bengal, and it is not too much to say that one would like to take re-birth for the sake of again enjoying their excellence.

It is a great pity that one does not appreciate the value of teeth when one has them! Why should we imitate the West as regards food,—and how many can afford to do so? The food which is suitable in our part of the country is pure Bengali food, cheap, wholesome, and nourishing, like that of the people of Eastern Bengal. Imitate their food as much as you can; the more you lean westwards to copy the modes of food, the worse you are, and the more uncivilised you become. You are Calcutta-ites, civilised, forsooth; carried away by the charm of that destructive net which is of your own creation, the Bazaar sweets, Bankura has consigned its popped-rice to the river Damodar, its *Kalâi dâl* has been cast into the ditch, and Dacca and Vikrampur have thrown to the dogs their old dishes,—or in other words, they have become "civilised"! You have gone to wrack and ruin, and are leading others in the same path, you townspeople, and you pride yourselves on your being "civilised"! And these provincial people are so foolish that they will eat all the refuse of Calcutta and suffer from dyspepsia and dysentery, but will not admit that it is not suiting them, and will defend themselves by saying, that the air of Calcutta is damp and 'saline'! They must by all means be townspeople in every respect!!

So far, in brief, about the merits of food and other customs. Now I shall say something in the matter of what the Westerners generally eat, and how by degrees it has changed.

The food of the poor in all countries is some species of corn; herbs, vegetables, and fish and meat fall within the category of luxuries and are used in the shape of *chutney*. The crop which grows in abundance and is the chief produce of a country, is the staple food of its poorer classes; as in Bengal, Orissa, Madras, and the Malabar coasts, the prime food is rice, pulse and vege-

tables, and sometimes, fish and meat are used for *chutneys* only. The food of the well-to-do class in other parts of India is *châpâtis* (unfermented bread) of wheat, and rice, and of the people in general is mainly *châpâtis* of *bazrâ*, *marhuâ*, *janâr*, *jhingorâ* and other corns.

All over India, herbs, vegetables, pulse, fish and meat are used only to make tasteful the *roti* (unfermented bread), or the rice, as the case may be, and hence they are called in Sanskrit, *vyanjana*, i. e., which seasons food. In the Punjab, Rajputana and the Deccan, though the rich people and the princes take many kinds of meat every day, yet with them even, the principal food is *roti* or rice. He who takes daily one pound of meat, surely takes two pounds of *châpâtis* along with it.

Similarly in the West, the chief foods of the people in poor countries, and especially of the poor class in the rich parts, are bread and potatoes ; meat is rarely taken, and, if taken, is considered as a *chutney*. In Spain, Portugal, Italy, and in other comparatively warm countries, grapes grow profusely and the wine made of grapes is very cheap. These wines are not intoxicating (i.e., unless one drinks a great quantity, one will not get intoxicated), and are very nutritious. The poor of those countries, therefore, use grape juice as a nourishment instead of fish and meat. But in the northern parts of Europe, such as Russia, Sweden and Norway, bread made of rye, potatoes and a little dried fish form the food of the poor classes.

The food of the wealthy classes of Europe, and of all the classes of America is quite different, that is to say, their chief food is fish and meat, and bread, rice and other things are taken as *chutney*. In America, bread is taken very little. When fish is served, it is served by itself, or when meat is served, it is served by itself, and is often taken without bread or rice. Therefore, the plate has to be changed frequently ; if there

are ten sorts of food, the plate has to be changed as many times. If we were to take our food in this way, we shall have to serve like this,—suppose the *sukta* (bitter curry) is first brought, and, changing that plate, *dâl* is served on another ; in the same way the soup arrives ; and again a little rice by itself, or a few *loochis*, and so on. One benefit of this way of serving is, that a little only of many varieties is taken, and it saves one from eating too much of anything. The French take coffee, and one or two slices of bread and butter in the morning, fish and meat, &c., in a moderate way about midday, and the principal meal comes at night. With the Italians and Spaniards, the custom is the same as that of the French. The Germans eat a good deal, five or six times a day, with more or less meat every time ; the English, three times, the breakfast being rather small, but tea or coffee between ; and the Americans also three times, but the meal is rather big every time, with plenty of meat. In all these countries, the principal meal is, however, dinner ; the rich have French cooks and have it cooked after the French fashion : To begin with, a little salted fish or roe, or some sort of *chutney* or vegetable,—this is by way of stimulating the appetite ; soup follows ; then, according to the present-day fashion, fruit ; next comes fish ; then, a meat-curry ; after which a joint of roast meat, and with it some vegetables ; afterwards, game, birds, or venison, &c.; then sweets ; and finally, delicious ice-cream. At the table of the rich, the wine is changed every time the dish changes,—and hock, claret and iced champagne are served with the different courses. The spoon and knife and fork are also changed each time with the plate. After dinner,—coffee without milk, and liqueurs in very tiny glasses, are brought in, and smoking comes last. The greater the variety of wines served with the various dishes, the greater will the host be regarded as a rich and wealthy

man of fashion. So much money is spent over there in giving a dinner, as would ruin a moderately rich man of our country.

Sitting cross-legged on a wooden seat on the ground, with a similar one to lean his back against, the Arya used to take his food on a single metal plate, placed on a slightly-raised wooden stool. The same custom is still in vogue in the Punjab, Rajputana, Mahârâshtra and Gujerat. The people of Bengal, Orissa, Telinga and Malabar, &c., do not use wooden stools to put the plates on, but take their food on a plate or a plantain-leaf placed on the ground. Even the Maharajah of Mysore does the same. The Musalmans sit on a large, white sheet, when taking their food. The Burmese and the Japanese place their plates on the ground and sit supporting themselves on their knees and feet only, and not flat on their haunches like the Indians. The Chinamen sit on chairs, with their dishes placed on a table, and use spoons and wooden chopsticks in taking their food. In the olden times, the Romans and Greeks had a table before them, and reclining on a couch, used to eat their food with their fingers. The Europeans, sitting on chairs, used to take their food with their fingers also, from the table ; now they have spoons and forks. The Chinese mode of eating is really an exercise requiring skill. As our *pân* (betel)-vendors make, by dexterity of hand, two separate pieces of thin iron-sheets work like scissors in the trimming of *pân* leaves, so the Chinese manipulate two sticks between two fingers and the palm of the right hand, in such a way as to make them act like tongs to carry the vegetables up to their mouths. Again, putting the two together, and holding a bowl of rice near the mouth, they push the rice in with the help of those sticks formed like a little shovel.

The primitive ancestors of every nation used to eat, it is said, whatever they could get. When they killed

a big animal, they would make it last for a month, and would not reject it even after it got rotten. Then gradually they became civilised and learnt cultivation. Formerly, they could not get their food every day by hunting and would, like the wild animals, gorge themselves one day and then starve four or five days in the week. Now they escaped that, for they could get their food every day, by cultivation ; but it remained a standing custom to take with food something like rotten meat or other things, of the old days. Primarily, rotten meat was an indispensable article of food ; now that or something else in its place became, like the sauce, a favourite relish. The Eskimos live in the snowy regions, where no kind of corn can be produced ; their daily food is fish and flesh. Once in a way when they lose their appetite, they take just a piece of rotten flesh to recover their lost appetite. Even now, Europeans do not immediately cook wild birds, game and venison, while fresh, but they keep them hanging till they begin to smell a little. In Calcutta the rotten meat of a deer is sold out as soon as brought to the market, and people prefer some fish when slightly rotten. In some parts of Europe, the cheese which smells a little is regarded as very tasty. Even the vegetarians like to have a little onion and garlic ; the Southern Indian Brâhmana must have them in his cooking. But the Hindu Shâstras prohibited that too ; the taking of onions, garlic, domestic fowl and pork is a sin, to one caste (the Brâhmana) ; they that would take them would lose their caste. So the orthodox Hindus gave up onions and garlic, and substituted in their place, asafœtida, a thing which is more strikingly offensive in smell than either of the other two! The orthodox Brâhmanas of the Himalayas similarly took to a kind of dried grass smelling just like garlic! And what harm in that?—the Scriptures do not say anything against taking them!

Every religion contains some rules regarding the taking of certain foods, and the avoiding of others ; only Christianity is an exception. The Jains and the Bauddhas will by no means take fish or meat. The Jains, again, will not even eat potatoes, radishes, or other vegetable roots, which grow underground, lest in digging them up worms are killed. They will not eat at night lest some insect get into their mouths in the dark. The Jews do not eat fish that have no scales, do not eat pork, nor the animals that are not cloven-hoofed and do not ruminate. Again, if milk or any preparation of milk be brought into the kitchen where fish or flesh is being cooked, the Jews will throw away everything cooked there. For this reason, the orthodox Jews do not eat the food cooked by other nations. Like the Hindus, too, they do not take flesh which is simply slaughtered and not offered to God. In Bengal and the Punjab, another name of flesh that is offered to the Goddess is, *Mahâprasâda*, lit., the "great offering." The Jews do not eat flesh, unless it is *Mahâprasâda*, i. e., unless it is properly offered to God. Hence, they, like the Hindus, are not permitted to buy flesh at any and every shop. The Musalmans obey many rules similar to the Jews, but do not, like them, go to extremes ; they do not take milk and fish or flesh at the same meal, and do not consider it so much harm if they are in the same kitchen, or if one touches another. There is much similarity respecting food between the Hindus and the Jews. The Jews, however, do not take wild boar, which the Hindus do. In the Punjab, on account of the deadly animosity between the Hindus and the Musalmans, the former do what the latter will not, and the wild boar has come to be one of the very essential articles of food with the Hindus there. With the Rajputs, hunting the wild boar and partaking of its flesh is rather an act of Dharma. The taking of the flesh of even the domesticated pig prevails to a great

extent, in the Deccan, among all castes except the Brâhmanas. The Hindus eat the wild fowl (cock or hen), but not domesticated fowls.

The people of India from Bengal to Nepal and in the Himalayas as far as the borders of Kashmir, follow the same usages regarding food. In these parts, the customs of Manu are in force to a large extent even up to this day. But they obtain more especially in the parts from Kumaon to Kashmir than in Bengal, Behar, Allahabad or Nepal. For example, the Bengalees do not eat fowl or fowl's eggs, but they eat duck's eggs ; so do the Nepalese ; but from Kumaon upwards, even that is not allowed. The Kashmiris eat with pleasure eggs of the wild duck, but not of the domesticated bird. Of the people of India, beginning from Allahabad, excepting in the Himalayas, they who take the flesh of goat take fowl as well.

All these rules and prohibitions with respect to food are for the most part meant, no doubt, in the interests of good health ; of course, in each and every instance, it is difficult to accurately determine which particular food is conducive to health and which is not. Again, swine and fowls eat anything and everything and are very unclean ; so they are forbidden. No one sees what the wild animals eat in the forest ; so they are not disallowed. Besides, the wild animals are healthier and less sickly than the domesticated ones. Milk is very difficult of digestion, especially when one is suffering from acidity, and cases have happened when even by gulping down a glass of milk in haste, life has been jeopardised. Milk should be taken as a child does from its mother's breast ; if it is sucked or sipped by degrees, it is easily digestible, otherwise not. Being itself hard of digestion, it becomes the more so when taken with flesh ; so the Jews are prohibited from taking flesh and milk at the same meal.

The foolish and ignorant mother who forces her baby

to swallow too much milk, beats her breast in despair within a few months, on seeing that there is little hope of her darling's life! The modern medical authorities prescribe only a pint of milk even for an adult, and that is to be taken as slowly as possible; and for babies a "feeding-bottle" is the best means. Our mothers are too busy with household duties, so the maid-servant puts the crying baby in her lap, and not unfrequently holds it down with her knee, and by means of a spoon makes it gulp down as much milk as she can! And the result is, that generally it is afflicted with liver complaint and seldom grows up,—that milk proves to be its doom; only those that have sufficient vitality to survive this sort of dangerous feeding, attain a strong and healthy manhood. And think of our old-fashioned confinement rooms, of the hot fomentations given to the baby, and treatments of like nature. It was indeed a wonder and must have been a matter of special divine grace, that the mother and the baby survived these severe trials and could become strong and healthy!

Civilisation in Dress.

In every country, the respectability of a person is determined to a certain extent, by the nature of the dress he wears. As our village-folk in Bengal say in their patois, "How can a gentleman be distinguished from one of low birth unless his income is known?" And not only income, "Unless it is seen how one dresses oneself, how can it be known if one is a gentleman?"—this is the same all over the world, more or less. In Bengal, no gentleman can walk in the streets with only a loin-cloth on; while in other parts of India, no one goes out-of-doors but with a turban on his head. In the West, the French have all along taken the lead in everything,—their food and their dress are imitated by others. Even now, though different parts of Europe have got different modes

of clothes and dress of their own, yet when one earns a good deal of money and becomes a 'gentleman,' he straightway rejects his former native dress and substitutes the French mode in its place. The Dutch farmer whose native dress somewhat resembles the *paijâmâs* of the Kabulis, the Greek clothed in full skirts, the Russ dressed somewhat after the Thibetan fashion,—as soon as they become "genteel," they wear French coats and pantaloons. Needless to speak of women,—no sooner do they get rich than they must by any means have their dresses made in Paris. America, England, France and Germany are now the rich countries in the West, and the dress of the people of these countries, one and all, is made after the French fashion, which is slowly and surely making its way into every part of Europe. The whole of Europe seems to be an imitation of France. However, men's clothes are better made nowadays in London than Paris, so men have them "London-made," and women in the Parisian style. Those who are very rich have their dresses sent from those two places. America enforces an exorbitant tax upon the importation of foreign dresses ; notwithstanding that, the American women must have them from Paris and London. This, only the Americans can afford to do, for America is now the chief home of Kuvera, the god of wealth.

The ancient Aryans used to put on the *Dhooti* and *Châdar*.* The Kshatriyas used to wear trousers and long coats, when fighting. At other times they would use only the *Dhooti* and *Châdar* ; and they wore the turban. The same custom is still in vogue among the people in all parts of India except Bengal ; they are not so particular about the dress for the rest of the body,

* *Dhooti* is a Bengali name for a piece of cloth about four or five yards long, worn by the Indians round the loins instead of breeches, and *Châdar* is a piece of cloth three yards long, used as a loose upper garment.

but they must have a turban for the head. In former times, the same was also the custom both for the man and the woman. In the sculptured figures of the Buddhistic period, the men and the women are seen to wear only a piece of *Kaupin*. Even Lord Buddha's father, though a king, is seen in some sculptures, sitting on a throne, dressed in the same way ; so also the mother, only she has, in addition, ornaments on her feet and arms ; but they all have turbans ! The Buddhist Emperor, Dharmâshoka, is seen sitting on a drum-shaped seat, with only a *Dhooti* on, and a *Châdar* round his neck, and looking on damsels performing a dance before him ; the dancing girls are very little clothed, having only short pieces of loose material hanging from the waist ; but the glory is—that the turban is there, and it makes the principal feature of their dress. The high officials of the State who attended the royal court, are, however, dressed in excellent trousers and *Chogâs* or long coats. When the King Nala was disguised as a charioteer in the service of the King Rituparna, he drove the chariot at such a tremendous speed that the *Châdar* of the King Rituparna was blown away to such a distance that it could not be recovered ; and as he had set out to marry, or join a *Svayamvara,* he had to do so, perchance, without a *Châdar*. The *Dhooti* and the *Châdar* are the time-honoured dress of the Aryans. Hence, at the time of the performance of any religious ceremony, the rule among the Hindus even now is to put on the *Dhooti* and the *Châdar* only.

The dress of the ancient Greeks and Romans was *Dhooti* and *Châdar,*—one broad piece of cloth and another smaller one made in the form of the Toga, from which the word *Chogá* is derived. Sometimes they used also a shirt, and at the time of fighting, trousers and coats. The dress of the women was a long and sufficiently broad, square-shaped garment, similar to that formed by sewing

two sheets lengthwise, which they slipped over them and tied round, once under the breast and again round the waist. Then they fastened the upper parts which were open, over both the arms by means of large pins, in much the same way as the hill-tribes of the northern Himalayas still wear their blankets. There was a *Châdar* over this long garment. This dress was very simple and elegant.

From the very old days, only the Iranians used shaped dresses. Perhaps they learnt it from the Chinese. The Chinese were the primeval teachers of civilisation in dress and other things pertaining to various comforts and luxuries. From time immemorial, the Chinese took their meals at a table, sitting on chairs, with many elaborate auxiliaries, and wore shaped dresses of many varieties,—coat, cap, trousers, and so on.

On conquering Iran, Alexander gave up the old Greek *Dhooti* and *Châdar* and began using trousers. At this, his Greek soldiers became so disaffected towards him, that they were on the point of mutiny. But Alexander was not the man to yield, and by the sheer force of his authority he introduced trousers and coats as a fashion in dress.

In a hot climate, the necessity of clothes is not so much felt. A mere *Kaupin* is enough for the purpose of decency ; other clothes serve more as embellishments. In cold countries, as a matter of unavoidable necessity, the people when uncivilised, clothe themselves with the skins of animals, and when they gradually become civilised, they learn the use of blankets, and by degrees, shaped dresses, such as, pantaloons, coats, and so on. Of course it is impossible in cold countries to display the beauty of ornaments, which have to be worn on the bare body, for if they did so they would suffer severely from cold. So the fondness for ornaments is transferred to, and is satisfied by, the niceties of dress. As in India, the

fashion in ornaments changes very often, so in the West, the fashions in dress change every moment.

In cold countries, therefore, it is the rule that one should not appear before others without covering oneself from head to foot. In London, a gentleman or a lady cannot go out without conforming himself or herself exactly to what society demands. In the West, it is immodest for a woman to show her feet in society, but at a dance it is not improper to expose the face, shoulders, and upper part of the body to view. In our country, on the other hand, for a woman to show her face is a great shame, (hence that rigorous drawing of the veil), but not so the feet. Again, in Rajputana and the Himalayas they cover the whole body except the waist!

In the West, actresses and dancing-girls are very thinly covered, to attract men. Their dancing often means exposing their limbs in harmonious movements accompanied by music. In our country, the women of gentle birth are not so particular in covering themselves thoroughly, but the dancing-girls are entirely covered. In the West, women are always completely clothed, in the day-time ; so attraction is greater in their being thinly covered. Our women remain in the house most of the time, and much dressing themselves is unusual ; so with us, attraction is greater in their fully covering themselves. In Malabar, men and women have only a piece of cloth round their loins. With the Bengalees it is about the same, and before men, the women scrupulously draw their veils, and cover their bodies.

In all countries except China, I notice many queer and mysterious ideas of propriety—in some matters they are carried too far, in others again, what strikes one as being very incorrect, I see that no such idea is felt.

The Chinese of both sexes are always fully covered from head to foot. The Chinese are the disciples of Confucius, are the disciples of Buddha, and their morality is

quite strict and refined. Obscene language, obscene books or pictures, any conduct the least obscene,—and the offender is punished then and there. The Christian missionaries translated the Bible into the Chinese tongue. Now, in the Bible there are some passages so obscene as to put to shame some of the Puranas of the Hindus. Reading those indecorous passages, the Chinamen were so exasperated against Christianity that they made a point of never allowing the Bible to be circulated in their country. Over and above that, Missionary women wearing evening dress, and mixing freely with men, issued invitations to the Chinese. The simple-minded Chinese were disgusted, and raised a cry, saying: O, horror! This religion is come to us to ruin our young boys, by giving them this Bible to read, and making them fall an easy prey to the charms of these half-clothed wily women! This is why the Chinese are so very indignant with the Christians. Otherwise, the Chinese are very tolerant towards other religions. I hear that the Missionaries have now printed an edition, leaving out the objectionable parts ; but this step has made the Chinese more suspicious than before.

Again, in the West, ideas of decency and etiquette vary in accordance with the different countries. With the English and Americans they are of one type, with the French it is of another, with the Germans it is again different. The Russians and the Thibetans have much in common ; and the Turks have their own quite distinct customs, and so on.

Etiquettes and Manners.

In Europe and America, they are extremely particular in observing privacy, much more than we are. We are vegetarians, and so eat a quantity of vegetables, &c., and living in a hot country we frequently drink one or two glasses of water at a time. The peasant of the Upper

Provinces eats two pounds of powdered barley, and then sets to drawing and drinking water from the well every now and again, as he feels so thirsty. In summer we keep open places in our house for distributing water to the thirsty, through a hollowed bamboo stem. These ways make the people not so very particular about privacy ; they cannot help it. Compare cowsheds and horses' stables with lions' and tigers' cages. Compare the dog with the goat. The food of the Westerners is chiefly meat. and in cold countries they hardly drink any water. Gentlemen take a little wine in small glasses. The French detest water ; only Americans drink it in great quantities, for their country is very warm in summer. New York is even hotter than Calcutta. The Germans drink a good deal of beer, but not with their meals.

In cold countries, men are always susceptible to catching cold, so they cannot help sneezing ; in warm countries people have to drink much water at meals, consequently we cannot help eructating. Now note the etiquette : if you do that in a Western society, your sin is unpardonable ; but if you bring out your pocket-handkerchief and blow your nose vigorously, it will see nothing objectionable in that. With us, the host will not feel satisfied, so to say, unless he sees you doing the former, as that is taken as a sign of full meal ; but what would you think of doing the latter when having a meal in the company of others?

In England and America, no mention of indigestion or any stomach complaints you may be suffering from, should be made before women ; it is a different matter, of course, if your friend is an old woman, or if she is quite well known to you. They are not so sensitive about these things in France. The Germans are even less particular.

English and American men are very guarded in their conversation before women ; you cannot even speak of a 'leg.' The French, like us, are very free in conversation ;

the Germans and the Russians will use vulgar terms in the presence of anybody.

But conversations on being in love are freely carried on, between mother and son, between brothers and sisters and between them and their fathers. The father asks the daughter many questions about her lover (the future bridegroom) and cuts all sorts of jokes about her engagement. On such occasions, the French maiden modestly hangs down her head, the English maiden is bashful, and the American maiden gives him sharp replies to his face. Kissing and even embrace are not so very objectionable ; these things can be talked of in society. But in our country, no talk, nor even an indirect hint of love affairs is permissible before superior relations.

The Westerners are now rich people. Unless one's dress is very clean and in conformity with strict etiquette, one will not be considered as a gentleman and cannot mix in society. A gentleman must change his collar and shirt twice or thrice every day ; the poor people, of course, cannot do this. In the outer garment there must not be stains or even a crease. However much you may suffer from heat you must go out with gloves, for fear of getting your hands dirty in the streets, and to shake hands with a lady with hands that are not clean, is very ungentlemanlike. In polite society, if the act of spitting, or rinsing the mouth, or picking the teeth, be ever indulged in,—the offender will be marked as a *Chandâla,* a man of low caste, and shunned !

The Dharma of the Westerners is worship of *Sakti,*—the Creative Power regarded as the Female Principle. It is with them somewhat like the *Vâmâchâri's* worship of woman. As the *Tântrika* says : "On the left side the woman ;.....on the right, the cup full of wine ; in front, warm meat with ingredients ;.....the *Tântrika* religion is very mysterious, inscrutable even to the Yogis." It is this worship of *Sakti* that is openly and universally prac-

tised ; the idea of motherhood, i. e., the relation of a son to his mother, is also noticed in a great measure. Protestantism as a force is not very significant in Europe, where the religion is, in fact, Roman Catholic. In that religion, Jehovah, or Jesus, or the Trinity is secondary ; there, the worship is for the Mother,—She, the Mother, with the Child Jesus in Her arms. The emperor cries "Mother," the field-marshal cries "Mother," the soldier with the flag in his hand cries Mother, the seaman at the helm cries "Mother," the fisherman in his rags cries "Mother," the beggar in the street cries "Mother"! A million voices, in a million ways, from a million places, from the palace, from the cottage, from the church, cry "Mother," "Mother," "Mother"! Everywhere is the cry "Ave Maria"; day and night, "Ave Maria," "Ave Maria"!

Next is the worship of the woman. This worship of *Sakti* is not lust, but is that *Sakti-Pujâ*, that worship of the *Kumâri* (Virgin) and the *Sadhavâ* (the married woman whose husband is living), which is done in Benares, Kalighat and other holy places. It is the worship of the *Sakti*, not in mere thought, not in imagination, but in actual, visible form. Our *Sakti*-worship is only in the holy places, and at certain times only is it performed ; but theirs it is in every place and always, for days, weeks, months and years. Foremost is the woman's state, foremost is her dress, her seat, her food, her wants and her comforts ; the first honours in all respects are accorded to her. Not to speak of the noble-born, not to speak of the young and the fair, it is the worship of any and every woman, be she an acquaintance or a stranger. This *Sakti*-worship the Moors, the mixed Arab race, Mahommedan in religion, first introduced into Europe, when they conquered Spain and ruled her for eight centuries. It was the Moors who first sowed in Europe the seeds of Western civilisation and *Sakti*-worship. In the course of time, the Moors forgot this *Sakti*-worship and fell from their position of strength,

culture and glory, to live scattered and unrecognised in an unnoticed corner of Africa, and their power and civilisation passed over to Europe. The Mother, leaving the Moors, smiled Her loving blessings on the Christians and illumined their homes.

France——Paris.

What is this Europe? Why are the black, the bronze, the yellow, the red inhabitants of Asia, Africa and America bent low at the feet of the Europeans? Why are they the sole rulers in this *Kali-Yuga*? To understand this Europe, one has to understand her through France, the fountain-head of everything that is highest in the West. The supreme power that rules the world is Europe, and of this Europe the great centre is Paris. Paris is the centre of Western civilisation. Here, in Paris, matures and ripens every idea of Western ethics, manners and customs, light or darkness, good or evil. This Paris is like a vast ocean, in which there is many a precious gem, coral and pearl, and in which, again, there are sharks and other rapacious sea-animals, as well. Of Europe, the central field of work, the *Karma-kshetra*, is France. A picturesque country, neither very cold nor very warm, very fertile, weather neither excessively wet nor extremely dry, sky clear, sun sweet, Chennaars (elms) and oaks in abundance, grass-lands charming, hills and rivers small, springs delightful. Excepting some parts of China, no other country in the world have I seen that is so beautiful as France. That play of beauty in water, and fascination in land,—that madness in the air,—that ecstasy in the sky! Nature so lovely—the men so fond of beauty! The rich and the poor, the young and the old, keep their houses, their rooms, the streets, the fields, the gardens, the walks, so artistically neat and clean—the whole country looks like a picture. Such love of Nature and art have I seen nowhere else, except in Japan. The palatial structures, the gardens

resembling the *Nandan-kânan* of Indra, the groves, even the farmer's fields,—everywhere and in everything an attempt at beauty, an attempt at art, is remarkable, and effected with success too.

From ancient times, France has been the scene of conflict between the Gaulois, the Romans, the Franks and other nations. After the destruction of the Roman Empire, the Franks obtained absolute dominion over Europe. Their King, Charlemagne, forced Christianity into Europe, by the power of the sword. Europe was made known in Asia by these Franks. Hence we still call the Europeans *Franki, Feringi, Planki* or *Filinga,* and so on.

Ancient Greece, the fountain-head of Western civilisation sank into oblivion from the pinnacle of her glory, the vast empire of Rome was broken into pieces by the dashing waves of the barbarian invaders,—the light of Europe went out ; it was at this time that another barbarous race rose out of obscurity in Asia—the Arabs. With extraordinary rapidity, that Arab tide began to spread over the different parts of the world. Powerful Persia had to kiss the ground before the Arabs and adopt the Mahommedan religion, with the result that the Musalman religion took quite a new shape ; the religion of the Arabs and the civilisation of Persia became intermingled.

With the sword of the Arabs, the Persian civilisation began to disseminate in all directions. That Persian civilisation had been borrowed from ancient Greece and India. From the East and from the West, the waves of Musalman invaders dashed violently on Europe, and along with them also, the light of wisdom and civilisation began dispersing the darkness of blind and barbarous Europe. The wisdom, learning and arts of ancient Greece entered into Italy, overpowered the barbarians, and with their quickening impulse life began to pulsate in the dead body of the world-capital of Rome. The pulsation of this new life took a strong and formidable shape in the city of

Florence,—old Italy began showing signs of new life. This is called The Renaissance, the new birth. But this new birth was for Italy only a rebirth ; while for the rest of Europe, it was only the first birth. Europe was born in the sixteenth century A. D., i. e., about the time when Akbar, Jehangir, Shahjahan and other Mogul Emperors firmly established their mighty empire in India.

Italy was an old nation. At the call of the Renaissance, she woke up and gave her response, but only to turn over on her side in bed, as it were, and fell fast asleep again. For various reasons, India also stirred up a little at this time. For three ruling generations from Akbar, learning, wisdom and arts came to be much esteemed in India. But India was also a very old nation ; and for some reason or other, she also did the same as Italy and slept on again.

In Europe, the tide of revival in Italy struck the powerful, young and new nation, the Franks. The torrent of civilisation flowing from all quarters into Florence and there uniting, assumed a new form ; but Italy had not the power within herself to hold that stupendous mass of fresh energy. The revival would have, as in India, ended there, had it not been for the good fortune of Europe that the new nation of the Franks gladly took up that energy, and they in the vigour of their youthful blood, boldly floated their national ship on the tide ; and the current of that progress gradually gathered in volume and strength, —from one it swelled into a thousand courses. The other nations of Europe greedily took the water of that tide into their own countries by cutting new channels, and increased its volume and speed by pouring their own life-blood into it. That tidal wave broke, in the fulness of time, on the shores of India. It reached as far as the coast of Japan, and she became revitalised by bathing in its water. Japan is the new nation of Asia.

Paris is the fountain-head of European civilisation, as

Gomookhi is of the Ganges. This huge metropolis is a vision of heaven on earth, the city of constant rejoicing, the *Sadânandanagari*. Such luxury, such enjoyments, such mirthfulness are neither in London, nor in Berlin, nor anywhere else. True, there is wealth in London and in New York, in Berlin there is learning and wisdom ; but nowhere is that French soil, and above all, nowhere is that genius of the Frenchman. Let there be wealth in plenty, let there be learning and wisdom, let there be beauty of Nature also, elsewhere,—but where is the MAN? This remarkable French character is the incarnation of the ancient Greek, as it were, that had died to be born again, —always joyful, always full of enthusiasm, very light and silly, yet again exceedingly grave, prompt and resolute to do every work, and again despondent at the least resistance. But that despondency is only for a moment with the Frenchman, his face soon after glowing again with fresh hope and trust.

The Paris university is the model of European universities. All the Academies of Science that are in the world are imitations of the French Academy. Paris is the first teacher of the founding of colonial empires. The terms used in military art in all languages are still mostly French. The style and diction of French writings are copied into all the European languages. Of science, philosophy and art, this Paris is the mine. Everywhere, in every respect, there is imitation of the French. As if the French are the townspeople and the other nations are only villagers compared with them. What the French initiate, the Germans, the English and other nations imitate, may be fifty or twenty-five years later, whether it be in learning, or in art, or in social matters. This French civilisation reached Scotland, and when the Scottish King became the King of England, it awoke and roused England ;—it was during the reign of the Stuart Dynasty of Scotland, that the Royal Society and other institutions were established in England.

Again, France is the home of liberty. From here, the city of Paris, travelled with tremendous energy the power of the People, and shook the very foundations of Europe. From that time, the face of Europe has completely changed and a new Europe has come into existence. Liberté, Egalité, Fraternité, is no more heard in France ; she is now imitating other ideas and other purposes, while the spirit of the French Revolution is still working among the other nations of Europe.

One distinguished scientist of England told me the other day, that Paris was the centre of the world, and that the more a nation would succeed in establishing its connection with the city of Paris, the more would that nation's progress in national life be achieved. Though such assertion is a partial exaggeration of fact, yet it is certainly true that if anyone has to give to the world any new idea, this Paris is *the* place for its dissemination. If one can gain the approbation of the people of Paris, that voice the whole of Europe is sure to echo back. The sculptor, the painter, the musician, the dancer, or any artist, if he can first obtain celebrity in Paris, acquires very easily the esteem and high appreciation of other countries.

We hear only of the darker side of this Paris, in our country,—that it is a horrible place, a hell on earth. Some of the English hold this view ; and the wealthy people of other countries, in whose eyes no other enjoyment is possible in life than the gratification of the senses, naturally see Paris as the home of immorality and enjoyments.

But it is the same in all the big cities of the West, such as, London, Berlin, Vienna, New York. The only difference is,—in other countries the means of enjoyment are commonplace and vulgar, but the very dirt of civilised Paris is coated over with gold leaf. To compare the refined enjoyments of Paris with the barbarity in this respect of other cities is to compare the wild boar's

wallowing in the mire with the peacock's dance when spreading its feathers out into a fan.

What nation in the world has not the longing to enjoy and live a life of pleasure? Otherwise why should those who get rich hasten to Paris of all places? Why do kings and emperors assuming another name come to Paris and live incognito and feel themselves happy by bathing in this whirlpool of sense-enjoyment? The longing is in all countries, and no pains are spared to satisfy it ; the only difference is, the French have perfected it as a science, they know how to enjoy, they have risen to the highest rung of the ladder of enjoyment.

Even then, most of the vulgar dances and amusements are for the foreigner ; the French people are very cautious, they never waste money for nothing. All those luxuries, those expensive hotels, and Cafés, at which the cost of a dinner is enough to ruin one, are for the rich foolish foreigner. The French are highly refined, profuse in etiquette, polished and suave in their manners, clever in drawing money from one's pocket, and when they do they laugh in their sleeve.

Besides, there is another thing to note. Society, as it is among the Americans, Germans and the English, is open to all nations, so the foreigner can quickly see the ins and outs of it. After the acquaintance of a few days, the American will invite one to live in his house for a while ; the Germans also do the same ; and the English do so after a longer acquaintance. But it is very different with the French ; a Frenchman will never invite one to live with his family unless he is very intimately acquainted with him. But when a foreigner gets such an opportunity, and has occasion and time enough to see and know the family, he forms quite a different opinion from what he generally hears of them. Is it not equally foolish of foreigners to venture an opinion of our national character, as they do, by seeing only the low quarters of Calcutta?

So with Paris. The unmarried women in France are as well guarded as in our country, they cannot even mix freely in society ; only after marriage can they do so in company with their husbands. Like us, their negotiations for marriage are carried on by their parents. Being a jolly people, none of their big social functions will be complete without professional dancers, as with us performances of dancing-girls are given on the occasions of marriage and *Puja*. Living in a dark foggy country, the English are gloomy, make long faces and remark that such dances at one's home are very improper, but at a theatre they are all right. It should be noted here, that their dances may appear improper to our eyes, but not so with them, they being accustomed to them. The girl may, at a dance, appear in a dress showing the neck and shoulders, and that is not taken as improper ; and the English and Americans would not object to attending such dances, but on going home, might not refrain from condemning the French customs !

Again, the idea is the same everywhere regarding the chastity of women, whose deviation from it is fraught with danger, but in the case of men it does not matter so much. The Frenchman is, no doubt, a little freer in this respect, and like the rich men of other countries cares not for criticism. Generally speaking, in Europe, the majority of men do not regard a little lax conduct as so very bad, and in the West, the same is the case with bachelors. The parents of young students consider it rather a drawback if the latter fight shy of women, lest they become effeminate. The one excellence which a man must have, in the West, is—courage. Their word "Virtue" and our word वीरत्व—"*Viratva*" (heroism) are one and the same. Look to the derivation of the word "Virtue" and see what they call goodness in man. For women, they hold chastity as the most important virtue, no doubt. One man marrying more than one wife, is not so injurious to society as

a woman having more than one husband at the same time, for the latter leads to the gradual decay of the race. Therefore, in all countries good care is taken to preserve the chastity of women. Behind this attempt of every society to preserve the chastity of women is seen the hand of Nature. The tendency of Nature is to multiply the population, and the chastity of women helps that tendency. Therefore, in being more anxious about the purity of women than of men, every society is only assisting Nature in the fulfilment of her purpose.

The object of my speaking of these things is to impress upon you the fact, that the life of each nation has a moral purpose of its own, and the manners and customs of a nation must be judged from the standpoint of that purpose. The Westerners should be seen through their eyes ; to see them through our eyes, and for them to see us with theirs,—both these are mistakes. The purpose of our life is quite the opposite of theirs. The Sanskrit name for a student, *Brahmachári*, is synonymous with the Sanskrit word, *Kámajit*.* Our goal of life is *Moksha;* how can that be ever attained without *Brahmacharyam,* or absolute continence? Hence it is imposed upon our boys and youth as an indispensable condition, during their studentship. The purpose of life in the West is *Bhoga,* enjoyment ; hence much attention to strict *Brahmacharya* is not so indispensably necessary with them as it is with us.

Now, to return to Paris. There is no city in the world that can compare with modern Paris. Formerly it was quite different from what it is now,—it was somewhat like the Bengali quarters of Benares, with zigzag lanes and streets, two houses joined together by an arch over the lane here and there, wells by the side of walls, and so on. In the last Exhibition they showed a model of old Paris, but that Paris has completely disappeared, by gradual

* One who has full control over his passions.

changes ; the warfare and revolutions through which the city has passed have, each time, caused ravages on one part or another, razing everything to the ground, and again, new Paris has risen in its place, cleaner and more extensive.

Modern Paris is, to a great extent, the creation of Napoleon III. He completed that material transformation of the city which had already been begun at the fall of the ancient monarchy. The student of the history of France need not be reminded how its people were oppressed by the absolute monarchs of France prior to the French Revolution. Napoleon III caused himself to be proclaimed Emperor by sheer force of arms, wading through blood. Since the first French Revolution, the French people were always fickle and thus a source of alarm to the Empire. Hence the Emperor, in order to keep his subjects contented, and to please the ever-unstable masses of Paris by giving them work, went on continually making new and magnificent public roads and embankments, and building gateways, theatres and many other architectural structures, leaving the monuments of old Paris as before. Not only was the city traversed in all directions by new thoroughfares, straight and wide, with sumptuous houses raised or restored, but a line of fortification was built doubling the area of the city. Thus arose the boulevards, and the fine quarters of d'Antin and other neighbourhoods, and the avenue of the Champs Elysees, which is unique in the world, was reconstructed. This avenue is so broad that down the middle and on both sides of it run gardens all along, and in one place it has taken a circular shape which comprises the city front, towards the west, called Place de la Concorde. Round this Place de la Concorde are statues in the form of women representing the eight chief towns of France. One of these statues represents the district of Strasburg. This district was wrested from the hands of the French by the Germans after the battle of 1872. The pain of this loss, the French

have not yet been able to get over, and that statue is still covered with flowers and garlands offered in memory of its dead spirit, as it were. As men place garlands over the tombs of their dead relations, so garlands are placed on that statue, at one time or another.

It seems to me that the Chandni Chauk of Delhi might have been at one time somewhat like this Place de la Concorde. Here and there columns of victory, triumphal arches and sculptural art in the form of huge statues of men and women, lions, etc., adorn the square.

A very big triumphal column in imitation of Trajan's Column, made of gun metal (procured by melting 1,200 guns), is erected in Place Vendome in memory of the great hero, Napoleon I ; on the sides are engraved the victories of his reign, and on the top is the figure of Napoleon Bonaparte. In the Place de la Bastille stands the Column of July (in memory of the Revolution of July 1830) on the site of the old fortress, "The Bastille," afterwards used as a State prison. Here were imprisoned those who incurred the king's displeasure. In those old days, without any trial or anything of the kind, the king would issue a warrant bearing the royal seal, called *"Lettre de Cachet."* Then, without any enquiry as to what good acts the victim had done for his country, or whether he was really guilty or not, without even any question as to what he actually did to incur the king's wrath, he would be at once thrown into the Bastille. If the fair favourites of the kings were displeased with anyone, they could obtain by request a *"Lettre de Cachet"** from the king against that man, and the poor man would at once be sent to the Bastille. Of the unfortunate who were imprisoned there, very few ever came out. When, afterwards, the whole country rose as one man in revolt against such oppression and tyranny, and raised the cry of "Individual liberty, All are equal,

* A royal warrant.

No one is high or low," the people of Paris in their mad excitement attacked the king and queen. The very first thing the mob did was to pull down the Bastille, the symbol of extreme tyranny of man over man, and passed the night in dancing, singing and feasting on the spot. The king tried to escape, but the people managed to catch him, and hearing that the father-in-law of the king, the Emperor of Austria, was sending soldiers to aid his son-in-law, became blind with rage and killed the king and the queen. The whole French nation became mad in the name of liberty and equality,—France became a republic, —they killed all the nobility whom they could get hold of, and many of the nobility gave up their titles and rank and made common cause with the subject people. Not only so, they called all the nations of the world to rise, —"Awake, kill the kings who are all tyrants, let all be free and have equal rights." Then all the kings of Europe began to tremble in fear lest this fire might spread into their countries, lest it might burn their throne, and hence determined to put it down, attacked France from all directions. On the other side, the leaders of the French Republic proclaimed, *La patrie a danger*—"Our native land is in peril, come one and all," and the proclamation soon spread like the flames of a conflagration throughout the length and breadth of France. The young, the old, the men, the women, the rich, the poor, the high, the low, singing their martial song, *La Marseillaise*, the inspiring national song of France, came out,—crowds of the poor French people, in rags, barefooted, in that severe cold, and half-starved,—came out with guns on their shoulders, —परित्राणाय.....विनाशाय च दुष्कृताम्—for the destruction of the wicked and the salvation of their homes,—and boldly faced the vast united force of Europe. The whole of Europe could not stand the onrush of that French army. At the head and front of the French army, stood a hero at the movement of whose finger the whole world trembled.

He was Napoleon. With the edge of the sword and at the point of the bayonet, he thrust "Liberty, Equality and Fraternity" into the very bone and marrow of Europe, —and thus the victory of the tri-coloured *Cocarde* was achieved. Later, Napoleon became the Emperor of France and successfully accomplished the consolidation of the French Empire.

Subsequently, not being favoured with an heir to the throne, he divorced the partner of his life in weal and woe, the guiding angel of his good fortune, the Empress Josephine, and married the daughter of the Emperor of Austria. But the wheel of his luck turned with his desertion of Josephine, his army died in the snow and ice during his expedition against Russia. Europe, getting this opportunity, forced him to abdicate his throne, sent him as an exile to an island and put on the throne one of the old royal dynasty. The wounded lion escaped from the island and presented himself again in France ; the whole of France welcomed him and rallied under his banner, and the reigning king fled. But his luck was broken once for all and it never returned. Again the whole of Europe united against him and defeated him at the battle of Waterloo. Napoleon boarded an English man-of-war and surrendered himself ; the English exiled him and kept him as a lifelong prisoner in the distant island of St. Helena. Again a member of the old royal family of France was reinstated as king. Later on, the French people became restless under the old monarchy, rose in rebellion, drove away the king and his family and re-established the Republic. In the course of time a nephew of the great Napoleon became a favourite with the people, and by means of intrigues he proclaimed himself Emperor. He was Napoleon III. For some time his reign was very powerful ; but being defeated in conflict with the Germans he lost his throne, and France became once more

a republic ; and since then down to the present day she has continued to be republican.

The theory of Evolution, which is the foundation of almost all the Indian schools of thought, has now made its way into the physical science of Europe. It has been held by the religions of all other countries except India, that the universe in its entirety is composed of parts distinctly separate from each other. God, Nature, man,—each stands by itself, isolated from one another ; likewise, beasts, birds, insects, trees, the earth, stones, metals, etc., are all distinct from each other ; God created them separate from the beginning.

Knowledge is to find unity in the midst of diversity,—to establish unity among things which appear to us to be different from one another. That particular relation by which man finds this sameness is called Law. This is what is known as Natural Law.

I have said before, that our education, intelligence and thought are all spiritual, all find expression in religion. In the West, their manifestation is in the external,—in the physical and social planes. Thinkers in ancient India gradually came to understand that that idea of separateness was erroneous, that there was a connection among all those distinct objects,—there was a unity which pervaded the whole universe,—trees, shrubs, animals, men, Devas, even God Himself ; the Advaitin reaching the climax in this line of thought declared all to be but the manifestations of the One. In reality, the metaphysical and the physical universe are one, and the name of this One is Brahman ; and the perception of separateness is an error,—they called it Maya, Avidya or nescience. This is the end of knowledge.

If this matter is not comprehended at the present day by anyone outside India—for, India we leave out of consideration—how is one to be regarded as a Pandit? However, most of the erudite men in the West are coming

to understand this, in their own way—through physical science. But how that One has become the many,—neither do we understand, nor do they. We, too, have offered the solution of this question by saying, that it is beyond our understanding which is limited. They, too, have done the same. But the variations that the One has undergone, the different sorts of species and individuality It is assuming,—that can be understood, and the enquiry into this is called Science.

So, almost all are now Evolutionists in the West. As small animals through gradual steps change into bigger ones, and big animals sometimes deteriorate and become smaller and weaker, and in the course of time die out,—so also, man is not born into a civilised state all on a sudden ; in these days an assertion to the contrary is no longer believed in by anybody among the thoughtful in the West, especially because of the evidence that their ancestors were in a savage state only a few centuries ago, and from that state such a great transformation has taken place in so short a time. So they say that all men must have gradually evolved, and are evolving from the uncivilised state.

Primitive men used to manage their work with implements of wood and stone ; they wore skins and leaves, and lived in mountain-caves or in huts thatched with leaves made somewhat after the fashion of birds' nests, and thus somehow passed their days. Evidence in proof of this is being obtained in all countries by excavating the earth, and also in some few places, men at that same primitive stage are still living. Gradually men learnt to use metal—soft metals such as tin and copper, and found out how to make tools and weapons by fusing them. The ancient Greeks, the Babylonians and the Egyptians did not know the use of iron for a long time,—even when they became comparatively civilised and wrote books and used gold and silver. At that time, the Mexicans, the Peruvians, the Mayas and other races among the aborigines of the New

World were comparatively civilised and used to build large temples ; the use of gold and silver was quite common amongst them ; (in fact the greed for their gold and silver led the Spaniards to destroy them). But they managed to make all these things, toiling very hard with flint implements,—they did not know iron even by name.

In the primitive stage, man used to kill animals and fish by means of bows and arrows, or by the use of a net, and live upon them. Gradually, he learnt to till the ground and tend the cattle. Taming wild animals, he made them work for him, or reared them for his own eating, when necessary ; the cow, horse, hog, elephant, camel, goat, sheep, fowls, birds, and other animals became domesticated ; of all these, the dog is the first friend of man.

So, in the course of time, the tilling of the soil came into existence. The fruits, roots, herbs, vegetables and the various cereals eaten by man are quite different now from what they were when they grew in a wild state. Through human exertion and cultivation wild fruits gained in size and acquired toothsomeness, and wild grass was transformed into delicious rice. Constant changes are going on, no doubt, in Nature, by its own processes. New species of trees and plants, birds and beasts, are being always created in Nature through changes brought about by time, environment and other causes. Thus, before the creation of man, Nature was changing the trees, plants and other animals by slow and gentle degrees, but when man came on the scene, he began to effect changes with rapid strides. He continually transported the native fauna and flora of one country to another, and by crossing them various new species of plants and animals were brought into existence.

In the primitive stage there was no marriage, but gradually matrimonial relations sprang up. At first, the matrimonial relation depended, amongst all communities, on

the mother. There was not much fixity about the father, the children were named after the mother ; all the wealth was in the hands of the women, for they were to bring up the children. In the course of time, wealth, the women included, passed into the hands of the male members. The male said, "All this wealth and grain are mine ; I have grown these in the fields, or got them by plunder and other means ; and if anyone dispute my claims, and want to have a share of them, I will fight him." In the same way he said, "All these women are exclusively mine ; if anyone encroach upon my right in them, I will fight him." Thus, there originated the modern marriage system. Women became as much the property of man as his slaves and chattels. The ancient marriage custom was, that the males of one tribe married the women of another ; and even then the women were snatched away by force. In the course of time, this business of taking away the bride by violence dropped away, and marriage was contracted with the mutual consent of both parties. But every custom leaves a faint trace of it behind, and even now we find in every country a mock attack is made on such occasions upon the bridegroom. In Bengal and Europe, handfuls of rice are thrown at the bridegroom, and in Northern India the bride's women friends abuse the bridegroom's party calling them names, and so on.

Society began to be formed and it varied according to different countries. Those who lived on the seashore mostly earned their livelihood by fishing in the sea, those on the plains by agriculture. The mountaineers kept large flocks of sheep, and the dwellers in the desert tended goats and camels. Others lived in the forests and maintained themselves by hunting. The dwellers on the plains learned agriculture ; their struggle for existence became less keen ; they had time for thought and culture, and thus became more and more civilised. But with the advance of civilisation their bodies grew weaker and weaker. The

difference in physique between those who always lived in the open air and whose principal article of food was animal diet, and others who dwelt in houses and lived mostly on grains and vegetables, became greater and greater. The hunter, the shepherd, the fisherman, turned robbers or pirates whenever food became scarce, and plundered the dwellers in the plains. These, in their turn, united themselves in bands of large numbers for the common interest of self-preservation ; and thus little kingdoms began to be formed.

The Devas lived on grains and vegetables, were civilised, dwelt in villages, towns and gardens, and wore woven clothing. The Asuras dwelt in the hills and mountains, deserts or on the seashores, lived on wild animals, and the roots and fruits of the forests, and on what cereals they could get from the Devas in exchange for these or for their cows and sheep, and wore the hides of wild animals. The Devas* were weak in body and could not endure hardships ; the Asuras,* on the other hand, were hardy with frequent fasting and were quite capable of suffering all sorts of hardships.

Whenever food was scarce among the Asuras, they set out from their hills and seashores, to plunder towns and villages. At times they attacked the Devas for wealth and grains, and whenever the Devas failed to unite themselves in large numbers against them, they were sure to die at the hands of the Asuras. But the Devas being stronger in intelligence commenced inventing all sorts of machines for warfare. The *Brahmâstra, Garudâstra, Vaishnavâstra, Saivâstra*—all these weapons of miraculous power belonged to the Devas. The Asuras fought with ordinary weapons, but they were enormously strong. They defeated

* The terms 'Devas' and 'Asuras' are used throughout here in the sense of the Gita, i. e., races in which the *Daivi* (divine), or the *Asuri* (non-divine), qualities preponderate. Vide Srimad-Bhagavad-Gita, chapter XVI.

the Devas repeatedly, but they never cared to become civilised, or learn agriculture, or cultivate their intellect. If the victorious Asuras tried to reign over the vanquished Devas in *Svarga*, they were sure to be outwitted by the Devas' superior intellect and skill, and, before long, turned into their slaves. At other times, the Asuras returned to their own places, after plundering. The Devas, whenever they were united, forced them to retire, mark you, either into the hills or forests, or to the seashore. Gradually each party gained in numbers and became stronger and stronger ; millions of Devas were united, and so were millions of Asuras. Violent conflicts and fighting went on, and along with them, the intermingling of these two forces. From the fusion of these different types and races our modern societies, manners and customs began to be evolved. New ideas sprang up and new sciences began to be cultivated. One class of men went on manufacturing articles of utility and comfort, either by manual or intellectual labour. A second class took upon themselves the charge of protecting them, and all proceeded to exchange these things. And it so happened that a band of fellows who were very clever, undertook to take these things from one place to another and, on the plea of remuneration for this, appropriated the major portion of their profit as their due. One tilled the ground, a second guarded the produce from being robbed, a third took it to another place, and a fourth bought it. The cultivator got almost nothing ; he who guarded the produce took away as much of it as he could, by force ; the merchant who brought it to the market took the lion's share ; and the buyer had to pay out of all proportion for the things, and smarted under the burden ! The protector came to be known as the king ; he who took the commodities from one place to another was the merchant. These two did not produce anything,—but still snatched away the best part of things, and made themselves fat by virtually reaping most of the fruits of the

cultivator's toil and labour. The poor fellow who produced all these things had often to go without his meals and cry to God for help!

Now, with the march of events, all these matters grew more and more involved, knots upon knots multiplied, and out of this tangled network has evolved our modern complex society. But the marks of a bygone character persist and do not die out completely. Those who in their former births tended sheep or lived by fishing or the like, took to habits of piracy, robbery and similar occupations, in their civilised incarnation also. With no forests to hunt in, no hills or mountains in the neighbourhood on which to tend the flocks,—by the accident of birth in a civilised society, he cannot get enough opportunity for either hunting, fishing or grazing cattle,—he is obliged therefore to rob or steal, impelled by his own nature ; what else could he do? And the worthy daughters of those far-famed ladies* of the Pauranika age, whose names we are to repeat every morning,—they could no longer marry more than one husband at a time, if they wanted to, and so they turned unchaste. In these and other ways, men of different types and dispositions, civilised and savage, born with the nature of the Devas and the Asuras, have become fused together and form modern society. And that is why we see, in every society, God playing in these various forms,—the *Sâdhu Nârâyana*, the robber *Nârâyana*, and so on. Again, the character of any particular society came to be determined as of *Daivi* (divine), or *Asuri* (non-divine) quality in proportion as one or the other of these two different types of persons preponderated within it.

The whole of the Asiatic civilisation was first evolved on the plains, near large rivers and on fertile soils,—on the banks of the Ganges, the Yangtse-Kiang and the Euphrates. The original foundation of all these civilisations is agricul-

* Ahalyá, Tárá, Mandodari, Kunti and Draupadi.

ture, and in all of them the *Daivi* nature predominates. Most of the European civilisation, on the other hand, originated either in hilly countries or on the seacoasts,—piracy and robbery form the basis of this civilisation ; there the *Asuri* nature is preponderant.

So far as can be inferred in modern times, Central Asia and the deserts of Arabia seem to have been the home of the Asuras. Issuing from their fastnesses, these shepherds and hunters, the descendants of the Asuras, being united in hordes after hordes, chased the civilised Devas, and scattered them all over the world.

Of course there was a primitive race of aborigines in the continent of Europe. They lived in mountain-caves, and the more intelligent among them erected platforms by planting sticks in the comparatively shallow parts of the water and built houses thereon. They used arrows, spear-heads, knives and axes, all made of flint, and managed every kind of work with them.

Gradually the current of the Asiatic races began to break forth upon Europe, and as its effects some parts became comparatively civilised ; the language of a certain people in Russia resembles the languages of Southern India.

But for the most part these barbarians remained as barbarous as ever, till a civilised race from Asia Minor conquered the adjacent parts of Europe and founded a high order of new civilisation ; to us they are known as Yavanas, to the Europeans as Greeks.

Afterwards, in Italy, a barbarous tribe known as the Romans conquered the civilised Etruscans, assimilated their culture and learning, and established a civilisation of their own on the ruins of that of the conquered race. Gradually, the Romans carried their victorious arms on all sides ; all the barbarous tribes in the South-west of Europe came under the suzerainty of Rome ; only the barbarians of the forests living in the Northern regions retained their

independence. In the efflux of time, however, the Romans became enervated by being slaves to wealth and luxury, and at that time Asia again let loose her armies of Asuras on Europe. Driven from their homes by the onslaught of these Asuras, the barbarians of Northern Europe fell upon the Roman Empire, and Rome was destroyed. Encountered by the force of this Asiatic invasion, a new race sprang up through the fusion of the European barbarians with the remnants of the Romans and Greeks. At that time, the Jews being conquered and driven away from their homes by the Romans, scattered themselves throughout Europe, and with them their new religion, Christianity, also spread all over Europe. All these different races, and their creeds and ideas, all these different hordes of Asuras, heated by the fire of constant struggle and warfare, began to melt and fuse in *Mahâmâyâ's* crucible ; and from that fusion the modern European race has sprung up.

Thus, a barbarous, very barbarous European race came into existence, with all shades of complexion from the swarthy colour of the Hindus to the milk-white colour of the North, with black, brown, red, or white hair, black, grey or blue eyes, resembling the fine features of face, the nose and eyes of the Hindu, or the flat faces of the Chinese. For some time they continued to fight among themselves ; those of the North leading the life of pirates harassed and killed the comparatively civilised races. In the meantime, however, the two heads of the Christian Churches, the Pope (in French and Italian, *Pape**) of Italy and the Patriarch of Constantinople, insinuating themselves, began to exercise their authority over these brutal barbarian hordes, over their kings, queens, and peoples.

On the other side, again, Mahommedanism arose in the deserts of Arabia. The wild Arabs, inspired by the teachings of a great sage, bore down upon the earth with

* Pronounced as *Páp*. The Bengali word *Páp* means sin.

an irresistible force and vigour. That torrent, carrying everything before it, entered Europe from both the east and the west, and along with this tide the learning and culture of India and ancient Greece was carried into Europe.

A tribe of Asuras from Central Asia known as the Selmul Tartars, accepted Mahommedanism and conquered Asia Minor and other countries of Asia. The various attempts of the Arabs to conquer India proved unsuccessful. The wave of Mahommedan conquest, which had swallowed the whole earth, had to fall back before India. They attacked Sindh once, but could not hold it ; and they did not make any other attempt after that.

But a few centuries afterwards, when the Turks and other Tartar races were converted from Buddhism to Mahommedanism,—at that time they conquered the Hindus, Persians and Arabs, and brought all of them alike under their subjection. Of all the Mahommedan conquerors of India, none was an Arab or a Persian ; they were all Turks and Tartars. In Rajputana, all the Mahommedan invaders were called Turks, and that is a true and historical fact. The *Châran*s of Rajputana sang *Turuganko badhi jore*—"The Turks are very powerful"—and that was true. From Kutubuddin down to the Mogul Emperors—all of them are Tartars. They are the same race to which the Thibetans belong ; only they have become Mahommedans and changed their flat round faces by intermarrying with the Hindus and Persians. They are the same ancient races of Asuras. Even to-day they are reigning on the thrones of Kabul, Persia, Arabia and Constantinople, and the Gândhâris (natives of Kandahar) and Persians are still the slaves of the Turks. The vast Empire of China too, is lying at the feet of the Manchurian Tartars ; only these Manchus have not given up their religion, have not become Mahommedans, they are disciples of the Grand Lama. These Asuras never care for learning and cultivation of the intel-

lect ; the only thing they understand is fighting. Very little of the warlike spirit is possible without a mixture of that blood ; and it is that Tartar blood which is seen in the vigorous, martial spirit of Northern Europe, especially in the Russians, who have three-fourths of Tartar blood in their veins. The fight between the Devas and the Asuras will continue yet for a long time to come. The Devas marry the Asura girls and the Asuras snatch away Deva brides,—it is this that leads to the formation of powerful mongrel races.

The Tartars seized and occupied the throne of the Arabian Caliph, took possession of Jerusalem, the great Christian place of pilgrimage, and other places, would not allow them to visit the holy sepulchure, and killed many Christians. The heads of the Christian churches grew mad with rage and roused their barbarian disciples throughout Europe, who in their turn inflamed the kings and their subjects alike. Hordes of European barbarians rushed towards Asia Minor to deliver Jerusalem from the hands of the infidels. A good portion of them cut one another's throats, others died of disease, while the rest were killed by the Mahommedans. However, the blood was up of the wild barbarians, and no sooner had the Mahommedans killed them than they arrived in fresh numbers,—with that dogged obstinacy of a wild savage. They thought nothing even of plundering their own men, and making meals of Mahommedans when they found nothing better. It is well-known that the English king Richard had a liking for Mahommedan flesh.

Here the result was the same, as usually happens in a war between barbarians and civilised men. Jerusalem and other places could not be conquered. But Europe began to be civilised. The English, French, German and other savage nations who dressed themselves in hides and ate raw flesh, came in contact with Asiatic civilisation. An order of Christian soldiers of Italy and other countries,

corresponding to our *Nágás*, began to learn philosophy; and one of their sects, the Knights Templars, became confirmed Advaita Vedantists, and ended by holding Christianity up to ridicule. Moreover, as they had amassed enormous riches, the kings of Europe, at the orders of the Pope, and under the pretext of saving religion, robbed and exterminated them.

On the other side, a tribe of Mahommedans, called the Moors, established a civilised kingdom in Spain, cultivated various branches of knowledge and founded the first university in Europe. Students flocked from all parts, from Italy, France, and even from far-off England. The sons of royal families came to learn manners, etiquette, civilisation and the art of war. Houses, temples, edifices and other architectural buildings began to be built after a new style.

But the whole of Europe was gradually transformed into a vast military camp,—and this is even now the case. When the Mahommedans conquered any kingdom, their king kept a large part for himself, and the rest he distributed among his generals. These men did not pay any rent but had to supply the king with a certain number of soldiers in time of need. Thus the trouble of keeping a standing army always ready was avoided, and a powerful army was created, which served only in time of war. This same idea still exists to a certain extent in Rajputana, and it was brought into the West by the Mahommedans. The Europeans took this system from the Mahommedans. But whereas with the Mahommedans there were the king and his groups of feudatory chiefs and their armies, and the rest, the body of the people, were ordinary subjects who were left unmolested in time of war,—in Europe, on the other hand, the king and his groups of feudatory chiefs were on one side, and they turned all the subject-people into their slaves. Everyone had to live under the shelter of a military feudatory chief, as his man, and then only was he allowed

to live,—he had to be always ready to fight at any time, at the word of command.

What is the meaning of the "Progress of Civilisation," which the Europeans boast so much about? The meaning of it is, the successful accomplishment of the desired object by the justification of wrong means, i. e., by making the end justify the means. It makes acts of theft, falsehood, and hanging, appear proper under certain circumstances ; it vindicates Stanley's whipping of the hungry Mahommedan guards who accompanied him, for stealing a few mouthfuls of bread ; it guides and justifies the well-known European ethics which says, "Get out from this place, I want to come in and possess it,"—the truth of which is borne out by the evidence of history, that wherever the Europeans have gone, there has followed the extinction of the aboriginal races. In London, this 'progress of civilisation' regards the unfaithfulness in conjugal life, and, in Paris, the running away of a man leaving his wife and children helpless and committing suicide, as a mistake and not a crime.

Now compare the first three centuries of the quick spread of the civilisation of Islam with the corresponding period of Christianity. Christianity, during its first three centuries, was not even successful in making itself known to the world ; and since the day when the sword of Constantine made a place for it in his kingdom, what support has Christianity ever lent to the spread of civilisation, either spiritual or secular? What reward did the Christian religion offer to that European Pandit who sought to prove for the first time that the Earth is a revolving planet? What scientist has ever been hailed with approval and enthusiasm by the Christian Church? Can the literature of the Christian flock consistently meet the requirements of legal jurisprudence, civil or criminal, or of arts and trade policies? Even now the "Church" does not sanction the diffusion of profane literature. Is it possible, still, for a

man who has penetrated deep into modern learning and science, to be an absolutely sincere Christian? In the New Testament there is no covert or overt praise of any arts and sciences. But there is scarcely any science or branch of art that is not sanctioned and held up for encouragement, directly or indirectly, in the Koran, or in the many passages of Hadis, the traditional Sayings of Mahommed. The greatest thinkers of Europe,—Voltaire, Darwin, Büchner, Flammarion, Victor Hugo, and a host of others like them, —are, in the present times, denounced by Christianity, and are victims of vituperative tongues of its orthodox community. On the other hand, Islam regards such people to be believers in the existence of God, but only wanting in faith in the Prophet. Let there be a searching investigation into the respective merits of the two religions as regards their helpfulness, or the throwing of obstacles in the path of progress, and it will be seen that wherever Islam has gone, there it has preserved the aboriginal inhabitants,—there those races still exist, their language and their nationality abide even to the present day.

Where can Christianity show such an achievement? Where are, to-day, the Arabs of Spain, and the aboriginal races of America? What treatment are the Christians according to the European Jews? With the single exception of charitable organisations no other line of work in Europe is in harmony with the teachings of the Gospel. Whatever heights of progress Europe has attained, every one of them has been gained by its revolt against Christianity,—by its rising against the Gospel. If Christianity had its old paramount sway in Europe to-day, it would have lighted the fire of the Inquisition against such modern scientists as Pasteur and Koch, and burnt Darwin and others of his school at the stake. In modern Europe, Christianity and civilisation are two different things. Civilisation has now girded up her loins to destroy her old enemy, Christianity, to overthrow the

Clergy, and to wring educational and charitable institutions from their hands. But for the ignorance-ridden rustic masses, Christianity would never have been able for a moment to support its present despised existence, and would have been pulled out by its roots ; for, the urban poor are, even now, enemies of the Christian Church ! Now compare this with Islam. In the Mahommedan countries, all the ordinances are firmly established upon the Islam religion, and its own preachers are greatly venerated by all the officials of the State, and teachers of other religions also are respected.

The European civilisation may be likened to a piece of cloth, of which these are the materials : Its loom is a vast temperate hilly country on the seashore ; its cotton, a strong warlike mongrel race formed by the intermixture of various races ; its warp is warfare, in defence of one's self and one's religion. The one who wields the sword is great, and the one who cannot, gives up his independence and lives under the protection of some warrior's sword. Its woof is commerce. The means to this civilisation is the sword, its auxiliary—courage and strength, its aim—enjoyment here and hereafter.

And how is it with us? The Aryans are lovers of peace, cultivators of the soil, and are quite happy and contented if they can only rear their families undisturbed. In such a life they have ample leisure, and therefore greater opportunity of being thoughtful and civilised. Our King Janaka tilled the soil with his own hands, and he was also the greatest of the knowers of Truth, of his time. With us, Rishis, Munis and Yogis have been born from the very beginning ; they have known from the first that the world is a chimera. Plunder and fight as you may, the enjoyment that you are seeking is only in peace, and peace, in the renunciation of physical pleasures. Enjoyment lies not in physical development, but in the culture of the mind

and the intellect. It was they who reclaimed the jungles for cultivation.

Then, over that cleared plot of land was built the Vedic altar ; in that pure sky of Bhârata, up rose the sacred smoke of Yajnas ; in that air breathing peace, the Veda Mantras echoed and re-echoed,—and cattle and other beasts grazed without any fear of danger. The place of the sword was assigned at the feet of learning and Dharma. Its only work was to protect Dharma and save the lives of men and cattle. The hero was the protector of the weak in danger,—the Kshatriya. Ruling over the plough and the sword was Dharma, the protector of all. He is the King of kings ; he is ever-awake even while the world sleeps. Everyone was free under the protection of Dharma.

And what your European Pandits say about the Aryan's swooping down from some foreign land, snatching away the lands of the aborigines, and settling in India by exterminating them, are all pure nonsense, foolish talk ! Strange, that our Indian scholars, too, say amen to them ; and all these monstrous lies are being taught to our boys ! This is very bad, indeed.

I am an ignoramus myself ; I do not pretend to any scholarship ; but with the little that I understand, I strongly protested against these ideas at the Paris Congress. I have been talking with the Indian and European *Savants* on the subject, and hope to raise many objections to this theory in detail, when time permits. And this I say to you, to our Pandits, also,—you are learned men, hunt up your old books and scriptures, please, and draw your own conclusions.

Wherever the Europeans find an opportunity, they exterminate the aborigines and settle down in ease and comfort on their lands ; and therefore they think, the Aryans must have done the same ! ! The Westerners would be considered wretched vagabonds if they lived in their native homes depending wholly on their own internal

resources, and so they have to run wildly about the world seeking how they can feed upon the fat of the land of others, by spoliation and slaughter ;—and therefore they conclude, the Aryans must have done the same!! But where is your proof?—Guess-work? Then keep your fanciful guesses to yourselves!

In what Veda, in what *Sukta* do you find that the Aryans came into India from a foreign country? Where do you get the idea that they slaughtered the wild aborigines? What do you gain by talking such nonsense? Vain has been your study of the Ramayana ; why manufacture a big fine story out of it?

Well, what is Ramayana?—the conquest of the savage aborigines of Southern India by the Aryans! Indeed!! Ramachandra is a civilised Aryan king, and with whom is he fighting? With King Ravana of Lanka. Just read the Ramayana and you will find that Ravana was rather more and not less civilised than Ramachandra. The civilisation of Lanka was rather higher, and surely not lower, than that of Ayodhya. And then, when were these *Vánaras* ('monkeys') and other Southern Indians conquered? They were all, on the other hand, Ramachandra's friends and allies. Say, which Vâli's, which Guhaka's kingdoms were annexed by Ramachandra?

It was quite possible, however, that in a few places, there were occasional fights between the Aryans and the aborigines ; quite possible, that one or two cunning *Munis* pretended to meditate with closed eyes before their sacrificial fires, in the jungles of the *Râkshasas,* waiting, however, all the time to see when the *Râkshasas* would throw stones and pieces of bone at them. No sooner had this been done then they would go, whining, to the kings. The mail-clad kings armed with swords and weapons of steel, would come on fiery steeds. But how long could the aborigines fight with their sticks and stones? So they were killed or chased away, and the kings returned to

their capital. Well, all this may have been, but how does this prove that their lands were taken away by the Aryans? Where in the Ramayana do you find that?

The loom of the fabric of Aryan civilisation is a vast, warm, level country, interspersed with broad, navigable rivers. The cotton of this cloth is composed of highly civilised, semi-civilised and barbarian tribes, mostly Aryan. Its warp is *Varnáshramâchára,** and its woof, the conquest of strife and competition in Nature.

And may I ask you, Europeans, what country you have ever raised to better conditions? Wherever you have found weaker races, you have exterminated them by the roots, as it were. You have settled on their lands, and they are gone for ever. What is the history of your America, your Australia and New Zealand, your Pacific Islands and South Africa? Where are those aboriginal races there to-day? They are all exterminated, you have killed them outright, as if they were wild beasts. It is only where you have not the power to do so, and there only, that other nations are still alive.

But India has never done that. The Aryans were kind and generous ; and in their hearts which were large and unbounded as the ocean, and in their brains gifted with superhuman genius, all these ephemeral, and apparently pleasant but virtually beastly processes never found a place. And I ask you, fools of my own country, would there have been this institution of *Varnáshrama*, if the Aryans had exterminated the aborigines in order to settle on their lands?

The object of Europe is to exterminate all, in order to live themselves. The aim of the Aryans is to raise all up to their own level, nay, even to a higher level than

* The old Aryan Institution of the four castes and stages of life. The former comprise the *Brâhmana, Kshatriya, Vaisya* and *Sudra,* and the latter, *Brahmacharya* (student life), *Gârhasthya* (householder's life), *Vânaprastha* (hermit life), and *Sannyâsa* (life of renunciation).

themselves. The means of European civilisation is the sword ; of the Aryans, the division into different *Varnas*. This system of division into different *Varnas* is the stepping-stone to civilisation, making one rise higher and higher in proportion to one's learning and culture. In Europe, it is everywhere victory to the strong, and death to the weak. In the land of Bhârata, every social rule is for the protection of the weak.

ERRATA.

Page	Line	For	Read
100	29	अमृतत्वम्	अमृतत्वम्
101	7	जीवबुद्धया	जीवबुद्धा
147	9	for	of
194	19	snses	senses
272	6	thoussands	thousands

GTU Library
3 2400 00371 0138

DATE DUE

MAY 1 0 1997
MAY 6 1997

GAYLORD PRINTED IN U.S.A.

GTU Library
2400 Ridge Road
Berkeley, CA 94709
For renewals call (510) 649-2500
All items are subject to recall.